



## CASE REPORT

## BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> Metastatic Synovial Sarcoma Treated with BRAF & MEK Inhibitors Achieves Complete Response. A Case Report & Literature Review

Daniel Burg<sup>1</sup>, Aryeh Babkoff<sup>1</sup>, Omer Or<sup>2</sup>, Noam Olshinka<sup>2</sup>, Jonathan Abraham Demma<sup>3</sup>, Mohamad Adila<sup>1,3</sup>, Marc Wygoda<sup>4</sup>, Philip Blumenfeld<sup>4</sup>, Judith Diment<sup>5</sup>, Masha Galiner<sup>6</sup>, Yusef Azraq<sup>6</sup>, Daniela Katz<sup>1,7</sup>, Petachia Reissman<sup>8</sup>, Sadie Ostrowicki<sup>9</sup>, Gabriella Sebbag<sup>10</sup>, Narmine Elkhateeb<sup>1,11</sup>, Anat Hershko Moshe<sup>1,11</sup>, Dania Jaber<sup>1,11</sup>, Adi Hollander<sup>1,11</sup>, Limor Rubin<sup>1,11</sup> and Aviad Zick<sup>1,12,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>2</sup>Orthopedic Department, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>3</sup>Surgical Department, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>4</sup>Radiotherapy Institute, Shaare Zedek Institute for Oncology, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>5</sup>Pathology Department, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>6</sup>Radiology Department, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>7</sup>Helmshley Cancer Center, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>8</sup>Department of Surgery, The Hebrew University School of Medicine, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>9</sup>Department of Biology, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Molecular Biology & Biochemistry, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA

<sup>11</sup>Department of Medicine, Allergy and Clinical Immunology Unit, Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>12</sup>Oncology Department, Shaare Zedek Institute for Oncology, Hadassah Medical Center & Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

\*Corresponding Author: Aviad Zick. Email: [aviadz@hadassah.org.il](mailto:aviadz@hadassah.org.il)

Received: 11 July 2025; Accepted: 16 October 2025

**ABSTRACT: Background**—Synovial sarcoma is a rare soft tissue sarcoma. Treatment of synovial sarcoma includes surgery, radiation, pazopanib, and chemotherapy. Targeted therapies, such as B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase (BRAF) inhibitors, are emerging as a potential treatment option. We describe the sixth case of a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma, the first extra-thoracic case. This case is the first to show a complete pathological response to BRAF & mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK) inhibitors. **Case description**—We treated a 22-year-old male with a left groin BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma with doxorubicin, Ifosphamide & Sodium 2-Mercaptoethanesulfonate. When we identified BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> in the tumor, the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and MEK inhibitors (dabrafenib & trametinib) were initiated, followed by surgery, with a complete pathological response. Nine months after the surgery, a local recurrence prompted the resumption of dabrafenib & trametinib followed by radiotherapy, resulting in complete radiological response and the development of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis treated with corticosteroids with resolution of symptoms. **Conclusion**—Panel sequencing of synovial sarcoma can identify targetable mutations. Treatment of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma with dabrafenib & trametinib can lead to complete pathological response and prolonged radiological response, as well as the rare adverse event of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. Prospective clinical trials are needed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> & MEK inhibitors as a therapeutic approach in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma.

**KEYWORDS:** Synovial sarcoma; B-Raf proto-oncogene; serine/threonine kinase<sup>V600E</sup>; serine/threonine kinase inhibitor; mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase inhibitor; case report

---

## 1 Introduction

Synovial sarcoma is a soft tissue sarcoma that represents approximately 5%–10% of soft tissue sarcomas [1]. The cell of origin of synovial sarcoma is unknown, but is thought to be the myogenic progenitor cells [2]. Synovial sarcoma usually occurs in younger adults, at a mean age of 35 years. The tumor can develop in different organs, such as the lung [3], but it is more prevalent in the lower extremities. The tumor usually presents as a slow-growing mass, with a mean duration from symptoms to diagnosis of approximately two years [4]. Synovial sarcoma usually presents as a non-specific heterogeneous mass [1]. Histologically, it is composed of monomorphic spindle cells and an epithelioid component with variable differentiation [1,3]. Genetically, a pathognomonic t(X:18) translocation characterizes more than 95% of synovial sarcoma. The t(X:18) translocation results in a *SSI8:SSX* fusion [5].

The overall 5-year survival rate of people with synovial sarcoma ranges from 59% to 75%. The 10-year cancer-specific survival rates are: 69% for localized disease, 43% for regional disease, and 8.9% for metastatic disease. The estimated 5-year and 10-year cancer-specific survival rates when stratified by age groups are 83% and 75% for children/adolescents, and 62% and 52% for adult [6]. The treatment options for synovial sarcoma include surgery, radiotherapy, and systemic treatments, such as chemotherapy and pazopanib [7], along with T-cell-based therapies targeting NY-ESO-1 [8]. Surgery is the treatment of choice in localized disease and, in many cases, it is preceded or followed by radiotherapy. Radiotherapy improves patients' 5-year overall survival rate by  $8.4 \pm 1.0\%$  [9]. Chemotherapy for local disease may be beneficial in treating high-risk primary extremity synovial sarcoma. In patients treated with ifosphamide, as a single agent or in combination with other agents, the disease-specific survival rate at 2 years was 96%, compared to 87% in those who did not receive chemotherapy. After 4 years, the disease-specific survival was 67% in the untreated group, in comparison to 88% in the ifosphamide group [10]. In metastatic disease, cytotoxic chemotherapy achieves a response rate of 27% in synovial sarcoma, contrasted with the 16%–18% response rate observed across soft tissue sarcomas. While chemotherapy remains the established cornerstone of treatment, it does not cure the disease [11,12]. Another treatment option is the use of Pazopanib. A retrospective study from a single institute showed a clinical benefit (stable disease or partial response) in 46% of patients with advanced or metastatic soft tissue sarcoma treated with pazopanib [13].

Synovial sarcoma exhibits a range of structural variations and mutations within its molecular landscape. In more than 95% of cases, the *SSI8-SSX* translocation is observed (*SSX1* is present in two-thirds of synovial sarcoma cases, *SSX2* in one-third, and *SSX4* is rarely observed) [14]. The most common point mutations occurred in the genes *SETD2* (4%), *ABL1* (4%), *SESN2* (3%), *EPAS1* (3%), *SLX4* (3%), *DROSHA* (3%), and *SHOC2* (3%) [15].

The BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation is reported in several cases of synovial sarcoma. *BRAF* is a proto-oncogene that is a component of the Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway. This pathway is involved in different cellular activities, such as proliferation [16]. An active BRAF mutation results in uncontrolled cellular growth and tumorigenesis and occurs in many types of malignancies, including melanoma and colon cancer [17,18]. Over 90% of these mutations are a missense mutation in exon 15 that results in a replacement of Valine in position 600 to Glutamic acid (V600E) [17,18]. Throughout the years, patients have been treated with several small molecules that inhibit BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and its downstream effectors [17,18]. Patients diagnosed with unresectable or metastatic BRAF<sup>V600E/K</sup> melanoma, treated with BRAF and MEK inhibitors, have a 5-year progression-free survival of 19% and overall survival of 34% [17,18]. Furthermore, the use of dabrafenib

& trametinib has shown promising results in various types of cancers, including anaplastic thyroid and biliary tract cancer [19,20]. The ROAR study is a phase 2 basket trial investigating the effectiveness and safety of dabrafenib & trametinib in patients with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>-mutated rare cancers. The ROAR study demonstrated promising efficacy and safety profiles of the combination therapy with diverse response rates, durations of response, and survival outcomes in different patient cohorts. Across different rare cancer types, the combination therapy resulted in varying median overall survival periods, ranging from 13.5 months to an indefinite period. Disease progression was found to be the main cause of death in all patient groups, with no deaths attributed to the experimental medication. The treatment was well tolerated, and its efficacy was observed regardless of tumor type, suggesting its potential as a valuable therapeutic approach for patients with rare BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> cancers. Following the study results, the FDA expedited approval of dabrafenib in combination with trametinib for patients ages six and above with unresectable or metastatic BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> solid tumors. This approval applies to patients who have experienced disease progression after prior treatment and have limited alternative treatment options, excluding colon cancer [21].

Whether or not BRAF inhibition benefits patients with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma is not established. We identified five reported cases of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma. The first two cases were part of a basket trial testing the efficacy of vemurafenib in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> non-melanoma tumors [22], a male participant below the age of 47 and a female participant aged between 48 and 59. The presentation, location, and detailed clinical course were not reported. The overall survival was 3.7 and 2 months for the male and the female patients, respectively. Another study tested BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> in 69 patients with synovial sarcoma [23]. Out of 67 patients, 2 were found with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>. The first case was a 32-year-old woman who presented with chest pain due to a 12 cm mass. Biopsy showed spindle cell monophasic synovial sarcoma morphology with *SS18:SSX2* fusion. The patient was treated with doxorubicin & ifosfamide followed by tumor resection. This treatment resulted in complete remission for 18 months, followed by pulmonary metastasis. A biopsy from the pulmonary mass showed a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation. On immunohistochemistry (IHC), the tumor was positive for BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (pERK) staining. After disease recurrence, the patient was treated with several chemotherapy lines, including trabectedin. The patient did not receive any BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> targeted therapy due to the lack of ongoing trials at the time. Overall, the patient lived 43 months from initial disease presentation to death. The second case is a 23-year-old woman who presented with Horner's syndrome due to a 4.3 cm mass in the superior mediastinum. Due to hemothorax secondary to the tumor, immediate resection of the tumor was performed. Histologically, the tumor showed spindle cell monophasic synovial sarcoma morphology with *SS18:SSX2* fusion. On IHC, the tumor was positive for BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and pERK staining. Five months after resection, the patient presented with right arm and shoulder pain due to recurrence. The patient was treated with several treatment modalities, including radiation, chemotherapy and pazopanib. Eventually, she was treated with dabrafenib & trametinib. Under this treatment, the patient was in remission for 7.5 months, followed by a local recurrence. A biopsy from the recurrent tumor showed BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and pERK staining. Next-generation sequencing revealed an NRAS<sup>Q61K</sup> mutation, which was absent from the primary tumor [23].

Lastly, a 15-year-old male with an intrathoracic synovial sarcoma. Histologically, the tumor showed spindle cell monophasic synovial sarcoma morphology with *SS18:SSX1* fusion. The patient was treated with resection of the tumor followed by adjuvant chemo-radiotherapy. The tumor recurred, and the patient was treated with several lines, including pazopanib and chemotherapy. Panel sequencing showed a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation, and the patient received vemurafenib. Four months after the initiation of vemurafenib, a partial response was seen. The patient is still alive with an overall survival of 19 months and a progression-free survival of 6 months [24].

Here we report about a 22-year-old male patient who presented with a left groin BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma with complete response to BRAF & MEK inhibitors. As far as we know, this is the sixth reported case of synovial sarcoma harboring a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation and is the first reported extra-thoracic synovial sarcoma harboring a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation treated with BRAF & MEK inhibitors with a complete radiological response.

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Hadassah Medical Center, with the reference number: HMO-0346-12. Handwritten informed consent was obtained from the patient. Besides, this study was prepared according to the CARE case report guideline, and a CARE checklist [25] is provided. Please see Supplementary Material S1 for more details.

## 2 Case Report


**Patient Information**—A 22-year-old patient, treated in the Hebrew University-Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel, presented with a four-month history of lower back pain, a two-month history of a growing mass in his left lower abdomen, and a month of anorexia and weight loss (5 kg in a month). The patient had no past medical history and did not take any medication. Family history included a grandmother with sarcoma and a grandmother with vaginal cancer.

**Clinical Findings**—On physical examination, a 20 cm left lower quadrant abdominal mass was palpated with left leg weakness.


**Diagnostic Assessment**—A left-groin abdominal ultrasound demonstrated a solid, heterogeneous, slightly vascular mass. A pelvic Computed Tomography (CT) scan evaluated by a board-certified radiologist showed a large heterogeneously enhancing mass infiltrating the left Psoas muscle, consistent with sarcoma (Fig. 1a).

A biopsy from the pelvic mass shows cores of a cellular spindle cell neoplasm, showing crowded spindle cells with indistinct clear cytoplasm and small to medium sized oval to elongated nuclei. The stroma is collagenous with few myxoid foci and the cells have a vague fascicular arrangement. Numerous mitoses are present (Fig. 2a). The tumor was positive for BCL6 corepressor (BCOR) and the SS18 subunit of BAF chromatin remodeling complex (SS18)–SSX fusion (SS18 fused to an SSX family member—SSX1/SSX2/SSX4) (Fig. 2b), with focal, faint positivity for CD99 (MIC2 cell-surface glycoprotein) and S-100 protein. Desmin, actin, Mucin 4 (MUC4), and pan-cytokeratin (pan-CK) were negative. The findings are suggestive of synovial sarcoma, monophasic spindle-cell type. A SYT translocation was identified using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) and BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation using OncoPrint™ Comprehensive Assay Plus.

**Therapeutic Intervention**—The case was discussed in a multidisciplinary tumor board, which recommended neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Before starting chemotherapy, the patient underwent sperm preservation using electroejaculation. The patient initiated a course of Adriamycin, Ifosfamide and Sodium 2-Mercaptoethanesulfonate (MESNA), a regimen known as AIM. Following the first cycle of AIM, the patient was admitted to the urgent care department due to neutropenia fever. A CT scan revealed a collection of fluid and air near the anorectal area, while the pelvic mass displayed no significant change in size. These findings were consistent with a fistula or perforation likely resulting from electroejaculation. The patient received antibiotics and Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor(G-CSF), resulting in a significant improvement in his condition. Following this improvement, chemotherapy was resumed, and a subsequent CT scan after two cycles indicated stable disease. After two additional cycles of AIM, an CT scan showed a reduction in tumor size (Fig. 1b). After six cycles of AIM, the patient was admitted to the urgent care department due to a high fever and headaches. Blood tests showed elevated troponin and a later workup was positive for COVID-19. Therefore, due to a targetable mutation, dabrafenib & trametinib treatment was initiated.



**Figure 1:** Treatment of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma with dabrafenib & trametinib leads to a prolonged complete response. The timeline illustrates the initiation of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, followed by a switch to treatment with dabrafenib & trametinib (blue arrow). Computerized tomography (CT) images were taken at various points to assess response. During treatment, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) occurred (red box). The arrowhead indicates an ongoing response. A CT scan with intravenous contrast transverse images evaluated by a board-certified radiologist (a) shows a large, heterogeneously enhancing mass in the left psoas muscle, measuring 13 × 13 × 15 cm. The mass contains internal vascularity and multiple areas of necrosis, extending from the L3 vertebra down to the left hip joint. It crosses the midline to the right, displacing the iliac vessels, left ureter, and pelvic structures to the right side. (b) Reduction in mass size observed after 6 months of doxorubicin & ifosphamide treatment, followed by (c) further reduction in tumor size 2 months later, following treatment with dabrafenib & trametinib. (d) Nine months after surgery, there was a new mass at the surgical bed, involving the descending colon. (e) After seven months of dabrafenib & trametinib treatment, there is no evidence of disease.



**Figure 2:** Monophasic synovial sarcoma harbors a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation. (a) Synovial sarcoma, monophasic, spindle cell type at 10× magnification. (b) Immunohistochemistry stains were positive for SS18-SSX at 10× magnification and (c) TLE1 at 20× magnification. Scale bars: 200 μm in (a,b); 100 μm in (c).

**Follow-up and Outcomes**—After 19 days, a CT scan revealed an additional reduction in tumor dimensions (Fig. 1c). The patient was treated with open resection of the tumor involving the psoas, left iliac vein, and left internal iliac artery. During surgery, several lumbar and sacral nerve roots were resected, with a root nerve damage affecting L2-L5. Due to massive bleeding during surgery, the patient needed 12 units of blood and 9 units of fresh frozen plasma. The post-operative process was normal. Post-surgery pathology showed a complete response; the patient did not receive any adjuvant treatment.

After nine months, a CT scan showed a new space occupying lesions at the surgical bed (Fig. 1d). Treatment with dabrafenib & trametinib was re-initiated, and the patient was referred to a multidisciplinary team, which recommended continuing dabrafenib & trametinib alongside radiotherapy. Radiotherapy was

given three months after the re-initiation of dabrafenib & trametinib. Five months after the re-initiation of dabrafenib & trametinib, the patient developed symptoms of immune dysregulation, such as weakness, low-grade fever, and pancytopenia. These, along with splenomegaly and elevated inflammatory markers (C-Reactive Protein 30 mg/dL), led to suspicion of secondary Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis (HLH). Further investigations confirmed the diagnosis with elevated ferritin (6700 ng/dL), triglycerides (275 mg/dL), and soluble IL-2 receptor (16,790 U/mL). According to the diagnostic criteria for HLH used in the HLH-2004 trial the patient fulfilled five positive criteria out of nine [26]: splenomegaly, cytopenias, hypertriglyceridemia (fasting, >265 mg/dL) hyperferritinemia and elevated sIL-2 receptor. His H-Score was 170, corresponding with 40%–54% probability of HLH, making the diagnosis of HLH probable, investigation for secondary HLH was done. Viral etiologies were ruled out include Epstein-Barr virus (EBV IgM and EBV PCR were negative, EBV VCA IgG and EBV EBNA IgG were positive that suggest previous infection), Cytomegalovirus (CMV IgM, CMV IgG, CMV PCR were negative), HIV, Sars-Cov-2 and other respiratory viruses. There were no clinical signs of autoimmune disease and laboratory studies were negative for APLA, ANA and ANCA. Adult-onset Still's disease was less probable due to the absence of rash, neutrophilia, pharyngitis or arthritis. There was no previously known immunodeficiency. His bone marrow biopsy did not show any signs of malignancy or lymphoma. There were numerous small granulomas. B-raf and SS18-SSX immunostains were negative. His IgG levels were low 582 mg/dL, IgM levels were 17 mg/dL and IgA levels were 104 mg/dL.

Dabrafenib & trametinib were temporarily halted, and high-dose corticosteroids initiated. The patient's condition improved with reduced ferritin and LDH levels and resolution of cytopenia. Two weeks after symptom resolution, treatment with Dabrafenib with Trametinib was resumed with prednisone. Initially at dabrafenib 150 mg once daily & trametinib 0.5 mg one daily and after one week with dabrafenib 150 mg twice daily & trametinib 2 mg once daily. Imaging tests, including CT and MRI scans, indicated no evidence of disease (Fig. 1e). After 22 months of treatment, the patient is currently free of disease and continues treatment with dabrafenib with trametinib and 2.5 mg prednisone.

### 3 Discussion

Metastatic synovial sarcoma is characterized with poor prognosis, with limited treatment options including cytotoxic chemotherapies or pazopanib. We present a case of a 22-year-old male diagnosed with BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma treated with dabrafenib & trametinib, with a complete pathological response. On disease recurrence dabrafenib & trametinib treatment was reinitiated with complete radiological response. During dabrafenib & trametinib treatment HLH occurred and was treated with corticosteroids.

HLH is a life-threatening multisystem syndrome characterized by overstimulation of the immune system, leading to hyperinflammation and multi-organ damage [26,27]. Estimated overall mortality rates in adults are 40%–50% [28,29]. Several methods were suggested to aid in the diagnosis of HLH, including the HLH-2004 criteria and HScore [26,30,31]. HLH can be either primary or secondary. Primary HLH typically presents in infancy and is characterized by genetic mutations that impede cytotoxic function of natural killer (NK) and cytotoxic T cells. Conversely, secondary HLH presents in adults and is associated with a predisposing condition causing immune dysregulation [27], which can include infections, malignancy, autoimmune diseases, or drugs [12,27,32,33]. Several cases of HLH due to anti-BRAF/anti-MEK combination therapy were reported, mostly, in patients diagnosed with metastatic melanoma [34–36]. No formal guidelines were suggested regarding the management of HLH induced by anti-BRAF/anti-MEK therapy. Nonetheless, in the reported cases, management was based on discontinuation of the medications, supportive care and occasionally, initiation of corticosteroids [34–36]. The reintroduction of anti-BRAF/anti-MEK therapy has shown no recurrence in most patients and was considered safe [34–36].

NY-ESO-1, a cancer-testis antigen expressed in approximately 80% of synovial sarcomas [37,38]. In this process, T-cells are harvested from the patient and genetically engineered to express a T-cell receptor (TCR) that specifically recognizes the NY-ESO-1 antigen. The patient undergoes lymphodepletion to reduce the number of existing T-cells. The engineered T-cells are infused back into the patient along with interleukin-2 (IL-2) to support their growth and activity. These modified T-cells specifically target and destroy NY-ESO-1 expressing cancer cells, resulting in tumor shrinkage and improved survival rates [37]. In patients with synovial sarcoma treated with NY-ESO-1 TCR, an objective response was observed in 14/18 patients. The three-year survival rate was 38%, and the 5-year survival rate was 14%. The median overall survival is 24.3 months [38].

In the case of this patient, several questions emerge. Although *BRAF* mutations in synovial sarcoma are very rare, treatment is effective. Does this suggest a role of the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway in synovial sarcoma?

The patient has *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup>, NY-ESO-1 positive sarcoma. What should be the treatment sequence of chemotherapy, targeted therapy and T-cell therapy? Would longer neo-adjuvant or adjuvant dabrafenib & trametinib treatment prevent the appearance of metastatic disease? For how long should dabrafenib & trametinib treatment be continued in the light of a complete radiological response?

To our knowledge, this is the first documented case of a patient with synovial sarcoma developing HLH due to dabrafenib & trametinib treatment. This case highlights the importance of considering HLH as a rare but serious complication of targeted therapy, especially in patients receiving *BRAF* and *MEK* inhibitors. The case raises the question if the complete radiological response and development of HLH are unrelated or did the drug treatment trigger an immune response leading to both? Besides, what is the most favorable way to manage HLH due to anti-*BRAF*/anti-*MEK* therapy?

Continued basic research and clinical trials will help to further establish the role of the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway in synovial sarcoma, as well as the efficacy and safety of dabrafenib & trametinib treatment in *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma.

**Strengths and limitations**—The strength of this case is a clear diagnosis (monophasic synovial sarcoma with *SS18::SSX* fusion & *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup>); a response to dabrafenib & trametinib both initially with a complete pathological response and following disease recurrence with a complete radiological response and a follow-up of ~22 months. The limitations of this work include the non-generalizability of a single case and a correlation of HLH to *BRAF* & *MEK* therapy.

#### 4 Conclusion

This case report adds to the limited body of published evidence concerning targeted therapy in *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> synovial sarcoma, providing insight into both the notable response to *BRAF* inhibitor & *MEK* therapy and the side effects associated with *BRAF*/*MEK* inhibitors. Our report highlights the clinical utility of *BRAF* & *MEK*-targeted therapy in synovial sarcoma and underscores the importance of genomic profiling in guiding treatment decisions for this rare malignancy. This case sheds light on the potential risk of developing secondary HLH as a serious, life-threatening complication associated with anti-*BRAF*/anti-*MEK* therapy. While *BRAF*/*MEK* inhibitors offer a promising treatment strategy, clinicians should be vigilant about rare but critical immune dysregulation side effects, such as HLH, particularly in patients undergoing long-term therapy. Prospective studies are essential to further define the role of genome-matched therapy in managing this phenotypically and genetically complex disease.

**Patient Perspective:** The patient was happy to stop chemotherapy and start a biological pill. The fever & fatigue can become worse. He enjoys his ability to take vacations including sailing and is looking towards continuing his life and getting married.

**Acknowledgement:** We thank the patient and his family for their trust.

**Funding Statement:** Aviad Zick is funded by ISF, grants number 2279/22 & 3099/22 & TRANSCAN3 EpiNanSarc.

**Author Contributions:** The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: Conceptualization, Daniel Burg, Aryeh Babkoff & Aviad Zick; methodology Daniel Burg, Aryeh Babkoff & Aviad Zick; resources, Judith Diment, Masha Galiner & Aviad Zick; data curation, Aviad Zick; writing—original draft preparation, Daniel Burg, Aryeh Babkoff & Aviad Zick; writing—review and editing; Daniel Burg, Aryeh Babkoff, Sadie Ostrowicki, Gabriella Sebbag, Narmine Elkhateeb, Daniela Katz, Omer Or, Noam Olshinka, Jonathan Abraham Demma, Mohamad Adila, Marc Wygoda, Philip Blumenfeld, Yusef Azraq, Petachia Reissman, Anat Hershko Moshe, Dania Jaber, Adi Hollander, Limor Rubin & Aviad Zick; visualization, Judith Diment, Masha Galiner & Aviad Zick; supervision, Aviad Zick; project administration, Aviad Zick; funding acquisition, Aviad Zick. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Availability of Data and Materials:** The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

**Ethics Approval:** This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Hadassah Medical Center, with the reference number: HMO-0346-12.

**Informed Consent:** The patient provided informed consent for publication of this case report and accompanying images; all identifying information has been removed.

**Conflicts of Interests:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Supplementary Materials:** The supplementary material is available online at <https://www.techscience.com/doi/10.32604/or.2025.070233/sl>.

## References

1. Gazendam AM, Popovic S, Munir S, Parasu N, Wilson D, Ghert M. Synovial sarcoma: a clinical review. *Curr Oncol*. 2021;28(3):1909–20. doi:10.3390/curroncol28030177.
2. McBride MJ, Pulice JL, Beird HC, Ingram DR, D'Avino AR, Shern JF, et al. The SS18-SSX fusion oncoprotein hijacks BAF complex targeting and function to drive synovial sarcoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2018;33(6):1128–41.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.05.002.
3. De Rocco S, Di Biasi J, Fantasia I, Tabacco S, Ricevuto E, Palumbo P, et al. Recurrent metastatic pulmonary synovial sarcoma during pregnancy: a case report and literature review. *Diagnostics*. 2024;14(4):424. doi:10.3390/diagnostics14040424.
4. Chotel F, Unnithan A, Chandrasekar CR, Parot R, Jeys L, Grimer RJ. Variability in the presentation of synovial sarcoma in children: a plea for greater awareness. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2008;90(8):1090–6. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.90B8.19815.
5. Stacchiotti S, Van Tine BA. Synovial sarcoma: current concepts and future perspectives. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(2):180–7. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.75.1941.
6. Aytekin MN, Öztürk R, Amer K, Yapar A. Epidemiology, incidence, and survival of synovial sarcoma subtypes: SEER database analysis. *J Orthop Surg*. 2020;28(2):2309499020936009. doi:10.1177/2309499020936009.
7. Landuzzi L, Manara MC, Pazzaglia L, Lollini PL, Scotlandi K. Innovative breakthroughs for the treatment of advanced and metastatic synovial sarcoma. *Cancers*. 2023;15(15):3887. doi:10.3390/cancers15153887.
8. Zhou H, Ma Y, Liu F, Li B, Qiao D, Ren P, et al. Current advances in cancer vaccines targeting NY-ESO-1 for solid cancer treatment. *Front Immunol*. 2023;14:1255799. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1255799.

9. Gingrich AA, Marrufo AS, Liu Y, Li CS, Darrow MA, Monjazeb AM, et al. Radiotherapy is associated with improved survival in patients with synovial sarcoma undergoing surgery: a national cancer database analysis. *J Surg Res.* 2020;255:378–87. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2020.05.075.
10. Eilber FC, Brennan MF, Eilber FR, Eckardt JJ, Grobmyer SR, Riedel E, et al. Chemotherapy is associated with improved survival in adult patients with primary extremity synovial sarcoma. *Ann Surg.* 2007;246(1):105–13. doi:10.1097/01.sla.0000262787.88639.2b.
11. Weiss MC, Van Tine BA. Relapsed synovial sarcoma: treatment options. *Curr Treat Options Oncol.* 2023;24(3):229–39. doi:10.1007/s11864-023-01056-5.
12. Spurrell EL, Fisher C, Thomas JM, Judson IR. Prognostic factors in advanced synovial sarcoma: an analysis of 104 patients treated at the Royal Marsden Hospital. *Ann Oncol.* 2005;16(3):437–44. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdi082.
13. Seto T, Song MN, Trieu M, Yu J, Sidhu M, Liu CM, et al. Real-world experiences with pazopanib in patients with advanced soft tissue and bone sarcoma in northern California. *Med Sci.* 2019;7(3):48. doi:10.3390/medsci7030048.
14. Baranov E, McBride MJ, Bellizzi AM, Ligon AH, Fletcher CDM, Kadoch C, et al. A novel SS18-SSX fusion-specific antibody for the diagnosis of synovial sarcoma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2020;44(7):922–33. doi:10.1097/PAS.0000000000001447.
15. Nacev BA, Sanchez-Vega F, Smith SA, Antonescu CR, Rosenbaum E, Shi H, et al. Clinical sequencing of soft tissue and bone sarcomas delineates diverse genomic landscapes and potential therapeutic targets. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13(1):3405. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-30453-x.
16. Liu H, Nazmun N, Hassan S, Liu X, Yang J. BRAF mutation and its inhibitors in sarcoma treatment. *Cancer Med.* 2020;9(14):4881–96. doi:10.1002/cam4.3103.
17. Grob JJ, Amonkar MM, Karaszewska B, Schachter J, Dummer R, Mackiewicz A, et al. Comparison of dabrafenib and trametinib combination therapy with vemurafenib monotherapy on health-related quality of life in patients with unresectable or metastatic cutaneous BRAF Val600-mutation-positive melanoma (COMBI-v): results of a phase 3, open-label, randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(13):1389–98. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00087-X.
18. Robert C, Grob JJ, Stroyakovskiy D, Karaszewska B, Hauschild A, Levchenko E, et al. Five-year outcomes with dabrafenib plus trametinib in metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(7):626–36. doi:10.1056/NEJMoal904059.
19. Shah S, Rana T, Kancharla P, Monga D. Targeted therapy for BRAF V600E positive pancreatic adenocarcinoma: two case reports. *Cancer Genom Proteom.* 2023;20(4):398–403. doi:10.21873/cgp.20391.
20. Adamopoulos C, Cave DD, Papavassiliou AG. Inhibition of the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling cascade in pancreatic cancer: recent advances and future perspectives. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2024;25(3):1631. doi:10.3390/ijms25031631.
21. Subbiah V, Kreitman RJ, Wainberg ZA, Gazzah A, Lassen U, Stein A, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in BRAFV600E-mutated rare cancers: the phase 2 ROAR trial. *Nat Med.* 2023;29(5):1103–12. doi:10.1038/s41591-023-02321-8.
22. Subbiah V, Puzanov I, Blay JY, Chau I, Lockhart AC, Raje NS, et al. Pan-cancer efficacy of vemurafenib in BRAF (V600)-mutant non-melanoma cancers. *Cancer Discov.* 2020;10(5):657–63. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-1265.
23. Watanabe S, Shimomura A, Kubo T, Sekimizu M, Seo T, Watanabe SI, et al. BRAF V600E mutation is a potential therapeutic target for a small subset of synovial sarcoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2020;33(9):1660–8. doi:10.1038/s41379-020-0530-3.
24. Russo I, Barresi S, Di Paolo PL, Di Ruscio V, Del Baldo G, Serra A, et al. Intrathoracic synovial sarcoma with BRAF V600E mutation. *Oncotarget.* 2023;14(1):703–8. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.28475.
25. Riley DS, Barber MS, Kienle GS, Aronson JK, von Schoen-Angerer T, Tugwell P, et al. CARE guidelines for case reports: explanation and elaboration document. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2017;89:218–35. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.026.
26. Henter JI, Horne A, Aricó M, Egeler RM, Filipovich AH, Imashuku S, et al. HLH-2004: diagnostic and therapeutic guidelines for hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2007;48(2):124–31. doi:10.1002/pbc.21039.

27. Hayden A, Park S, Giustini D, Lee AYY, Chen LYC. Hemophagocytic syndromes (HPSs) including hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) in adults: a systematic scoping review. *Blood Rev.* 2016;30(6):411–20. doi:10.1016/j.blre.2016.05.001.
28. Rivière S, Galicier L, Coppo P, Marzac C, Aumont C, Lambotte O, et al. Reactive hemophagocytic syndrome in adults: a retrospective analysis of 162 patients. *Am J Med.* 2014;127(11):1118–25. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.04.034.
29. Ishii E, Ohga S, Imashuku S, Yasukawa M, Tsuda H, Miura I, et al. Nationwide survey of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis in Japan. *Int J Hematol.* 2007;86(1):58–65. doi:10.1532/IJH97.07012.
30. Fardet L, Galicier L, Lambotte O, Marzac C, Aumont C, Chahwan D, et al. Development and validation of the HScore, a score for the diagnosis of reactive hemophagocytic syndrome. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2014;66(9):2613–20. doi:10.1002/art.38690.
31. Jordan MB, Allen CE, Weitzman S, Filipovich AH, McClain KL. How I treat hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Blood.* 2011;118(15):4041–52. doi:10.1182/blood-2011-03-278127.
32. Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zerón P, López-Guillermo A, Khamashta MA, Bosch X. Adult haemophagocytic syndrome. *Lancet.* 2014;383(9927):1503–16. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61048-X.
33. Zhao YZ, Ma HH, Wang D, Lian HY, Wang TY, Zhang R. Analysis of 9 cases of drug induced hypersensitivity syndrome related hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Chin J Pediatr.* 2024;62(1):60–5. doi:10.3760/cma.j.cn112140-20231023-00317.
34. Samaran Q, Belakebi D, Theret S, Becquart O, Girard C, Du Thanh A, et al. Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis in advanced melanoma treated with dabrafenib and trametinib combination: two cases. *Melanoma Res.* 2020;30(5):519–23. doi:10.1097/CMR.0000000000000690.
35. Elmes JB, Davis JM, Musselwhite LW, Chiad Z, Moore DC, Amin A. Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis induced by dabrafenib-trametinib in a patient with metastatic melanoma: a case report and pharmacovigilance analysis. *Melanoma Res.* 2024;34(5):465–8. doi:10.1097/CMR.0000000000000992.
36. Demarez B, Eté M, David L, Quiles N, Archier E. Macrophage activation syndrome associated with BRAF and MEK inhibitors during adjuvant treatment of melanoma. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2022;36(9):e688–9. doi:10.1111/jdv.18130.
37. Dalal S, Shan KS, Thaw Dar NN, Hussein A, Ergle A. Role of immunotherapy in sarcomas. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2024;25(2):1266. doi:10.3390/ijms25021266.
38. Fuchs JR, Schulte BC, Fuchs JW, Agulnik M. Emerging targeted and cellular therapies in the treatment of advanced and metastatic synovial sarcoma. *Front Oncol.* 2023;13:1123464. doi:10.3389/fonc.2023.1123464.