

Computers, Materials & Continua DOI:10.32604/cmc.2022.021338 Article

Metaheuristic Resource Allocation Strategy for Cluster Based 6G Industrial Applications

Anwer Mustafa Hilal^{1,*}, Lamia Osman Widaa², Fahd N. Al-Wesabi³, Mohammad Medani³, Manar Ahmed Hamza¹ and Mesfer Al Duhayyim⁴

¹Department of Computer and Self Development, Preparatory Year Deanship, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, AlKhari, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Electrical Engineering, College of Engineering, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Computer Science, King Khalid University, Muhavel Aseer, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Natural and Applied Sciences, College of Community-Aflaj, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University,

Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding Author: Anwer Mustafa Hilal. Email: a.hilal@psau.edu.sa Received: 30 June 2021; Accepted: 12 August 2021

Abstract: The emergence of Beyond 5G (B5G) and 6G networks translated personal and industrial operations highly effective, reliable, and gainful by speeding up the growth of next generation Internet of Things (IoT). Industrial equipment in 6G encompasses a huge number of wireless sensors, responsible for collecting massive quantities of data. At the same time, 6G network can take real-world intelligent decisions and implement automated equipment operations. But the inclusion of different technologies into the system increased its energy consumption for which appropriate measures need to be taken. This has become mandatory for optimal resource allocation in 6G-enabled industrial applications. In this scenario, the current research paper introduces a new metaheuristic resource allocation strategy for clusterbased 6G industrial applications, named MRAS-CBIA technique. MRAS-CBIA technique aims at accomplishing energy efficiency and optimal resource allocation in 6G-enabled industrial applications. The proposed MRAS-CBIR technique involves three major processes. Firstly, Weighted Clustering Technique (WCT) is employed to elect the optimal Cluster Heads (CHs) or coordinating agents with the help of three parameters namely, residual energy, distance, and node degree. Secondly, Decision Tree-based Location Prediction (DTLP) mechanism is applied to determine the exact location of Management Agent (MA). Finally, Fuzzy C-means with Tunicate Swarm Algorithm (FCM-TSA) is used for optimal resource allocation in 6G industrial applications. The performance of the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique was validated and the results were examined under different dimensions. The resultant experimental values highlighted the superior performance of MRAS-CBIR technique over existing state-of-the-art methods.

Keywords: 6G; industrial internet of things; energy efficiency; resource allocation; metaheuristics



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1 Introduction

With a strong motivation coming from highly-demanding upcoming IoT-enabled applications and constraints faced in fifth generation (5G) networks, sixth generation (6G) networks have evolved based on 5G in all aspects to revolutionize human life and society. At first, network efficiency of 6G is set to upgrade to new high levels, for instance, high data rate (upto Tbps), low latency (sub-ms), 3D ubiquitous coverage (in sea, undersea, and space), high precision localization (up to cm-level), extreme level security, privacy, etc. Next, 6G application areas are set to be highly complex and multi-faceted in nature, resulting in conflicting needs [1].

Consequently, 6G possesses the capability to connect millions of applications and devices seamlessly with a guarantee for performance. Therefore, 6G plays a significant role in enabling huge connectivity for IoT devices of completely different service needs. In order to enable huge IoT, 6G is set to follow a novel network framework with advanced technologies that can meet the future requirements. Fig. 1 shows the applications of 6G networks. From technological framework perceptions, some industrial 6G primarily consists of application layer, perception layer, network layer, and platform layer. Amongst them, perception layer gathers huge volumes of data through a number of sensor nodes. Data mining is a concept which practices the collection of huge volumes of data [2,3]. The network layer is primarily accounted for forwarding and transmission of data, whereas the application and platform layers exist in data centre. In spite of holding huge volumes of data, conventional data centre is highly ineffective in data processing. It has several wireless communication techniques. It cannot meet the transmission needs with the help of one kind of network communication technique during distinct situations. The sensor nodes in the network should execute cooperative transmission if it needs to achieve low delay, less network transmission cost and high efficiency [4]. But, due to network bandwidth constraints, when the requirement for low latency and collaborative work increases, the CC scheme could not meet the needs of users within the given response time. Now, the development of edge computing module certainly carries a solution to this efficacy problem. But there is certainly a low level of gradual reduction observed in the energy consumption of sensor nodes. Further, the edge computing module still faces the QoS needs of the scheme. In this scenario, it becomes inevitable to find a solution for the execution of moderate resource allocation to reduce node energy utilization and attain an effective collaboration among nodes [5].

In order to achieve the aforementioned requirement and increase the overall capacity of system, two distinct methods can be followed based on Shannon's data concept *i.e.*, improve the spectral performance or increase system bandwidth [6]. Though there exists few challenges, it is familiar that spectrum management is significant for effective spectrum consumption. Particularly, it is well-known that the main problem in mobile networks are fixed models for resource management and spectrum assignment. This becomes highly complex in 6G, owing to increasing number of subscribers and their demand for high number of data-hungry applications and intermittent connectivity [7]. In this scenario, several researches have displayed that though fixed spectrum allocation is not a difficult task, spectrum performance becomes low because the license owners of the specific spectrum do not use it continuously. Many methods have been projected to improve spectrum management, for instance, auction mechanisms/Opportunistic Spectrum Access (OSA). In spite of the benefits of this approach, there exists few challenges based on convergence, security, and high computing power. Mainly, when this protocol provides few collaborations at system level, the collaboration among the users is not deliberated since it hinders the efficiency of entire solution. Compared to the previous generation, 6G is anticipated to be highly supportive with novel techniques such as mobile edge computing, wireless power transfer, Device-to-Device (D2D) communications and IoE highly based on the cooperation among devices. In such case, there is no need of new methods based on resource management and central authority controlling spectrums like blockchain [8,9].



Figure 1: Applications of 6G networks

Liu et al. [10] proposed NOMA-based hybrid spectrum access system for 6G-enabled cognitive IoT (CIoT) in which CIoT might access the busy and idle spectrum through NOMA irrespective of PU states. Mukherjee et al. [11] tackled the energy utilization problem of a huge IoT system using dynamic network clustering/architecture with MAS for industrial 6G applications. Shukla et al. [12] proposed Block RAS, a blockchain-based RAS to manage the demand & supply of resources among RPC and users through a trusted and secured platform. Block RAS offers an optimum bandwidth transmission which is highly reliable and low latent among RPC and users with embedded 6G network structure. Sodhro et al. [13] emphasized on how energy-effective communication occurs and a user's QoE level could be taken by UT device at the time of multimedia transmission. At last, a relation module is presented to integrate QoS parameters after evaluating the QoE perceptions. Deebak et al. [14] presented Dynamic Driven Congestion Control and Segment Re-routing (DD-CCSR) process with two basic objectives such as mitigation of signal congestion and flow rate and enhancement of path adjustment and monitoring procedure. Segment rerouting method, Deleroi Superimposed, and forward backward interface were configured and implemented in IMSCore framework to analyze the quality metrics like transmission delay and throughput rate.

Liu et al. [15], a new MD-IMA system was proposed to exploit disparate resource limitations between heterogeneous equipment for 5G & 6G networks. Using real-world QoS requirements, the resource availability and real-world data analyses of the interrelated equipment were established in the projected MD-IMA system. Based on this, MA system is smartly adopted for all the equipment in multidimensional resource areas so as to maximize the entire system requirements which had functional limitations. Hu et al. [16] proposed a blockchain and AI-empowered DRS framework. In this framework, the blockchain was utilized for achieving the functionalities in DRS with security and automation and enhanced distribution. Further, AI was executed to improve the efficiency of decision making and pattern recognition in DRS.

The current research paper introduces a new Metaheuristic Resource Allocation Strategy for Cluster-Based 6G Industrial Applications abbreviated as MRAS-CBIA technique. The proposed MRAS-CBIA technique aims at accomplishing energy efficiency and resource allocation in 6G industrial applications. The proposed MRAS-CBIR technique involves three major processes. Firstly, Weighted Clustering Technique (WCT) is employed to elect the optimal Cluster Heads (CHs) or coordinating agents using three parameters namely, residual energy, distance, and node degree. Secondly, Decision Tree-based Location Prediction (DTLP) mechanism is applied to determine the exact location of Management Agent (MA). Finally, Fuzzy C-Means with Tunicate Swarm Algorithm (FCM-TSA) is used for optimal resource allocation in 6G industrial applications. The performance of the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique was validated and the results were examined under different dimensions.

2 System Model

The authors proposed MRAS-CBIA-based 6G scheme in which a huge amount of sensor nodes is arbitrarily placed. In order to manage and decrease the continual process of redundant/related data, the authors introduced the idea of clustering. This method separates the sensor nodes into distinct clusters, *i.e.*, separates the whole network into a number of autonomous and independent small networks. The task, assumed by the sensor nodes in every cluster, is distinct. A task's Cluster Member node (CM) is the so-called Task Agent (TA). CH selection and clustering processes consume more amount energy. So, the goal is to decrease the energy utilization as much as possible while enhance the performance of the system. A dynamic MA is determined in the proposed MRAS-CBIA scheme to manage the entire node resources. It is important to attain a precise position data of MA for smart resource allocation. Hence, the study forecasted the position data of MA. Based on the memory and energy utilization of whole 6G system, moderate resource allocation is performed for every cluster. When a CH is assigned to the resource, it announces the CM in the cluster and request is to send the collected observation to themselves. In order to decrease the effect of redundant data, WCT is used for CH selection as it results in reduced energy utilization. Then, the CM meets the needs and dynamic alliance is created to complete the tasks of whole system. It defines the execution of complete resource allocation in succeeding sections.

3 The Proposed Model

The overall working principle, involved in the presented MRAS-CBIA technique, is shown in Fig. 2. Primarily, the network nodes are randomly placed in preferable industrial applications. Then, WCT is used to select the CHs (coordinate nodes) while rest of the nodes are termed as CMs (task nodes). Followed by, DTLP technique is used to estimate the location of nodes in the network. Lastly, FCM-TSA technique is utilized in proficient allocation of resources in 6G industrial application environment. The detailed working process of every module is discussed in the upcoming subsections.

3.1 Weighted Clustering Technique

WCT is employed in determining the CHs and it creates clusters based on three variables such as node degree, residual energy, and distance.



Figure 2: Proposed architecture for 6G networks

3.1.1 Energy

When a message is transmitted through k bits at distance d from a recipient, then the energy of node n_i , it is defined based on Eq. (1).

$$Er_i = E - \left(E_{Tx}\left(k, d\right) + E_{Rx \sim elec}\left(k\right)\right),\tag{1}$$

Where E: denotes the current energy of the node; $E_{Tx}(k, d) = k \cdot E_{elec} + k \cdot E_{amp} \cdot d^2$ implies the fundamental power utilized in the transmission of message, whereas E_{amp} represents the fundamental amplifier energy; $E_{Rx\sim elec}(k) = kE_{elec}$: it indicates the power used at the time of obtaining a message.

3.1.2 Distance

It is possible to have constraint node distance to enhance cluster consistency [17]. For each node i, the amount of distance is defined by D_i with every neighbor j. This distance is employed by

$$D_i = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left\{ dist\left(i, j\right) \right\}.$$
⁽²⁾

(3)

3.1.3 Node Degree

It displays the amount of n_i 's neighbors given by (5) based on Eq. (3):

$$C_i = |N(i)|$$

Whereas $N(i) = \{n_i/dist(i,j) < tx_{range} \text{ with } i \neq j\}$, dist(i,j): out distance that distinguishes the two nodes n_i and n_j , tx_{range} : the broadcast radius. For all nodes, weight P_i should be evaluated according to the employed function.

$$P_i = w_1 * Er_i + w_2 * D_i + w_3 * C_i \tag{4}$$

whereas w_1 , w_2 and w_3 represent the coefficients of system conditions. Thus,

$$w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = 1 \tag{5}$$

3.2 Location Prediction Mechanism

A DT-based technique is adapted in the prediction of subsequent semantic place based on the extracted spatiotemporal features. Stay points, denoted through precise position of latitude and longitude, are nearly useless in describing the position. Hence, it is critical to annotate the stay points with location type which become semantic places when taking end as the prediction object. For endowing the stay points with individual data, a semantic place recognition process is proposed by POI dictionary and "check in" points. Consecutive semantic trajectories of all the individuals are created after the execution of semantic place recognition procedure. Based on the past motion paths, one can detect the behavioral patterns and individual activity routines with the help of DT technique, a common ML approach [18]. Generally, it takes two stages to build a DT such as pruning and developing decision tree. In this study, ID3 DT method was adapted as a key tool in the implementation of DT. This technique generates a multiway tree containing leaf node, root node, child node, and branches. The categorical feature present in every node should be found since it produce huge data for categorical targets. Data gain and entropy equations are given below.

$$entropy(D) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 p_i,$$
(6)

$$gain = entropy(D) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{|D^{j}|}{D} \times entropy(D_{j}),$$
(7)

Whereas p_i denotes the likely presence of class *i* in dataset *D*, *j* denotes every branch node in the tree, and $|D^i|/|D|$ defines the weight of jth partition. The attribute with maximum data gain is chosen as the optimal extended branch for respective nodes. DT is made for individuals in location type prediction, based on FS. Once a DT is created, few branches tend to reflect the noise in training data. Later, pruning procedure is executed to resolve the data overfitting problems. ID3 utilizes pessimistic pruning with the help of error rates, evaluated from the training set, so as to replace the subtree with a leaf node. This leaf is labeled with more common classes between the subtrees, being substituted.

3.3 Resource Allocation Strategy

Tunicates are able to determine the position of food source in sea. But it cannot view the source of food in the provided searching area. TSA adapts two characteristics of tunicates in food source identification process namely jet propulsion and Swarm Intelligence (SI). In the mathematical

modeling of jet propulsion nature, tunicates need to fulfill three criteria such as avoiding the conflict amongst Search Agents (SAs), motion in the course of place for the optimal SA, and staying closer to the optimal SA. Then, the swarming nature updates the position of SAs based on the optimum solution. In order to avoid the conflicts amongst SAs, vector \vec{A} is used to compute the location of new SAs.

$$\vec{A} = \frac{\vec{G}}{\vec{M}} \tag{8}$$

$$\vec{G} = c_2 + c_3 - \vec{F} \tag{9}$$

$$\vec{F} = 2 \cdot c_1 \tag{10}$$

where \vec{G} and \vec{F} indicate grariab lesce and water flow advection in deep sea. The parameters c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 are arbitrary numbers that exist in the interval of [0,1] and \vec{M} signifies the social force amongst SAs. The vector \vec{M} can be determined using Eq. (11):

$$M = \lfloor P_{\min} + c_1 \cdot P_{\max} - P_{\min} \rfloor \tag{11}$$

where P_{\min} and P_{\max} denote the initial and sub-ordinate speeds for making social interaction [19]. In order to avoid conflicts amongst the neighboring ones, the SAs move in the course of optimal neighbor.

$$\vec{P}D = \left| \vec{F}S - r_{and} \cdot \vec{P}_{p}(x) \right|$$
(12)

where $\vec{P}D$ represents the distance between food source and tunicates that search for food, x designates the present round and $\vec{F}S$ denotes the place of food source, *i.e.*, optimum. Then, the vector $\vec{P}_p(x)$ specifies the location of tunicate and r_{and} is an arbitrary number that lies in the interval of [0, 1]. The SA could manage the location in the course of optimal SA.

$$\vec{P}_{p}(x) = \rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{F}S + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{P}Difr_{and} \ge 0.5\\ \vec{F}S - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{P}Difr_{and} < 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(13)

where $\vec{P}_p(x')$ denotes the updated location of tunicates, based on the place of food source \vec{FS} . In the mathematical simulation of swarming nature of tunicates, the initial pair of optimum solutions are stored while the locations are updated based on the location of optimal SAs. The swarming nature of tunicates can be defined as follows.

$$P_{p}(x+1) = \frac{P_{p}(x) + P_{p}(x+1)}{2 + c_{1}}$$
(14)

The processes involved in TSA are listed herewith. Fig. 3 illustrates the flowchart of TSA technique.

Step-1: Initialization of tunicate population, \vec{P}

Step-2: Select the initial parameters and maximum iteration count

Step-3: Determine the fitness value of all SAs

Step-4: Once the fitness values are computed, the optimal SA is identified in the provided searching area

Step-5: Upgrade the location of all SAs

Step-6: Modify the upgraded SA that exceeds the limit in the provided searching area

Step-7: Determine the fitness value of the upgraded SA. When improved solutions are obtained compared to earlier ones, then upgrade P_p .

Step-8: When the termination condition is fulfilled, then TSA is terminated. Or else, go to step 5 Step-9: Display the optimum solutions attained



Figure 3: Flowchart of TSA

Generally, FCM is employed in grouping the instances, in which the FCM application depends upon the guarantee of participation incentive/opening bunch in order to focus the review features. It provides a method to assemble the 'data focusses' that populate few multidimensional spaces for a certain amount of distinct clusters. A fundamental perspective of fuzzy c represents that the clustering process provides approval to the repeated enrollment of data focuses on clusters, which are defined as degrees. The key objective of FCM and the determination of iterative bunching are to limit the weight inside clustering fully with a squared blunder target capability given below.

$$O_{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{c} m_{ij}^{e} \left\| f_{i} - c_{j} \right\|^{2}$$
(15)

Whereas O_e denotes the Objective function and Fuzziness Index, d, m, c as Membership of ith data to jth cluster center, feature vector, and jth cluster center. FCM permits every component vector to keep a position with each bunch using truth esteem (in the range of zero and one)

Based on traditional FCM clustering and TSA model, FCM-TSA technique is introduced to supply the resource in 6G industrial IoT platforms. The fundamental aim is to employ TSA module in FCM method. Consider the cluster sample set as $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, in which x_p denotes d dimension vector. It has an essential need to classify the sample set as c class. Then, set the cluster

center as $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_c\}$, and define the degree so that the sample points come under j-th class as μ_{ij} . As well, the fuzzy matrix of sample space X is $U = (\mu_{ij})$. FCM technique is denoted as a successive objective function for extreme problem [20]:

$$Q = \min \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=1}^{c} \mu_{ij} \|x_p - v_q\|^2$$
(16)

Thus, $\sum_{q=1}^{c} \mu_{pq} = 1, \mu_{pq} \in [0, 1], q = 1, 2, \dots, n, q = 1, 2, \dots, c$. In Eq. (17), μ_{pq} denotes the degree of belongingness to q-th data point of p-th cluster, v_q signifies the q-th cluster, $||x_q - v_q||$ represents the Euclidean distance from sample point x_p to a cluster center v_q , and m indicates a fuzzy index. In addition, U and V are denoted as follows.

$$v_{q} = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mu_{pq}^{m} x_{p}}{\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mu_{pq}^{m}}$$
(17)

$$\mu_{pq} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{c} \left(\frac{||x_{p}-v_{q}||}{||x_{p}-v_{k}||}\right)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}}$$
(18)

This technique is called local optimization method since an optimum solution is provided with the help of hill climbing. The primary goal is to enhance the affinity between objects that are separated as same cluster and to reduce the comparison among different clusters. TSA method is a heuristic method with advantages of global optimization and fast convergence. Hence, it is combined with FCM technique to resolve the disadvantages and advantages of FCM method and is named after FCM-TSA module. In FCM-TSA method, the main aim of FCM tactic is to calculate the cluster center, and $x_p = (v_{p1}, v_{p2}, \dots, v_{pq}, \dots, v_{pc})$ displays a cluster center set in TSA, whereas v_{pq} represents q-th cluster center in p-th clustering method.

In the event of 6G industrial IoT applications, the resource attribute contains bandwidth, computation, and storage. Different operations are included by various resources. However, only a few kinds of computation resources and computational tasks are highly efficient, while few bandwidth tasks and bandwidth resources are deliberated as important ones. Thus, to meet the requirements of distinct users, the resources are gathered into sets. Due to the heterogeneity and dynamicity of 6G industrial IoT resources, it is highly challenging to define the unique resources. So, it is employed with FCM-TSA module to cluster the resources based on multidimensional attributes. A group of 6G industrial IoT resources $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_m\}$ displays m 6G industrial IoT resource nodes. In this, each 6G industrial IoT resource node contains n features. In Eq. (16), r_{pq} denotes the q-th feature attributes of resource r_p . Prior to fuzzy clustering, it is significant to standardize the data for different processes. The stage to cluster 6G industrial IoT resource is given herewith. Henceforth, to resolve the impacts created by translation cases instantaneous, SD transformation should be employed since it can standardize the resource matrix data.

$$r'_{pq} = \frac{r_{pq} - \overline{r_{pq}}}{S_q}$$

$$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} r_{pq}$$
(19)
(20)

$$S_{q} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n} (r_{pq} - \bar{r})^{2}}$$
(21)

Whereas maximal value of the resource in q-th dimensional feature is \bar{r} , \bar{r}_{pq} denotes the maximal value of q-th feature attribute of resource r_p and SD of each resource in q-th dimensional feature is denoted by S_q . The calculated data, fulfilling a normal distribution, denotes mean as 0 and SD as 1. Thus, the translation range, employed for changing matrix data, lies in the range of zero and one.

$$r_{pq}'' = \frac{r_{pq}' - \min\{r_{pq}'\}}{\max\{r_{pq}\} - \min\{r_{pq}'\}}$$
(22)

whereas, $\min \{r'_{pq}\}$ denotes a lower value in $\{r'_{1q}, r'_{2q}, \ldots, r'_{mq}\}$, and $\max \{r'_{pq}\}$ indicates a higher value in $\{r'_{1q}, r'_{2q}, \ldots, r'_{mq}\}$.

Based on TSA, the fuzzy clustering method is presented to cluster the calculated resource matrix data. The procedure included in TSA is discussed herewith. The initiation of population occurs when each individual contains a randomly-created cluster center that divides the resources under three categories, while the cooperative number of cluster centers are stated to be three. Next, the membership matrix μ_{pq} is estimated and the cluster center c_q is determined on the basis of Eq. (23). Therefore, FF value is employed to calculate the fitness measure, global extreme score & single extreme score. When superior values of the iteration are fulfilled, it can be ended so as to reach the desirable cluster center.

$$c_{q} = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mu_{pq}^{m} x_{p}}{\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mu_{pq}^{m} x_{p}}$$
(23)

Then, global and local pollution are continuously updated. When it undergoes a large amount of iterations, then it can be ended to acquire a group of cluster centers. Next, the obtained simulation result is deliberated as a primary value for FCM module and is executed to achieve the global optimum solution. At last, when the clustering function gets completed, 6G industrial IoT resources are divided into three elements such as bandwidth, computing, and storage resources. When 6G industrial IoT resources are separated, then the resource scale of a provisioning procedure gets constrained. So, the user requirements must be categorized under different kinds. Appropriate resource classification is required in which the needs of users should be mapped with resources.

4 Performance Validation

The current section validates the performance of the proposed MRAS-CBIR technique under different measures. Tab. 1 shows the results from channel capacity analysis of MRAS-CBIR technique against other techniques under varying Signal-to-Noise ratios (SNR). The experimental results highlight that the proposed MRAS-CBIR technique obtained an increased channel capacity with a rise in SNR level and is superior to all other techniques. For instance, with an SNR of 5 dB, MRAS-CBIR technique obtained a high channel capacity of 3.205 whereas BPNN-CNN, APSO, HML, and conventional models attained the least channel capacity values such as 1.548, 2.984, 1.069, and 0.480 respectively. Similarly, with an SNR of 10 dB, the proposed MRAS-CBIR technique accomplished an increased channel capacity of 3.942, whereas BPNN-CNN, APSO, HML, and conventional models accomplished the least channel capacity values such as 3.389, 3.794, 1.843, and 1.032 respectively. Likewise, with an SNR of 15 dB, MRAS-CBIR technique achieved an improved channel capacity of 6.520, whereas BPNN-CNN, APSO, HML, and conventional models demonstrated reduced channel

capacity values such as 6.336, 5.047, 3.168, and 2.137 respectively. Eventually, with an SNR of 20 dB, MRAS-CBIR technique obtained an enhanced channel capacity of 10.423, whereas other models such as BPNN-CNN, APSO, HML, and conventional models attained the minimal channel capacity values such as 10.239, 6.483, 5.120, and 3.573 respectively.

SNR (dB)	MRAS-CBIA	BPNN-CNN	APSO	HML	Conventional
0	2.726	0.701	2.653	0.517	0.222
1	2.763	0.848	2.653	0.627	0.333
2	2.874	0.959	2.653	0.701	0.333
3	2.911	1.106	2.763	0.811	0.369
4	3.021	1.290	2.911	0.848	0.443
5	3.205	1.548	2.984	1.069	0.480
6	3.279	1.843	3.095	1.106	0.627
7	3.352	2.100	3.279	1.401	0.738
8	3.573	2.542	3.426	1.511	0.775
9	3.758	2.874	3.573	1.732	0.959
10	3.942	3.389	3.794	1.843	1.032
11	4.200	3.868	4.052	2.100	1.253
12	4.420	4.347	4.347	2.432	1.474
13	5.120	5.047	4.531	2.653	1.622
14	5.746	5.636	4.715	2.911	1.916
15	6.520	6.336	5.047	3.168	2.137
16	7.219	7.072	5.231	3.647	2.321
17	8.030	7.735	5.673	3.942	2.616
18	8.729	8.582	5.894	4.273	2.984
19	9.503	9.319	6.188	4.678	3.242
20	10.423	10.239	6.483	5.120	3.573

 Table 1: Results of the and proposed MRAS-CBIA and existing methods on channel capacity during communication

A comparative analysis of the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique against existing techniques was conducted in terms of Depletion Rate of Residual Energy (DRRE) and the results are shown in Tab. 2. For enhanced network performance, DRRE value should be high and tend to decrease with a rise in time response. The experimental values highlight that the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique accomplished an effectual outcome with a minimal DDRE value under distinct time responses. For instance, at a time response of 0.05 ms, MRAS-CBIA technique gained a DDRE of 16.331, whereas BPNN-CNN, LEACH-VD, and conventional techniques exhibited high DDRE values such as 23.207, 53.004, and 65.896 respectively. Meanwhile, at a time response of 0.20 ms, MRAS-CBIA technique accomplished a DDRE of 11.174, whereas BPNN-CNN, LEACH-VD, and conventional techniques demonstrated increased DDRE values such as 15.471, 37.532, and 89.104 respectively. Concurrently, at a time response of 0.30ms, MRAS-CBIA technique produced a DDRE of 9.168, whereas BPNN-CNN, LEACH-VD, and conventional techniques depicted the maximum increase in

the DDRE value such as 14.039, 33.521, and 59.593 respectively. Lastly, at a time response of 0.50ms, the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique attained a DDRE of 6.303, whereas BPNN-CNN, LEACH-VD, and conventional techniques accomplished increased DDRE values such as 10.314, 27.505, and 51.571 respectively.

Time response (ms)	MRAS-CBIA	BPNN-CNN	LEACH-VD	Conventional
0.05	16.331	23.207	53.004	89.104
0.10	14.039	20.055	45.555	77.930
0.15	13.179	17.763	40.397	70.194
0.20	11.174	15.471	37.532	65.896
0.25	10.314	14.612	35.527	62.172
0.30	9.168	14.039	33.521	59.593
0.35	8.882	12.893	31.516	56.442
0.40	8.022	12.606	30.370	55.009
0.45	7.163	10.887	28.937	52.431
0.50	6.303	10.314	27.505	51.571

Table 2: Results of the proposed MRAS-CBIA and existing methods in terms of network performance

Fig. 4 shows the results achieved in the performance of MRAS-CBIA technique in terms of resource allocation under numerous rounds of simulation. From the figure, it is evident that the NN model is the least performer with low resource allocation outcome. Followed by, APSO-DNN model showcased a slightly increased performance over NN model. In line with this, BPNN-CNN model tried to portray a moderately considerable resource allocation performance. However, the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique accomplished superior performance over other techniques with maximum resource allocation performance.



Figure 4: Analysis of optimal resource allocation

Finally, a detailed power consumption analysis of the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique against other techniques was performed and the results are shown in Tab. 3 and Fig. 5. The experimental values showcase that the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique gained an effectual outcome by consuming

minimal power under distinct node counts. For instance, under 25 nodes, MRAS-CBIA technique reduced the power consumption to 0.017 mW, whereas Gaussian Copula, Conventional method, and BPNN-CNN techniques increased the power consumption up to 0.144, 0.308, and 0.054 mW respectively. Under 50 nodes, MRAS-CBIA technique reduced the power consumption to 0.816mW, whereas Gaussian Copula, Conventional method, and BPNN-CNN techniques accomplished high power consumption *i.e.*, 0.816, 1.215, and 0.253 mW respectively. Moreover, under 75 nodes, MRAS-CBIA technique reduced the power consumption up to 2.031, 2.358, and 0.616 mW respectively. Finally, under 100 nodes, MRAS-CBIA technique reduced the power consumption to 4.335 mW, whereas Gaussian Copula, Conventional method, and BPNN-CNN techniques resulted in increased power consumption of 3.827, 1.414, and 0.689 mW respectively.

Table 3: Results of the proposed MRAS-CBIA and existing methods in terms of power consumption (mW)

No. of nodes	Gaussian copula	Conventional method	BPNN-CNN	MRAS-CBIA
10	0.144	0.308	0.054	0.017
15	0.217	0.344	0.090	0.035
20	0.253	0.453	0.072	0.035
25	0.308	0.562	0.108	0.054
30	0.362	0.670	0.144	0.072
35	0.453	0.761	0.144	0.054
40	0.525	0.870	0.162	0.090
45	0.652	1.051	0.181	0.072
50	0.816	1.215	0.253	0.108
55	0.997	1.432	0.253	0.090
60	1.215	1.632	0.344	0.108
65	1.396	1.886	0.416	0.126
70	1.723	2.122	0.507	0.162
75	2.031	2.358	0.616	0.199
80	2.412	2.666	0.743	0.217
85	2.757	2.902	0.870	0.253
90	3.283	3.174	1.015	0.344
95	3.755	3.483	1.197	0.435
100	4.335	3.827	1.414	0.689

From the aforementioned tables and figures, it is clear that the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique is an effectual performer compared to all other methods.



Figure 5: Comparative Analysis of MRAS-CBIA Model in terms of Power Consumption

5 Conclusion

The current study designed a new MRAS-CBIA technique to achieve energy efficiency and optimal resource allocation in 6G Industrial applications. The proposed MRAS-CBIA technique derives a new WCT to elect an optimal set of coordination nodes in 6G networks while rest of them are labeled as task nodes. Followed by, DTLP technique is executed to determine the location of nodes in the network. Finally, FCM-TSA technique effectually allocates the resources and accomplishes energy efficiency. Besides, FCM-TSA technique partitioned the resource while the scalability of resource searching process gets minimized. In order to validate the enhanced performance of the presented MRAS-CBIA technique, an extensive range of simulations was conducted. The experimental values confirmed that the proposed MRAS-CBIA technique achieved high energy efficiency and optimal resource allocation in 6G industrial applications. In future, the design of MRAS-CBIA technique can be extended to include task scheduling and MAC scheduling approaches.

Funding Statement: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work under Grant Number (RGP2/209/42), www.kku.e du.sa. This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University through the Fast-Track Path of Research Funding Program.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- [1] M. Hnatiuc, O. Geman, A. G. Avram, D. Gupta and K. Shankar, "Human signature identification using IoT technology and gait recognition," *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1–17, 2021.
- [2] F. Marozzo, D. Talia and P. Trunfio, "A Workflow management system for scalable data mining on clouds," *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 480–492, 2018.
- [3] T. Vaiyapuri, V. S. Parvathy, V. Manikandan, N. Krishnaraj, D. Gupta *et al.*, "A novel hybrid optimization for cluster-based routing protocol in information-centric wireless sensor networks for IoT based mobile edge computing," *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 49, pp. 145, 2021.

- [4] D. M. S. Velandia, N. Kaur, W. G. Whittow, P. P. Conway and A. A. West, "Towards industrial internet of things: Crankshaft monitoring, traceability and tracking using RFID," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 41, pp. 66–77, 2016.
- [5] R. Bharathi, T. Abirami, S. Dhanasekaran, D. Gupta, A. Khanna *et al.*, "Energy efficient clustering with disease diagnosis model for IoT based sustainable healthcare systems, Sustainable Computing," *Informatics and Systems*, vol. 28, pp. 1–8, 2020.
- [6] H. Xu, P. V. Klaine, O. Onireti, B. Cao and M. Imran, "Blockchain-enabled resource management and sharing for 6G communications," *Digital Communications and Networks*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 261–269, 2020.
- [7] P. S. Raman, K. Shankar and M. Ilayaraja, "Securing cluster based routing against cooperative black hole attack in mobile ad hoc network," *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, vol. 7, no. 1.9, pp. 1–6, 2018.
- [8] W. Saad, M. Bennis and M. Chen, "A vision of 6G wireless systems: Applications, trends, technologies, and open research problems," *IEEE Network*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 134–142, 2020.
- [9] K. Shankar, E. Perumal, M. Elhoseny and P. T. Nguyen, "An IoT-cloud based intelligent computer-aided diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy stage classification using deep learning approach," *Computers, Materials & Continua*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 1665–1680, 2021.
- [10] X. Liu, H. Ding and S. Hu, "Uplink resource allocation for NOMA-based hybrid spectrum access in 6Genabled cognitive internet of things," *IEEE Internet Things Journal*, vol. 99, pp. 1–1, 2020.
- [11] A. Mukherjee, P. Goswami, M. A. Khan, L. Manman, L. Yang *et al.*, "Energy-efficient resource allocation strategy in massive IoT for industrial 6G applications," *IEEE Internet Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 5194–5201, 2021.
- [12] A. Shukla, R. Gupta, S. Tanwar, N. Kumar and J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, "Block-RAS: A p2p resource allocation scheme in 6G environment with public blockchains," in *GLOBECOM*, 2020 - 2020 IEEE Global Communications Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, pp. 1–6, 2020.
- [13] A. H. Sodhro, S. Pirbhulal, Z. Luo, K. Muhammad and N. Z. Zahid, "Toward 6G architecture for energyefficient communication in IoT-enabled smart automation systems," *IEEE Internet Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 5141–5148, 2021.
- [14] B. D. Deebak, F. Al-Turjman and M. Alazab, "Dynamic-driven congestion control and segment re-routing in the 6G-enabled data networks," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1, 2020.
- [15] Y. Liu, X. Wang, G. Boudreau, A. B. Sediq and H. Abou-Zeid, "A multi-dimensional intelligent multiple access technique for 5G beyond and 6G wireless networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1308–1320, 2021.
- [16] S. Hu, Y. -C. Liang, Z. Xiong and D. Niyato, "Blockchain and artificial intelligence for dynamic resource sharing in 6G and beyond," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- [17] R. Pal, S. Yadav, R. Karnwal and K. Aarti, "EEWC: Energy-efficient weighted clustering method based on genetic algorithm for HWSNs," *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 391–400, 2020.
- [18] L. Xia, Q. Huang and D. Wu, "Decision tree-based contextual location prediction from mobile device logs," *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2018, no. 5, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [19] S. Kaur, L. K. Awasthi, A. L. Sangal and G. Dhiman, "Tunicate swarm algorithm: A new bio-inspired based metaheuristic paradigm for global optimization," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 1–29, 2020.
- [20] V. Porkodi, A. R. Singh, A. R. W. Sait, K. Shankar, E. Yang *et al.*, "Resource provisioning for cyberphysical-social system in cloud-fog-edge computing using optimal flower pollination algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 105311–105319, 2020.