



Sensors-Based Ambient Assistant Living via E-Monitoring Technology

Sadaf Hafeez¹, Yazeed Yasin Ghadi², Mohammed Alarfaj³, Tamara al Shloul⁴, Ahmad Jalal¹, Shaharyar Kamal¹ and Dong-Seong Kim^{5,*}

¹Department of Computer Science, Air University, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan
 ²Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Al Ain University, Al Ain, 15551, UAE
 ³Department of Electrical Engineering, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, 31982, Saudi Arabia
 ⁴Department of Humanities and Social Science, Al Ain University, Al Ain, 15551, UAE
 ⁵Department of IT Convergence Engineering, Kumoh National Institute of Technology, Gumi, Korea
 *Corresponding Author: Dong-Seong Kim. Email: dskim@kumoh.ac.kr
 Received: 23 September 2021; Accepted: 10 January 2022

Abstract: Independent human living systems require smart, intelligent, and sustainable online monitoring so that an individual can be assisted timely. Apart from ambient assisted living, the task of monitoring human activities plays an important role in different fields including virtual reality, surveillance security, and human interaction with robots. Such systems have been developed in the past with the use of various wearable inertial sensors and depth cameras to capture the human actions. In this paper, we propose multiple methods such as random occupancy pattern, spatio temporal cloud, waypoint trajectory, Hilbert transform, Walsh Hadamard transform and bone pair descriptors to extract optimal features corresponding to different human actions. These features sets are then normalized using min-max normalization and optimized using the Fuzzy optimization method. Finally, the Masi entropy classifier is applied for action recognition and classification. Experiments have been performed on three challenging datasets, namely, UTD-MHAD, 50 Salad, and CMU-MMAC. During experimental evaluation, the proposed novel approach of recognizing human actions has achieved an accuracy rate of 90.1% with UTD-MHAD dataset, 90.6% with 50 Salad dataset, and 89.5% with CMU-MMAC dataset. Hence experimental results validated the proposed system.

Keywords: Classification algorithm; human action recognition; motion sensors; machine learning; Masi entropy

1 Introduction

For secure surveillance and monitoring of an individual's daily life, most researchers are working with mixed-sensors techniques such as vision, markers, and wearable sensors [1] that have led to a revolution in the field of human action recognition (HAR). HAR systems have provided quality living timely assistance to residents in their own homes through the use of vision and wearable sensors-based



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

systems [2]. HAR involves tracking human body through wearable inertial sensors [3] and recognizing the actions through computer vision. The proposed system is a reliable and a novel HAR system based on a set of unique features. Moreover, it is robust against variations in human body [4] motion.

Wearable sensors have a wide range of real-world applications in HAR including healthcare monitoring [5], surveillance systems [6] and security systems that make require object detection, spatial-temporal movement recognition, pedestrian localization, human face and age detection [7], face expression recognition, gait event recognition [8] etc. In security systems, HAR models can be used to monitor and detect uncertain and strange events. In healthcare, they can be used to monitor a patient's health [9], their Sfitness activities, and their medicine routines. In HAR field problems include lighting variations, complex human movements, cluttered backgrounds, wired and wearable devices, and scale variations. Hence, the proposed system is designed in a way that it is not only robust against these challenges and but also powerful enough to collect and analyze large volume of healthcare data. To overcome the aforementioned issues, wearable sensors and vision cameras [10] have been used. The advances in technology have resulted in a wide range of wearable sensors but gyroscopes, accelerometers, and magnetometers are more commonly used to acquire the input in HAR systems. In vision systems, Kinect cameras [11] are employed more often to eliminate the background objects. These cameras are cost effective too.

In this research, we contribute a novel approach that enjoys the fusion of both wearable and depth camera sensors for obtaining information regarding patients. Initially, inertial (accelerometer) data is filtered to extract the wavelet transform and statistical features (mean, variance, etc.). While, from depth sensors, spatio-temporal cuboid, random occupancy pattern, and depth motion maps are considered. The feature extraction methods used for skeleton joints-based features include way-point trajectory, bone pair descriptors and displacement. In all these feature extraction techniques, high dimensions increase the computational complexity. Hence, a fuzzy optimization algorithm has been used to reduce the complexity of the features. In the final step, Masi entropy classifier has been used to measure the human activities and obtain high accuracy. The proposed method is evaluated using three datasets: University of Texas at Dallas Multimodal Human Action Dataset (UTD_MHAD), Carnegie Mellon University Multimodal Activity (CMU-MMAC), and 50 salad.

The remaining sections of the paper are organised in this way. Section 2 of this paper includes related work. Section 3 provides detailed information about the proposed methodology. Section 4 briefly explains the experimental setup with the results. In Section 5, conclusion and future directions are discussed.

2 Related Work

In this section, some of the recently developed state-of-the-art systems have been discussed. The related work is further divided into two subsections as some of these HAR systems require input acquisition through video sensors while others have employed inertial sensors.

2.1 Action Recognition via Video Sensor

In the past few years, researchers have examined human actions by extracting skeleton information through video sensors. In [12], the input frame sequences were used for the extraction of temporal displacement skeleton poses and k-means clustering was applied to generate key poses. Further, SVM classifier was used to classify each action pose. In [13], the authors proposed spatial and temporal features of skeleton joints extracted through vector of locally aggregated descriptors (VLAD) algorithm and combined via margin nearest neighbour (LMNN) algorithm. In order to detect and recognize human actions, k-nearest neighbour (KNN) classifier was implemented. In [14], the researchers proposed dictionary learning and sparse technique to automatically learn the configuration of body joints and classify video sequences.

Similarly, the latest developments in depth devices are also contributing to HAR. In [15], the proposed system used depth maps to extract features. Then, the convolutional neural networks (ConvNets) and Polynormal fisher vector was used for classification. Another system [16] denoised depth images through Bilateral Filter (BLF) and segmented image clusters using Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC) algorithm. The actions were then classified using K-ary Tree Hashing (KTH).

2.2 Action Recognition via Inertial Sensor

On the other side, human actions can be recognized through wearable inertial sensors that are attached with different human body parts as shown in Tab. 1. Nava and Meléndez [17] proposed a novel system based on wearable inertial sensors to extract feature set of limb motion. For the classification of actions, both KNN and SVM classifiers are implemented. In [18], the authors described various sets of statistical, wavelet, and binary features for multifused modal data. Then, adaptive moment estimation and maximum entropy Markov model were used for pattern recognition. In [19], the authors developed a novel system that considered both statistical and non-statistical features of data acquired via inertial sensors. Then, further evaluation of these feature sets was performed via genetic algorithm to recognize human actions.

Table	1: Co	omparative	table	highlighting	the	comm	onalities	and	the	differences	of	our	system	with
other	meth	ods												

Methodology	Description
[20] The authors developed error-free	In the proposed method, only RGB and
identification of the system to track human	Depth data is used for experimentation.
actions. Four types of features were extracted to	Our feature set and optimization
identify the sustainable human movements more	technique are different. Moreover, Neuro
accurately and experiments were performed on	fuzzy classifier was used in this paper
three challenging datasets.	while we used Masi Entropy classifier.
[21] In this system, three body-worn inertial sensors were attached to different body parts and hieratical features were extracted. Experiments were performed on three benchmark datasets.	In this system, statistical and time-frequency features were extracted that differentiate from our model. Commonalities are that these datasets used accelerometer data and a similar de-noising technique.
[22] This system processes real-time important	Commonalities are the use of
information acquired through wearable devices	accelerometer for activity detection and
from home environments to develop assistive	CMU-MMAC dataset. The feature set,
systems. Evaluation was performed through	optimization, and classification methods
leave-one-out cross validation technique using	are different than those used in our
three datasets.	system.

All above mentioned methodologies of different systems in related work are tested over depth, skeleton and inertial sensors for analyzing complex feature sets. However, in this paper, we proposed a novel methodology that uses fusion of various sensors to understand humans' actions for providing ambient assisted living.

3 Material and Methods

In this section, we discuss the main steps of the proposed HAR model followed by a detailed description of each phase. Fig. 1 shows the flow chart of the proposed system.



Figure 1: System architecture of the proposed system

3.1 Data Acquisition and Pre-Processing

In IMU [23] sensors, noise data affects the signal sensitivity which causes variance in feature sets, alternation in the signal shape, and creates a visible difference in the signal values. Therefore, two filtering methods have been used for the purpose of noise reduction that include median and average smooth filters. A median filter is a nonlinear method that extracts the signal noise and smoothens the signal into normal motion. An average smooth filter is used to smoothen the peak values in a signal. Median filter is represented by Eq. (1) as shown:

$$y[i,j] = med\{x[m,n], (m,n) \in w\}$$

(1)

where *m* and *n* are the element of window *w* having specified neighborhoods centered around pixels [i,j] in an image. x[m,n] is the input noisy signal and y[i,j] is the smooth output.

While, in joints construction, noise contributes to the incorrect detection of positions of joint points. In some conditions, noise is produced due to room light effects or overlapping of two or more joints. During pre-processing, noise is removed and skeleton joint trajectories are projected on x, y and z planes accurately and efficiently, improving the overall action recognition process as shown in Fig. 2.



Figure 2: Triaxial accelerometer sensor representation (a) Unfiltered and filtered data with average smooth filter (b) Median filter data with unfiltered signals

3.2 Windowing Selection

During windowing selection, we observed the partitioned signals in different ways. Different techniques have been applied by researchers for window selection including activity defined, event defined, and sliding window. After preprocessing, the next step is to select the signal for 4–5 s duration of window. Fixed-sized windowing approaches may be overlapping and non-overlapping. Non-overlapping window approaches have shown better performance.

3.3 Features Extraction Methods over Multiple Sensors

In this section, we analyzed feature extracted from inertial, skeleton, and depth data which are then used for further processing. The process of feature extraction is discussed in detail below:

3.3.1 Inertial Sensor: Magnitude

For feature sets extraction, we retrieved inertial signals in the form of magnitude. Magnitude is the sum of three-dimensional x, y and z values that are calculated for all the signal values and is represented by Eq. (2):

$$Ms = \sqrt{x_s^2 + y_s^2 + z_s^2}$$
(2)

where *Ms* represents the magnitude of the signal and (x_s, y_s, z_x) represents the three-axes. Next, time domain features (mean and absolute mean) are extracted to examine each action of humans using Eqs. (3) and (4):

$$n = \frac{1}{N} \sum |x_m|$$

$$n\Delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_m|$$
(3)
(4)

where *n* is the mean of the signal $|x_m|$ and $n\Delta$ is the mean signal of absolute value of the signal, *N* represents the total sample count in the signal with the sampling rate of 50 Hz (See Fig. 3).



Figure 3: Sensor triaxial acceleration plot of magnitude with filtered and unfiltered signal

3.3.2 Inertial Sensor: Hilbert Transform

To get the Hilbert transform, we calculated the gain of minimum level frequency values of the signal angle that is altered from Fourier transform of the signal u(t). Hilbert transform computes the real values of the signal and outputs the complex result in the form of real and imaginary values of Hilbert transform pair. Fig. 4 shows the Hilbert output in the form of values of the real eigenstate.

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x(s)}{t-s} ds$$
(5)

where x(s) is the signal which has the same amplitude spectrum and autocorrelation function. Here x(s) is the real value of the signal data with zero padding.

3.3.3 Inertial Sensor: Walsh Hadamard Transform

Walsh Hadamard Transform (WHT) is used to split a signal into a set of signals on the basis of orthogonal transformation. The input signal is transformed into rectangular and square waves with +1 and -1 values and returns a sequence of values to reduce the computational costs. The WHT discrete values of a vector is calculated by Eq. (6).

$$H_{w}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} (-1)^{k_{j}A_{M-1-j}}, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
(6)

where N is the sample number to calculate the vector set and $T = log_2 N$. Algorithm 1 explains the process of feature extraction from inertial signals.



Figure 4: Signal hilbert transform feature along x, y and z-axis (a) x signal (b) y signal (c) z signal

Algorithm 1: Pseudo code for IMU signal feature computation

Input: Accelerometer (a, b, c)

Output: Multi-fused feature vector (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m)

- 1 samplesignals ← GetSampleSignal()
- 3 // IMU signal feature computation //
- 4 HAR(Accel)
- 5 ConcatenateFeatureVector ← []
- 6 Denoisedata ← MedianFilter(Accel)
- 8 // while exit condition not satisfied do
- **10** [WHT,HT] ← ExtractWaveletFeatures(SampleData)
- 11 ConcatenateFeatureVector ← [mean, magnitude, WHT, HT]
- return: Multi-fused feature vector

3.3.4 Skeleton Joint: Displacement

Several well-known algorithms of spatial and temporal space (orientation and location of joints) for skeleton features [24] have been proposed in the past. In this paper, the spatial features have been

(8)

extracted by eliminating the distance between 20 different joints of the human skeleton. Consider a skeleton consisting of R joints, torso joint coordinates (P_0), neck joint coordinates (P_2), and the nth joint feature (P_n), the distance d_n between P_2 and P_0 is calculated by Eq. (7) as and for each frame, a feature vector is created as given in Eq. (8):

$$dn = \frac{P_n - P_0}{||P_2 - P_0||}$$

$$n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, R - 1.$$
(7)

$$s = [d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, \dots, d_{r-1}]$$

where d is the distance from a fixed point and s is the vector has all the distance points.

3.3.5 Skeleton Joint: Bone Pair Descriptor

The angular relation between bones can be detected by Bone Pair Descriptor (BPD) and Point Pair Descriptor (PPD) that uses 3D coordinate systems. In addition, BPD uses the skeleton data in which bones allow vectors to recognize different actions of humans instead of normal surface. The calculation of BPD [25] in which C_p is the point of center, V_c is the vector that relates with the center point C_p , C_i is the point that is not the center joint, and V_i is the vector that relates to non-center joints. V_c and V_i are vectors that correspond with the joint bone or spine (See Fig. 5). The relative calculation of the vector V_c and V_i are described by the gain values of β , φ and Φ which are calculated by Eqs. (9)–(11).





$$\beta = acos(s_i.v_i) \tag{9}$$

$$\varphi = acos\left(u.\frac{r_i}{|r_i|}\right) \tag{10}$$

$$\left(t_i.v_i\right)$$

$$\Phi = atan\left(\frac{u}{u}v_i\right) \tag{11}$$
$$u = v_c \tag{12}$$

$$s_i = \frac{r_i}{|r_i|} \times u \tag{13}$$

$$t_i = u \times v_i \tag{14}$$

where vectors u, s_i , and t_i define the Darboux frame. Here . and × represent scaler and vector product, respectively. The BPT calculates the features of joints that are far away from the center by using the formulae in Eq. (15) that is described as follows:

$$S = [\beta 1, \varphi 1, \Phi 1, \beta 2, \varphi 2, \Phi 2, \dots, \beta N, \varphi N, \Phi N]$$
(15)

3.3.6 Skeleton Joint: Way Point Trajectory

Most of the research work performed by trajectory includes dense and local trajectory. In way point trajectory, the inter-silhouette trajectory uses a subset B which is generated from joint set $J = \{ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \}$ that is constructed from *n* number of joints i.e., (head, shoulder_center, spine, hip_center, left_shoulder, left_elbow, left_wrist, left_hand, right_shoulder, right_hip, right_knee, right_ankle, right_foot etc.) as shown in Fig. 6. From the trajectory, two types of features are extracted. Shape features are extracted from the displacement change with respect to length *L* over time *t* and changes are measured by calculating the coordinate positions of joints *x* and *y* with respect to change occurring in each frame such as $\Delta m_t = (x_{t+1} - x_t, y_{t+1} - y_t)$. The displacement vector is as follows:

$$d_{x,y} = \frac{(\Delta m_1, \Delta m_2, \dots, \Delta m_{L-1})}{\sum_{i=1}^{L-1} ||\Delta m_i||}$$
(16)

Now, the change in the displacement position with respect to time is extracted for the velocity measurement, in which first and second derivatives of the trajectory for the final curvature of the coordinate x and y is calculated by Eq. (17). Algorithm 2 explains the pseudo code for the extraction of inter silhouette way-point trajectory from the skeleton joints.

$$V_d = \frac{x'_d y''_d - y'_d x''_d}{(x'_d + y'_d + 1)^{3/2}}$$
(17)

Algorithm 2: Pseudo code for feature description of skeleton

Input: 3D skeleton

Output: way-point trajectory feature description

//way-point trajectory feature description//

for n = 1: m

- 1 Subsets computation: sub_1 , sub_2 , sub_3 consist of three, four and five number of joint sets, respectively
- 2 Trajectory generation as three-way TR_1 from sub_1 , four-way TR_2 from sub_2 and five-way TR_3 from sub_3
- 3 With time t compute displacement d_{ij} and motion M_t vector using trajectories TR_1 , TR_2 and TR_3

4 Generate way-point trajectory feature description $T \leftarrow \text{concatenate}(d_{ij}, M_i)$

end

return: skeleton joint features descriptor



Figure 6: Way-Point Trajectory of skeleton joints (a) Inter silhouette trajectory when swipe hand left position (b) Inter silhouette trajectory position when catch position (c) Inter silhouette trajectory when arm cross position

3.3.7 Depth Sensor: Depth Motion Map

The second feature set that has been extracted from video frames is Depth Motion Map (DMM). DMM extracts the 3D information of the depth images in a proper sequence for action recognition. In the proposed method, N frames are extracted from the depth sequence by DMM [26], represented as follows:

$$DMM_{(f)} = \sum_{i=2}^{N} |m_{(f)}^{i} - m_{(f)}^{i-1}|$$
(18)

$$DMM_{{}_{\{s\}}} = \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left| m_{{}_{\{s\}}}^{i} - m_{{}_{\{s\}}}^{i-1} \right|$$
(19)

$$DMM_{\{t\}} = \sum_{j=2}^{N} |m_{\{t\}}^{j} - m_{\{t\}}^{i-1}|$$
(20)

here $m_{(j)}^{i}$, $m_{(s)}^{i}$ and $m_{(i)}^{j}$ represent the projected map from front view, side view, and top view in orthogonal cartesian plane of the j^{th} frame.

3.3.8 Depth Sensor: Spatial Temporal Cuboid

In images, interest points are used to detect and recognize different human actions and then 2D data is transformed into 3D by considering Space-Time Interest Point (STIP). In depth images, a filtering technique extracting STIPs [27] from depth video called DSTIP is used to suppress the noise. The 3D cuboid is extracted and the size of the cuboid is designed to be adaptable for the depth that contains spatio-temporal pixel values. We divide the cuboid according to block of each voxel of size $n_{xy} \times n_{xy} \times n_x$ and the block size is $1 \times 1 \times 1$. The histogram is computed for depth pixels of each block

and then it is normalized so the total value is 1 for every histogram. We use Bhattacharyya distance technique to calculate the histogram of block *a* and *b* be h_a and h_b defined by Eq. (21) as shown below:

$$D(a,b) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sqrt{h_a^{(i)} h_b^{(i)}}$$
(21)

where N represents the number of bins in histogram. Fig. 7 shows spatial temporal cuboid features set result.



Figure 7: Extracting spatial temporal cuboid from depth video

3.3.9 Depth Sensor: Random Occupancy Pattern

Sample 4D sub-volume features that are extracted from Random Occupancy Pattern (ROP) with various sizes along with various locations are shown in Fig. 8. To handle the issues of noise and occlusion, depth-maps are represented as 4D substitutes of three-dimensional movement successions. The ROP features are robust to noise when they are extracted at a bigger scale.



Figure 8: Extracting random occupancy pattern from depth video

Since majority of the encoded data is stated with regions that are most discriminative for an action and less conscious to occlusion, sparse coding is applied further to enhance the proposed technique.

3.4 Cues Fusion via Min Max Normalization

The extracted features from different sensors (i.e., inertial and depth sensors) are fused by applying feature fusion [28]. The resultant vector is a high dimensional vector which extracts the feature information in a better way as compared to a single sensor feature vector. To scale the values of different sensors (depth and inertial sensors) min max normalization technique is applied on the feature vector that converts the minimum and maximum values to 0 and 1 respectively as it scales all the values between an interval of (0 - 1). Min max normalization transforms the original feature vector by utilizing the normalization formula defined by Eq. (22).

$$M' = \frac{M - \min(F_M)}{\max(F_M) - \min(F_M)}$$
(22)

where M' is the normalized value, M is the original value, F_M is the function, max (F_M) and $min(F_M)$ are the maximum and minimum possible values of the function respectively. In this way, the feature vector has been normalized and then concatenated for the feature fusion, so that final feature vector set can be obtained.

3.5 Cues Optimization via Fuzzy Optimization

After feature fusion, the next step is the optimization in which selection of the features and reduction techniques are considered. Fuzzy Optimization (FO) is used to solve the problem of uncertainty on some constrains, so the objective function is used with some uncertainty condition to solve this fuzzy uncertainty problem. Fuzzy optimization [29] technique is beneficial and important both in theoretical practical form and it is a well-known technique in artificial intelligence. Defuzzification method used to acquire nonfuzzy values for output. The formula for defuzzification is given by Eq. (23).

$$\Delta F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c(i) * W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} W_k}$$
(23)

where ΔF = output control change, c_i = each output peak value and W_i = height rule. The relation between fuzzy inputs and outputs can be expressed by the surface function.

3.6 Classification via Masi Entropy Classifier

The new generalized entropy technique is Masi Entropy (ME) [30] that works similar to bilevel and multilevel thresholding. In this classifier, the histogram distribution is considered to be a probabilistic distribution, in which the algorithm initializes the position of random particles in the search space domain.

$$ME = round(rand (Pn, nos) .* (ub - lb) + lb)$$
(24)

where *ub*, *lb*, *nos* and *Pn* are the upper bound, lower bound, number of thresholds and number of particles of the thresholds, respectively. The fitness of each particle is calculated using the Masi entropy function. Each particle remembers the personal best solution it has found so far, called *pbest* and the swarm also keeps track of the global best solution it has found so far, called *gbest*. Finally, the program terminates, and the position of the global best particle gives the desired set of optimum thresholds.

4 Performance Evaluation

This section gives a brief description of the three datasets used for UTD-MHAD, CMU-MMAC, and 50 Salad. A series of experiments evaluates the proposed system and compares its results with other state-of-the-art systems.

4.1 Datasets Description

The proposed model's efficiency is evaluated on different datasets. Three benchmark datasets have been used, namely, UTD-MHAD, CMU-MMAC, and 50 Salad as shown in Tab. 2.

Datasets name	Datasets input	Description
UTD-MHAD	Inertial, depth sensors, and skeleton joints	This dataset contains 27 action classes and every action has 4 trails. The actions include swipe left, swipe right, wave, clap, throw, arm cross, basketball shoot, draw X, draw triangle, bowling, baseball swing, tennis swing, arm curl, and tennis serve. Each action class is performed by 8 subjects. Details of this dataset is described in [31].
CMU-MMAC	IMU sensors, video camera, eWatch, microphone	This dataset [32] is prepared using multiple sensors with video camera to capture the preparation of 5 foods (i.e., brownie, sandwich, eggs, salad, and pizza). The actions are performed by 55 subjects and each food preparation is recorded by multiple sensors including video cameras, internal measurement units (IMUs), wearable sensors, etc.
50 Salad	RGB videos, depth maps, and accelerometer	This dataset captures 25 people who prepare 2 mix salads to recognize the different human actions. Sensors were attached with the devices such as knife, spoon, peeler, glass, oil bottle, and pepper dispenser [33].

 Table 2: Description of the datasets that have been used in experimentation

4.2 Experimental Settings and Results

All the processing and experimentation have been performed on MATLAB (R2017a). The hardware system used is Intel Core i5 with 64-bit Windows-10. The system has an 8 GB ram and 5 GHz CPU. To evaluate the performance of the proposed system, multiple experiments have been conducted on UTD-MHAD, CMU-MMAC, and 50 Salad datasets.

4.2.1 Experimental Results on Datasets

Experiment I: Recognition Accuracies

For the evaluation of the proposed system, different action classes of the three datasets have been given to the Masi Entropy (ME) classifier. It recognizes the actions and identifies the rate of recognition. For this purpose, confusion matrices have been created that include individual class accuracies in the form of percentages. The proposed method has shown good results on every dataset used in this paper. Tab. 3 represents the confusion matrix of UTD-MHAD dataset. Tab. 4 represents the confusion matrix of CMU-MMAC dataset. Tab. 5 represents the confusion matrix of 50 Salad dataset.

Action classes	SL	SR	W	С	Т	AC	BS	DX
SL	0.90	0	0.01	0.02	0.03	0	0.04	0
SR	0.01	0.87	0	0.05	0	0.04	0	0.03
W	0	0.02	0.96	0	0.01	0	0	0.01
С	0.04	0	0	0.88	0.01	0.05	0.02	0
Т	0.02	0	0	0.02	0.92	0.01	0	0.03
AC	0	0.01	0.01	0	0.02	0.90	0	0.06
BS	0.06	0	0	0.02	0	0.04	0.87	0.01
DX	0	0.04	0.01	0	0.03	0	0.02	0.91

Table 3: Confusion matrix showing recognition accuracies over classes of UTD-MHAD dataset

Note: Mean Recognition Accuracy rate = 90.1%; SL = swipe left, SR = swipe right, W = wave, C = clap, T = throw, AC = arm cross, BS = ba sketball shoot, DX = draw x.

Table 4: Confusion matrix showing recognition accuracies over classes of CMU-MMAC dataset

Action classes	CF	CE	OF	SBB	TBB	WC	WF	SO
CF	0.87	0	0.04	0.05	0	0.01	0.03	0
CE	0.02	0.90	0	0.03	0	0	0.01	0.04
OF	0.03	0	0.88	0	0.07	0.02	0	0
SBB	0	0.06	0.02	0.86	0.01	0.03	0	0.02
TBB	0	0	0.05	0	0.93	0	0.02	0
WC	0.02	0	0.03	0.04	0	0.91	0	0
WF	0	0.05	0.02	0	0.03	0.01	0.89	0
SO	0.03	0	0.02	0	0	0.04	0	0.92

Note: Mean Recognition Accuracy rate = 89.5%; CF = close fridge, CE = crack egg, OF = open fridge, SBB = stir big bowl, TBB = take brown bowl, WC = walk to counter, WF = walk to fridge, SO = switch on.

Table 5: Confusion matrix showing recognition accuracies over classes of 50 Salad dataset

Action classes	AO	PC	CC	GP	PB	MD	MI	SS
AO	0.93	0	0.02	0.03	0	0	0.02	0
PC	0.05	0.89	0	0.02	0	0.03	0.01	0
CC	0	0.04	0.87	0	0.03	0	0.04	0.02
GP	0	0.02	0.03	0.89	0	0.02	0.04	0
PB	0.02	0.01	0	0	0.95	0	0	0.02
MD	0	0.02	0	0.03	0	0.93	0.02	0
MI	0	0.03	0	0.02	0.05	0	0.88	0.02
SS	0.03	0	0.01	0	0.04	0.01	0	0.91

Note: Mean Recognition Accuracy rate = 90.6%; AO = add oil, PC = peel cucumber, CC = cut cucumber, GP = give pepper, PB = put into bowl, MD = mix dressing, MI = mix ingredients, SS = serve salad.

Experiment II: Precision, Recall and F1 measure

In this experiment, precision, recall, and F1 measure are calculated for different action classes of each dataset. The precision is the fraction of relevant true positive predictions from the total positives. Recall is used to identify all true positives and it is the fraction between correct positives and total positives predicted. The F1 measure combines precision and recall to evaluate the effectiveness of both performance metrics. Tabs. 6–8 show precision, recall, and F1 measure of UTD-MHAD, CMU-MMAC, and 50 Salad datasets. The mean precision, recall, and F1 measure of UTD-MHAD dataset are 90.8%, 90.1%, and 90.7% respectively. While, the mean precision, recall, and F1 measure of CMU-MMAC dataset are 89.7%, 89.5%, and 89.8% respectively. Finally, the mean precision, recall and F1 measure of 50 salad dataset are 90.7%, 90.6%, and 90.3% respectively.

Table 6: Precision, Recall and F1 measure over classes of UTD-MHAD dataset

Action classes	Precision	Recall	F1 score	Action classes	Precision	Recall	F1 score
Swipe left	0.92	0.90	0.91	Throw	0.90	0.92	0.92
Swipe right	0.91	0.87	0.90	Arm cross	0.91	0.90	0.90
Wave	0.90	0.96	0.92	Basketball shoot	0.89	0.87	0.90
Clap	0.92	0.88	0.90	Draw X	0.92	0.91	0.91

Note: Mean Precision = 0.908, Mean Recall = 0.901, Mean F1 measure 0.907.

Tuble 7. Treeston, recan and TT measure over classes of Chro-with Re dataset
--

Action classes	Precision	Recall	F1 score	Action classes	Precision	Recall	F1 score
Close fridge	0.91	0.87	0.90	Take brown bowl	0.91	0.93	0.90
Crack egg	0.90	0.90	0.91	Walk to counter	0.90	0.91	0.91
Open fridge	0.90	0.88	0.90	Walk to fridge	0.90	0.89	0.90
Stir big bowl	0.85	0.86	0.87	Switch on	0.91	0.92	0.90

Note: Mean Precision = 0.897, Mean Recall = 0.895, Mean F1 measure 0.898.

Action classes	Precision	Recall	F1 score	Interaction classes	Precision	Recall	F1 score
Add oil	0.89	0.93	0.91	Put into bowl	0.94	0.95	0.93
Peel cucumber	0.92	0.89	0.90	Mix dressing	0.92	0.93	0.91
Cut cucumber	0.91	0.87	0.88	Mix ingredients	0.92	0.88	0.90
Give pepper	0.86	0.89	0.90	Serve salad	0.90	0.91	0.90

Note: Mean Precision = 0.907, Mean Recall = 0.906, Mean F1 measure 0.903.

4.2.2 Comparison with Other Systems

In this experiment, the comparison of the proposed methodology with some methods developed in the recent years is performed. The comparisons over the three datasets are shown in Tab. 9. It shows the results of CRC classifier [34], HOG and HOF [35], HMM-MIO [36], RecCapsNet & LSTM [37], OU, AS and VS and OU, AS and VS (sum product and RF) [38].

Datasets	Methods	Recognition accuracy (%)
UTD-MHAD	CRC classifier [34]	79.1
	HOG + HOF [35]	70.3
	Proposed Method	90.1
CMU-MMAC	HMM-MIO [36]	38.4
	RecCapsNet & LSTM [37]	84.40
	Proposed Method	89.5
50 Salad	OU + AS + VS	68
	OU + AS + VS (sum product and RF) [38]	67
	Proposed Method	90.6

Table 9: Comparison our proposed method with state-of-the-art methods on three datasets

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a robust system for HAR that records human actions through multiple sensors and classifies them based on several novel features. The manipulation of hybrid features for the classification of human actions and optimization via Fuzzy Optimization (FO) result in the high accuracy of the system. Masi entropy classifier is used to achieve excellent recognition results. By calculating the mean average rate of recognition for every action class, it is shown that the proposed system shows better performance rates as compared to other state-of-the-art systems.

As future work, we aim to evaluate the proposed system on more challenging human action recognition datasets. Moreover, we plan on enhancing the proposed approach through the use of advanced deep learning models.

Acknowledgement: This research work was supported by Priority Research Centers Program through NRF funded by MEST(2018R1A6A1A03024003) and the Grand Information Technology Research Center support program (IITP-2021-2020-0-01612) supervised by the IITP by MSIT, Korea

Funding Statement: The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- [1] A. Jalal, M. Batool and K. Kim, "Stochastic recognition of physical activity and healthcare using tri-axial inertial wearable sensors," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 20, pp. 7122, 2020.
- [2] N. Shahar, N. F. Ghazali, M. A. Asari and T. T. Swee, "Wearable inertial sensor for human activity recognition in field hockey: Influence of sensor combination and sensor location," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1529, no. 2, pp. 1–9, 2020.

- [3] M. Javeed, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Wearable sensors based exertion recognition using statistical features and random forest for physical healthcare monitoring," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Int. Bhurban Conf. on Applied Sciences and Technologies*, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp. 512–517, 2021.
- [4] A. Nadeem, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Automatic human posture estimation for sport activity recognition with robust body parts detection and entropy Markov model," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 80, no. 14, pp. 21465–21498, 2021.
- [5] M. Javeed, M. Gochoo, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "HF-SPHR: Hybrid features for sustainable physical healthcare pattern recognition using deep belief networks," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1699, 2021.
- [6] M. Pervaiz, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Hybrid algorithm for multi people counting and tracking for smart surveillance," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Int. Bhurban Conf. on Applied Sciences and Technologies*, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp. 530–535, 2021.
- [7] S. A. Rizwan, A. Jalal, M. Gochoo and K. Kim, "Robust active shape model via hierarchical feature extraction with SFS-optimized convolution neural network for invariant human age classification," *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 465, 2021.
- [8] I. Akhter, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Adaptive pose estimation for gait event detection using context-aware model and hierarchical optimization," *Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1–9, 2021.
- [9] A. Jalal, M. Batool and S. B. U. D. Tahir, "Markerless sensors for physical health monitoring system using ECG and GMM feature extraction," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Int. Bhurban Conf. on Applied Sciences and Technologies*, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp. 340–345, 2021.
- [10] I. Jegham, A. B. Khalifa, I. Alouani and M. A. Mahjoub, "Vision-based human action recognition: An overview and real world challenges," *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, vol. 32, pp. 1–17, 2020.
- [11] C. H. Chuan, Y. N. Chen and K. C. Fan, "Human action recognition based on action forests model using kinect camera," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops*, Crans-Montana, Switzerland, pp. 914–917, 2016.
- [12] S. Agahian, F. Negin and C. Köse, "Improving bag-of-poses with semi-temporal pose descriptors for skeleton-based action recognition," *The Visual Computer*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 591–607, 2019.
- [13] D. C. Luvizon, H. Tabia and D. Picard, "Learning features combination for human action recognition from skeleton sequences," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 99, no. 9, pp. 13–20, 2017.
- [14] J. Qi, Z. Wang, X. Lin and C. Li, "Learning complex Spatio-temporal configurations of body joints for online activity recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 637–647, 2018.
- [15] H. H. Ali, H. M. Moftah and A. A. Youssif, "Depth-based human activity recognition: A comparative perspective study on feature extraction," *Future Computing and Informatics Journal*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 51– 67, 2018.
- [16] N. Khalid, Y. Y. Ghadi, M. Gochoo, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Semantic recognition of human-object interactions via Gaussian-based elliptical modelling and pixel-level labeling," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 111249–111266, 2021.
- [17] I. H. L. Nava and A. M. Meléndez, "Human action recognition based on low-and high-level data from wearable inertial sensors," *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 1550147719894532, 2019.
- [18] S. B. U. D. Tahir, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Wearable inertial sensors for daily activity analysis based on Adam optimization and the maximum entropy Markov model," *Entropy*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1–19, 2020.
- [19] A. Jalal, M. A. K. Quaid, S. B. Tahir and K. Kim, "A study of accelerometer and gyroscope measurements in physical life-log activities detection systems," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 22, pp. 1–22, 2020.
- [20] N. Khalid, M. Gochoo, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Modeling two-person segmentation and locomotion for stereoscopic action identification: A sustainable video surveillance system," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 970, 2021.

- [21] M. Gochoo, S. B. U. D. Tahir, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Monitoring real-time personal locomotion behaviors over smart indoor-outdoor environments via body-worn sensors," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 70556–70570, 2021.
- [22] M. Batool, A. Jalal and K. Kim, "Telemonitoring of daily activity using accelerometer and gyroscope in smart home environments," *Journal of Electrical Engineering and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 2801–1809, 2020.
- [23] F. Niemann, C. Reining, F. M. Rueda, N. R. Nair, J. A. Steffens et al., "LARa: Creating a dataset for human activity recognition in logistics using semantic attributes," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 15, pp. 1–42, 2020.
- [24] R. Li, Z. Liu and J. Tan, "Exploring 3D human action recognition: From offline to online," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1–24, 2018.
- [25] D. Warchoł and T. Kapuściński, "Human action recognition using bone pair descriptor and distance descriptor," *Symmetry*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 1580, 2020.
- [26] C. Chen, M. Liu, H. Liu, B. Zhang, J. Han et al., "Multi-temporal depth motion maps-based local binary patterns for 3-D human action recognition," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 22590–22604, 2017.
- [27] H. A. Sial, M. H. Yousaf and F. Hussain, "Spatio-temporal RGBD cuboids feature for human activity recognition," *The Nucleus*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 139–149, 2018.
- [28] C. Chen, R. Jafari and N. Kehtarnavaz, "A survey of depth and inertial sensor fusion for human action recognition," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 4405–4425, 2017.
- [29] A. Jalal, A. Ahmed, A. Rafique and K. Kim, "Scene semantic recognition based on modified fuzzy c-mean and maximum entropy using object-to-object relations," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 27758–27772, 2021.
- [30] A. K. M. Khairuzzaman and S. Chaudhury, "Masi entropy based multilevel thresholding for image segmentation," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 78, no. 23, pp. 33573–33591, 2019.
- [31] C. Chen, R. Jafari and N. Kehtarnavaz, "UTD-MHAD: A multimodal dataset for human action recognition utilizing a depth camera and a wearable inertial sensor," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Image Processing*, Quebec City, QC, Canada, pp. 168–172, 2015.
- [32] S. Stein and S. J. McKenna, "Combining embedded accelerometers with computer vision for recognizing food preparation activities," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing*, Zurich, Switzerland, pp. 729–738, 2013.
- [33] E. H. Spriggs, F. D. L. Torre and M. Hebert, "Temporal segmentation and activity classification from firstperson sensing," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Miami, USA, pp. 1–6, 2009.
- [34] I. Serrano, O. Deniz, G. Bueno, G. G. Hernando and T. K. Kim, "Spatio-temporal elastic cuboid trajectories for efficient fight recognition using Hough forests," *Machine Vision and Applications*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 207– 217, 2018.
- [35] A. B. Mahjoub and M. Atri, "Human action recognition using RGB data," in Proc. Int. Conf. on Design & Test Sym. (IDT), Hammamet, Tunisia, pp. 83–87, 2016.
- [36] Z. Ren, Q. Zhang, X. Gao, P. Hao and J. Cheng, "Multi-modality learning for human action recognition," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 80, no. 11, pp. 16185–16203, 2021.
- [37] J. Carvajal, C. McCool, B. Lovell and C. Sanderson, "Joint recognition and segmentation of actions via probabilistic integration of spatio-temporal Fisher vectors," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, Auckland, New Zealand, pp. 115–127, 2016.
- [38] Y. Lu and S. Velipasalar, "Human activity classification incorporating egocentric video and inertial measurement unit data," in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Signal and Information Processing*, Anaheim, CA, USA, pp. 429–433, 2018.