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#### Abstract

In this research article, we construct a family of derivative free simultaneous numerical schemes to approximate all real zero of non-linear polynomial equation. We make a comparative analysis of the newly constructed numerical schemes with a well-known existing simultaneous method for determining all the distinct real zeros of polynomial equations using computer algebra system Mat Lab. Lower bound of convergence of simultaneous schemes is calculated using Mathematica. Global convergence property of the numerical schemes is presented by taking random starting initial approximation and their convergence history are graphically presented. Some real life engineering applications along with some higher degree polynomials are considered as numerical test problems to show performance and efficiency of the derivative free family of numerical methods with comparison of an existing method of same order in literature. Local computational order of convergence, CPU time, graph of computational order of convergence and residual error graphs elaborate efficiency, robustness and authentication of the suggested family of numerical methods in its domain.
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## 1 Introduction

One of the most primal problem of science and engineering is locating the zeros of polynomial of degree $k$ with arbitrary real coefficient.
$f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x^{i}, \quad a_{k} \neq 0$.
Let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}$ denote all the simple zeros of Eq. (1). According to Abel's impossibility theorem [1] "There is no solution in radical to general polynomial with arbitrary co-efficient of degree
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five or higher" we therefore look toward numerical schemes to approximate zeros of polynomial Eq. (1).

There exist a lot of numerical schemes in literature which approximate single zeros at a time (see, e.g., [2-4]). Here, we consider the following family of numerical schemes [5]:
$x^{(n+1)}=y^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x^{(n)}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x^{(n)}\right)}\left(\frac{\left(f\left(x^{(n)}\right)\right)^{2} f\left(y^{(n)}\right)+2 f\left(x^{(n)}\right) f\left(y^{(n)}\right)+\beta\left(f\left(y^{(n)}\right)\right)^{3}}{\left(f\left(x^{(n)}\right)\right)^{3}}\right)$,
where $y^{(n)}=x^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x^{(n)}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x^{(n)}\right)}$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$.
The numerical scheme Eq. (2) approximate single zero of Eq. (1) at a time.
Beside these single roots finding methods, mathematicians and engineers are interested in simultaneous numerical schemes which approximate all roots simultaneously. More detail on simultaneous methods, their global convergence and parallel implementation on computer algebra system (CAS) and stability are found in [6,7] and reference cite there in [8-14].

Therefore, the main aim of this research article is to construct a derivative free numerical scheme which approximates all real zero of Eq. (1). Using CAS-Mathematica, we find the lower bound of convergence to verify convergence order theoretically. Computational order of convergence [15] and convergence history for random initial approximations are graphed to show the efficiency and performance of numerical schemes as compared to other existing methods of same order. Log of residual graph, graphs of computational order of convergence and local computational order of convergence [16] support the global convergence behavior of our newly constructed numerical scheme for estimating all real zeros of Eq. (1).

## 2 Construction of Numerical Scheme

Corresponding to numerical schemes
$y^{(n)}=x^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x^{(n)}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x^{(n)}\right)}$,
for approximating all zeros of Eq. (1), the numerical method is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{(n+1)}=x_{i}^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)} \cdot \quad(i=1, \ldots, k) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This numerical method is well known Weierstrass method [17] for approximating all zeros of polynomial Eq. (1) having local quadratic convergence. Using in analogical way as above, we convert Eq. (2) into simultaneous method as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{(n+1)}=y_{i}^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{k \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}\left(\frac{\left(f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{2} f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)+2 f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right) f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)+\beta\left(f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{3}}{\left(f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right)^{3}}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{i}^{(n)}=x_{i}^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq i}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{R}$. Thus, we have constructed here, a new derivative $j=1$
free family of numerical schemes (abbreviated as MD).
Nedzhibov et al. [18] in 2005, present the following cubic convergence derivative free family of simultaneous numerical schemes (abbreviated as ND) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{(n+1)}=x_{i}^{(n)}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}\left(1+\frac{f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-2 \beta f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Convergence Analysis

Here, we prove the convergence order of the suggested derivative free family of numerical schemes.

Theorem: Let algebraic polynomial Eq. (1) has $k$ number of simple zeros $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}$ and for sufficiently close initial guesses $x_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, x_{k}^{(0)}$ of the zeros, then for arbitrary real parameter $\beta$, the numerical scheme MD has third order convergence.

Proof: let $\epsilon_{i}=x_{i}^{(n)}-\xi_{i}, \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}=y_{i}^{(n)}-\xi_{i}, \epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime}=x_{i}^{(n+1)}-\xi_{i}$ be the errors in $x_{i}^{(n)}, y_{i}^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n+1)}$ respectively and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Đ}_{i}=\prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k}\left(\frac{x_{i}^{(n)}-\zeta_{j}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Iterative schemes Eq. (5) can be written as:
$x_{i}^{(n+1)}=y_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\left(\mathrm{G}_{i}+2 \mathrm{G}_{i}^{2}+\beta \mathrm{G}_{i}^{3}\right)$,
where $\mathrm{G}_{i}=\frac{f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)}$ and $w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq i}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}$.

$$
j=1
$$

If we express Eq. (1) as $f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{(n)}-\xi_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{k}^{(n)}-\xi_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{i} \prod_{j \neq i}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-\xi_{j}\right)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{(n)}-\xi_{1}\right) \ldots\left(y_{i}^{(n)}-\xi_{i}\right)$.

Substitution in Eq. (5), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(y_{i}^{(n)}\right) & =\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-\xi_{j}\right)=\left(\xi_{i}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right) \prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\
j=1}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{j}\right)\right. \\
& =\in_{i}\left(1-Ð_{i}\right) \prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\
j=1}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for $\mathrm{G}_{i}$, we have:

$$
\mathrm{G}_{i}=\frac{\in_{i}\left(1-\mathrm{Đ}_{i}\right) \prod_{\substack{j \neq i}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{j}\right)}{\in_{i} \prod_{\substack{k \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{j}\right)}=\left(1-\mathrm{\Xi}_{i}\right) \mathrm{R}_{i},
$$

where $R_{i}=\frac{x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{j}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{j}}$. Thus, Eq. (5) become:

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime} & =\epsilon_{i}^{\prime}-\epsilon_{i} \mathrm{Ð}_{i}\left(\mathrm{G}_{i}+2 \mathrm{G}_{i}^{2}+\beta \mathrm{G}_{i}^{3}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime} & =\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{i} \mathrm{Ð}_{i}-\epsilon_{i} \mathrm{Ð}_{i}\left(\mathrm{G}_{i}+2 \mathrm{G}_{i}^{2}+\beta \mathrm{G}_{i}^{3}\right), \\
& =\epsilon_{i}\left(1-\mathrm{Đ}_{i}\right)\left(\left(1-\mathrm{Đ}_{i} \mathrm{R}_{i}\right)-2 \mathrm{Ð}_{i}\left(1-\mathrm{Đ}_{i}\right) \mathrm{R}_{i}^{2}-\beta\left(1-\mathrm{Đ}_{i}\right)^{2} \mathrm{R}_{i}^{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following relation holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k} \frac{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-\zeta_{j}\right)}{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}\right)}-1=\sum_{t \neq i}^{k} \frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{t}^{(n)}} \prod_{j \neq i}^{t-1} \frac{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-\zeta_{t}\right)}{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that, absolute values of all errors are of the same order, say $\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=\left|\varepsilon_{j}\right|=O(|\varepsilon|)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Đ}_{i}-1=\sum_{t \neq i}^{k} \frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{t}^{(n)}} \prod_{j \neq i}^{t-1} \frac{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-\zeta_{t}\right)}{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}=O(|\varepsilon|) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Using $\boxplus_{i} R_{i}=\prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j=1}}^{k}\left(\frac{x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right) \xi_{j}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}}\right)$, we have:
$\mathrm{Đ}_{i} R_{i}-1=\sum_{t \neq i}^{k} \frac{x_{t}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{t}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{t}^{(n)}} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{t}}{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}$,

$$
=\sum_{t \neq i}^{k} \frac{\epsilon_{t}-\epsilon_{i} \mathrm{Đ}_{i}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{t}^{(n)}} \prod_{j \neq i}^{t-1} \frac{x_{i}^{(n)}-w_{i}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)-\xi_{t}}{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}=O(|\in|) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\epsilon_{i} \sum_{t \neq i}^{k} \frac{\epsilon_{t}}{x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{t}} \prod_{j \neq i}^{t-1} \frac{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-\zeta_{t}\right)}{\left(x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{j}^{(n)}\right)}\left(O(|\in|)+2 O\left(|\in|^{2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{i}+O\left(|\in|^{2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{i}^{3} \beta\right)=O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the theorem is proved.

### 2.2 Using CAS-Mathematica for Finding Lower Bound of Convergence

Consider
$f(x)=\left(x-\varphi_{1}\right)\left(x-\varphi_{2}\right)\left(x-\varphi_{3}\right)$
and the first component $H\left(x^{(n)}\right)$ of iterative scheme Eq. (5) to find zeros of Eq. (15), simultaneously. In order to find lower bound of convergence, we have to express the differential of an operator $H\left(x^{(n)}\right)$ in terms of their partial derivate of its component as $H_{i}(\mathrm{x})$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial^{3} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} & \frac{\partial^{3} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial^{3} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{3} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}^{3}} & \frac{\partial^{3} \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}^{2} \partial x_{3}}
\end{array}
$$

and to so on.
The lower bound of the convergence is obtained until the first non-zero element of row is found zero (see [19]). The Mathematica program is given for each of the considered method as:

- MD method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\frac{(\mathbf{x})^{2} \prod_{j \neq i}^{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)}{\mathbf{x}_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n=1}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)+f(\mathbf{x})}, \\
& \operatorname{In}[1]:=\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right], \mathrm{x}_{1}\right] / .\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \rightarrow \varphi_{3}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{Out}[1]:=0 \\
& \left.\operatorname{In}[2]:=\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right], \mathrm{x}_{2}\right] / .\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \rightarrow \varphi_{3}\right\}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Out}[2]:=0 \\
& \left.\operatorname{In}[2]:=\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right], \mathrm{x}_{2}\right] / .\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \rightarrow \varphi_{3}\right\}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Out}[2]:=0 \\
& \operatorname{In}[34]:=\operatorname{Simplify}\left[\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right], \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right] / .\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \rightarrow \varphi_{3}\right\}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Out}[34]:=\frac{6\left(-1+\varphi_{3}\right)}{\varphi_{1}^{2} \varphi_{3}^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- ND method

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\mathbf{x}-\frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}\left(1+\frac{f(\mathbf{y})}{f(\mathbf{x})-2 \beta f(\mathbf{y})}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=x-\frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{\left.\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{R}$.

$$
j \neq i
$$

$$
\left.\operatorname{In}[1]:=\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right], \mathrm{x}_{1}\right] / .\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \rightarrow \varphi_{3}\right\}\right]
$$

$$
\operatorname{Out}[1]:=0
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
\operatorname{In}[39]:=\operatorname{Simplify}\left[\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\left[\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \mathrm{r}_{3}\right], \mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{3}, \mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}\right] / .\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \rightarrow \varphi_{3}\right\}\right]
$$

$$
\operatorname{Out}[39]:=-\frac{6\left(2-2 \varphi_{3}\right)}{\varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}^{2}}
$$

## 3 Numerical Results

Here, some numerical examples from [20,21] are considered with and without random initial approximation to estimate all real zeros of polynomial equation of higher degree. All the computations are performed using Mat Lab $\mathrm{R} @ 2011$ with 64 digits floating point arithmetic. We take $\epsilon=10^{-30}$ as tolerance and use the following stopping criteria
$e_{i}=\left|x_{i}^{(n+1)}-x_{i}^{(n)}\right|<\in$,
where $e_{i}$ represents the absolute error. We compare our iterative schemes MD with ND of the same convergence order. In all numerical calculations, we take $\beta=2$.

Example 1: Consider a stirred tank reactor (SCRT) in which two items A and R are feed in reactor at Q and $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{Q}$ rate respectively. A complex reaction
$a+r \rightarrow b ; b+r \rightarrow c ; c+r \rightarrow r ; c+r \rightarrow e$, develops the following function
$h_{c} \frac{2.98(x+2.25)}{(x+1.45)(x+2.85)^{2}(x+4.35)}=1$.
We obtained an algebraic polynomial equation of degree 4 by taking $\hbar_{c}=0$ in Eq. (16)
$f_{1}(x)=x^{4}+11.5 x^{3}+47.49 x^{2}+83.06325 x+51.32366875=0$
with exact roots:
$\zeta_{1}=-1.45, \quad \zeta_{2}=-2.85, \quad \zeta_{3}=-2.85, \quad \zeta_{4}=-4.45$
Convergence history and computational order of convergence graph (Figs. 1, 4, 7 and 10) of numerical schemes ND, MD are obtained by taking the following random initial guessed valued in our computer program i.e.,
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=[0.032601 ; 0.5612 ; 0.88187 ; 0.66918]$,
where $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\left[x_{i}^{(0)}, i=1, \ldots, 4\right]$. Using random initial guessed value $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, the iterative scheme MD converges to exact zeros after 100 iteration by consuming 16.848368 s CPU time while ND converges after 155 iterations and consumes 37.249147 s .


Figure 1: Shows convergence history of numerical scheme MD for polynomial equation $f_{1}(x)$
Convergence rates increase by taking the following initial guessed value:
$x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=-1.0, \quad x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=-1.1, \quad x_{3}^{(0)}=-2.2, \quad x_{4}^{(0)}=-3.9$.


Figure 2: Shows convergence history of numerical scheme MD for polynomial equation $f_{2}(x)$


Figure 3: Shows convergence history of numerical scheme MD for polynomial equation $f_{3}(x)$

The numerical results are presented in Tabs. 1-6. In all Tabs. 1-6, CO presents convergence order, CPU, presents CPU time and local computational order of convergence (LCOC) by $\sigma$.

Example 2: Consider
$f_{2}(x)=x^{5}-3 x^{4}-23 x^{3}+51 x^{2}+94 x-120$
with exact roots:
$\zeta_{1}=1, \quad \zeta_{2}=-2, \quad \zeta_{3}=3, \quad \zeta_{4}=-4, \quad \zeta_{5}=5$.


Figure 4: Shows convergence history of numerical scheme ND for polynomial equation $f_{1}(x)$


Figure 5: Shows convergence history of numerical scheme ND for polynomial equation $f_{2}(x)$

For convergence history and computational order of convergence graph (Figs. 2, 5, 8 and 11) of numerical schemes ND, MD, we used the following initial guessed valued in our computer program i.e.,
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=[0.81472 ; 0.90579 ; 0.12699 ; 0.91338 ; 0.63236]$
where $\mathrm{X}_{2}=\left[x_{i}^{(0)}, i=1, \ldots, 5\right]$. Using random initial guessed values $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, the iterative scheme MD converges to exact zeros after 92 iteration by consuming 27.056098 s CPU time while ND converges after 98 iterations and consumes 31.444833 s .


Figure 6: Shows convergence history of numerical scheme ND for polynomial equation $f_{3}(x)$


Figure 7: Shows computational order of convergence of numerical scheme MD for polynomial equation $f_{1}(x)$

Convergence rates increase by taking the following initial guessed value:
$x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=0.9, \quad x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=-1.9, \quad x_{3}^{(0)}=2.9, \quad x_{4}^{(0)}=-3.9, \quad x_{5}^{(0)}=4.9$
Example 3: Consider
$f_{3}(x)=x^{7}-27 x^{6}+282 x^{5}-1410 x^{4}+3249 x^{3}-1923 x^{2}-3532 x+3360$
with exact roots:
$\zeta_{1}=-1, \quad \zeta_{2}=1, \quad \zeta_{3}=3, \quad \zeta_{4}=4, \quad \zeta_{5}=7, \quad \zeta_{6}=5, \quad \zeta_{7}=8$.


Figure 8: Shows computational order of convergence of numerical scheme MD for polynomial equation $f_{2}(x)$


Figure 9: Shows computational order of convergence of numerical scheme MD for polynomial equation $f_{3}(x)$

For convergence history and computational order of convergence graph (Figs. 3, 6, 9 and 12) of numerical schemes ND, MD are obtained by taking the following initial guessed valued in our computer program i.e.,
$X_{3}=[0.77029 ; 0.35022 ; 0.66201 ; 0.41616 ; 0.84193 ; 0.83193 ; 0.83292 ; 0.25644]$,
where $\mathrm{X}_{3}=\left[x_{i}^{(0)}, i=1, \ldots, 7\right]$. Using random initial guessed value $\mathrm{X}_{3}$, the iterative scheme MD converges to exact zero after 19 iterations by consuming 13.7714 s CPU time while ND converges after 23 iterations and consumes 18.120062 s .


Figure 10: Shows computational order of convergence of numerical scheme ND for polynomial equation $f_{1}(x)$

Table 1: Simultaneous determination of all zeros of polynomial $f_{1}(x)$

| $x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=-1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | CO | PU | $\mathrm{e}_{1}^{(0)}$ | $-1.1, x_{3}^{(0)}=-2.2$, | $x_{4}^{(0)}=-3.9$ |  |
| ND | 3 | 0.047 | $8.3 \mathrm{e}-18$ | $\mathrm{e}_{2}^{(7)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{3}^{(7)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{4}^{(7)}$ |
| MD | 3 | 0.032 | $2.5 \mathrm{e}-17$ | $2.6 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $1.3 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $1.9 \mathrm{e}-5$ |

Table 2: Local computational order of convergence for polynomial $f_{1}(x)$

| ${ }^{(0)}=-1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=-1.1, x_{3}^{(0)}=-2.2, x_{4}^{(0)}=-3.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | PU | $\sigma_{1}^{(6)}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{(6)}$ | $\sigma_{3}^{(6)}$ | $\sigma_{4}^{(6)}$ |
| ND | 0.047 | 3.12 | 2.93 | 2.53 | 2.14 |
| MD | 0.032 | 2.94 | 2.98 | 3.01 | 3.15 |

Table 3: Simultaneous determination of all zeros of polynomial $f_{2}(x)$

| $x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=0.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=-1.9$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{3}^{(0)}=2.9$, | $x_{4}^{(0)}=-3.9, x_{5}^{(0)}=4.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | CO | PU | $\mathrm{e}_{1}^{(3)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{2}^{(3)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{3}^{(3)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{4}^{(3)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{5}^{(3)}$ |  |
| ND | 3 | 0.057 | $9.0 \mathrm{e}-22$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-21$ | $5.4 \mathrm{e}-24$ | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-24$ | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-22$ |  |
| MD | 3 | 0.035 | $3.1 \mathrm{e}-26$ | $3.1 \mathrm{e}-26$ | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-29$ | $1.2 \mathrm{e}-31$ | $2.3 \mathrm{e}-26$ |  |

Table 4: Local computational order of convergence for polynomial $f_{2}(x)$

| $x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=0.9$ |  |  |  |  |  | $x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=-1.9$, | $x_{3}^{(0)}=2.9$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | PU | $\sigma_{1}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{3}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{4}^{(2)}$ | $\sigma_{5}^{(2)}$ |  |
| ND | 0.057 | 2.81 | 2.41 | 2.71 | 2.64 | 1.95 |  |
| MD | 0.035 | 2.95 | 3.15 | 2.69 | 3.24 | 3.06 |  |

Table 5: Simultaneous determination of all zeros of polynomial $f_{3}(x)$

| $x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=-0.9$, | $x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=0.9$, | $x_{3}^{(0)}=2.5$, | $x_{4}^{(0)}=3.9$, | $x_{5}^{(0)}=6.9$, | $x_{6}^{(0)}=4.5$, | $x_{7}^{(0)}=7.9$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | CO | PU | $\mathrm{e}_{1}^{(5)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{2}^{(5)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{3}^{(5)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{4}^{(5)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{5}^{(5)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{5}^{(5)}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{5}^{(5)}$ |
| ND | 3 | 0.761 | $1.9 \mathrm{e}-34$ | $6.3 \mathrm{e}-34$ | $1.2 \mathrm{e}-33$ | $5.4 \mathrm{e}-32$ | $4.7 \mathrm{e}-29$ | $2.2 \mathrm{e}-31$ | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-28$ |
| MD | 3 | 0.407 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-61$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $2.8 \mathrm{e}-61$ | 0.0 |

Table 6: Local computational order of convergence for polynomial $f_{3}(x)$

| $x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=-0.9$, | $x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=0.9$, | $x_{3}^{(0)}=2.5$, | $x_{4}^{(0)}=3.9$, | $x_{5}^{(0)}=6.9$, | $x_{6}^{(0)}=4.5$, | $x_{7}^{(0)}=7.9$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | PU | $\sigma_{1}^{(4)}$ | $\sigma_{2}^{(4)}$ | $\sigma_{3}^{(4)}$ | $\sigma_{4}^{(4)}$ | $\sigma_{5}^{(4)}$ | $\sigma_{5}^{(4)}$ | $\sigma_{5}^{(4)}$ |
| ND | 0.761 | 2.91 | 3.02 | 3.11 | 2.67 | 2.74 | 2.91 | 2.85 |
| MD | 0.407 | 3.01 | 3.21 | 3.45 | 2.97 | 3.00 | 3.18 | 3.42 |



Figure 11: Shows computational order of convergence of numerical scheme ND for polynomial equation $f_{2}(x)$


Figure 12: Shows computational order of convergence of numerical scheme ND for polynomial equation $f_{3}(x)$


Figure 13: Shows residual fall for iterative method MD and ND for polynomial $f_{1}(x)$ respectively

Convergence rates increase by taking the following initial guessed value:
$x_{1}{ }^{(0)}=-0.9, \quad x_{2}{ }^{(0)}=0.9, \quad x_{3}^{(0)}=2.5, \quad x_{4}^{(0)}=3.9, \quad x_{5}^{(0)}=6.9, \quad x_{6}^{(0)}=4.5, \quad x_{7}^{(0)}=7.9$.


Figure 14: Shows residual fall for iterative method MD and ND for polynomial $f_{2}(x)$ respectively


Figure 15: Shows residual fall for iterative method MD and ND for polynomial $f_{3}(x)$ respectively

## 4 Conclusions

Here, we have developed a family of derivative free method for approximating all real zeros of polynomial. Lower bound of convergence of iterative methods MD and ND are calculated using CAS-Mathematica. Using Mat Lab, we graph convergence history and computational order of convergence. From Tabs. 1-6 and Figs. 1-15, we observe that our method MD is much better
in terms of convergence history, computational order of convergence, numerical results, $\log$ of residual and local computational order of convergence as compared to ND method.
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