Effect of Inclined Tension Crack on Rock Slope Stability by SSR Technique

Ch. Venkat Ramana*, Niranjan Ramchandra Thote and Arun Kumar Singh

Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, 440010, India *Corresponding Author: Ch. Venkat Ramana. Email: venkatramanach1987@gmail.com Received: 28 April 2022; Accepted: 08 July 2022

Abstract: The tension cracks and joints in rock or soil slopes affect their failure stability. Prediction of rock or soil slope failure is one of the most challenging tasks in the earth sciences. The actual slopes consist of inhomogeneous materials, complex morphology, and erratic joints. Most studies concerning the failure of rock slopes primarily focused on determining Factor of Safety (FoS) and Critical Slip Surface (CSS). In this article, the effect of inclined tension crack on a rock slope failure is studied numerically with Shear Strength Reduction Factor (SRF) method. An inclined Tension Crack (TC) influences the magnitude and location of the rock slope's Critical Shear Strength Reduction Factor (CSRF). Certainly, inclined cracks are more prone to cause the failure of the slope than the vertical TC. Yet, all tension cracks do not lead to failure of the slope mass. The effect of the crest distance of the tension crack is also investigated. The numerical results do not show any significant change in the magnitude of CSRF unless the tip of the TC is very near to the crest of the slope. A TC is also replaced with a joint, and the results differ from the corresponding TC. These results are discussed regarding shear stress and Critical Slip Surface (CSS).

Keywords: Crest distance; critical shear strength reduction factor; critical slip surface; inclined tension crack; joint

1 Introduction

Prediction of rock or soil slope failure is one of the most challenging tasks in the earth sciences. The actual slopes consist of inhomogeneous materials, complex morphology, and erratic joints [1-5]. [1] The majority of studies concerning the failure of rock slopes are primarily focused on determining Factor of Safety (FoS) and Critical Slip Surface (CSS) [6–9]. The safety factor is often defined as the ratio of failure strength of the slope material to driving stress acting along a predefined CSS of the slope [1,9]. An important issue is determining the location of failure joints or both [10]. It has been established that nucleation and propagation of a crack results in fracture of the slope mass. Tension cracks are quite common in rock and soil slopes, and they often weaken their failure stability [11–15]. In the slope due to the development of tensile forces. A tension crack essentially terminates the slip surface [16,17]. [9] Tension cracks and joints also affect the stability of pit slopes which are prominent in opencast mines [18–21]. Fig. 1 shows a typical view of tension cracks near the crest of the pit slope at an opencast



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

coal mine in Godavari Valley Coalfield, India. It is also believed these cracks are inclined in the bulk of the pit slope.



Figure 1: A typical view of tension crack near the pit slope edge of an open cast mine of Godavari valley coalfield, India

Despite extensive theoretical and experimental studies, many issues are not yet clear. For instance, it is not known how the inclination, length of TC, and distance from the crest influence the stability of the slope. The effect of TC on slope stability has been studied using both analytical and numerical approaches [12,15]. Nevertheless, these studies have considered only a vertical TC [1]. While a slanted TC may also appear in the slope mass [15], the effect of such a crack on the stability of the rock slope is known in the literature.

In recent times, a Finite Element Method (FEM) based on Shear Strength Reduction Factor (SRF) is often used in the determination of the magnitude and location of FoS [22–26]. SRF method is quite helpful since it is based on shear strain localization at which displacement becomes non-convergent during the numerical simulations of the slope mass [22,23,25]. More significantly, it automatically chooses CSS along which failure of slope mass occurs [25]. Noting the critical value of SRF, CSRF signifies the local value of FoS [9,24]. Further, the magnitude of CSRF is less than unity, indicating the failure of the slope and location of the same is the point of crack nucleation [27]. It has been observed that further increase of SRF results in global failure of the slope mass along with CSS [27]. Studies have shown that although the magnitude of CSRF does not depend on it [28,29]. In the present study, the effect of an inclined tension crack on CSRF is investigated numerically as a function of inclination angle and crest distance of TC [30,31]. Further, TC is replaced with a joint to examine the effect of a sloping mass on CSRF/FoS.

2 Methodology

The computational slope model is prepared precisely referring to the field situation in one of the opencast coal mines of Godavari Valley Coalfields. Fig. 2. shows a typical two-dimensional rock slope model of fixed width and height with slope angle $\beta = 55^{\circ}$. A Tension Crack (TC) of finite length *d*, and inclination angle α , is created at a distance *b* from the crest of the rock slope. The material of the rock slope is assumed to be homogenous and isotropic with elastic modulus, and density is 0.15 GPa, and 22.0 kN-m⁻³, respectively. It is also believed that surfaces of the TC are not interacting at all, and gravitational force is acting uniformly in a downward direction on the rock slope [1]. The hydrostatic stress field (ratio of in-plane & out of plane horizontal to vertical stress = 1) is also considered. The model's left, right, and bottom boundaries are restrained in both X and Y directions, whereas the slope's

top, bottom, and face are kept unrestrained. The domain of the slope model is discretized with 6-noded Triangular elements (T6) of uniform meshing. The total number of factors and nodes in the slope model are 2591 and 5372, respectively.



Figure 2: A typical rock slope model developed with assumed fixed width = 100 m, height = 45 m. The height of the slope is 30 m, and the slope angle = 55° . A TC of length and inclination angle which is located at a distance *b* from the crest of the slope

The Mohr-Coulomb (MC) failure criterion is used in the numerical simulations of the slope mass [1]. According to this criterion, shear strength *T* depends on cohesion c, normal stress σ_n , and the angle of internal friction φ as $T = c + \sigma_n \cdot \tan \varphi$ [1]. In the present analysis, cohesion, c = 85.0 kPa, and angle of internal friction, $\varphi = 20^\circ$ are considered in the numerical simulations of the weathered rock. FEM-based SRF method numerical modelling software, *i.e.*, RS2, is used to determine CSRF and CSS of the bench slopes for varying inclination angle α , crack length *d*, and crest distance *b* of TC.

3 Effect of Tension Crack Dip Angle on Critical SRF

The results in Fig. 3a show that the crack's inclination angle α is insignificant for small crack lengths. For instance, a TC of length d = 6.0 m, located at a crest distance b = 10.0 m, the magnitude of CSRF is 1.25. This is slightly lower than CSRF = 1.26, when there is no TC in the rock slope, as shown in Fig. 4a. It is also seen in Fig. 3a. that at the fixed crack of lengths d = 7.5 & 9.0 m, CSRF increases first with α , after that remains constant with $\alpha = (60^\circ, 90^\circ \& 120^\circ)$ and then CSRF decreases as α increases further. An important conclusion from Fig. 3a. is that certainly inclined cracks, for example, $\alpha = (30^\circ, 45^\circ, 135^\circ, and 150^\circ)$, are more to cause instability in the slope than the vertical TC ($\alpha = 90^\circ$) or the TC with $\alpha = 60^\circ$ and 120° .

Variation of shear stress τ_{xy} at the crack tip is also studied in Fig. 3b. It is observed that it shows the opposite trend compared with CSRF vs. α in Fig. 3a. For instance, it decreases initially but remains almost constant before increasing again with α (Fig. 3b). A possible reason is that since the rock mass's shear strength is constant, the CSRF value decreases upon the increase of shear stress at the location of CSRF.



Figure 3: (a) Effect of the inclination angle α of TC on CSRF, (b) Corresponding variation of shear stress τ_{xy} at tip of TC for fixed initial crack lengths d = 6.0, 7.5, and 9.0 m at a fixed crest distance b = 10.0 m



Figure 4: Location of CSRF and CSS (a) no TC (b) $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, (c) $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$, (d) $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, (e) $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$, (f) $\alpha = 150^{\circ}$, of the TC at a fixed crack length d = 9.0 m and crest distance b = 10.0 m

The location of CSRF in the slope mass is also investigated with different α as shown in Fig. 4. The result in Fig. 4a. shows that in the absence of TC in the slope, the location of CSRF is at the toe of the slope. However, in the presence of TC with $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, the location of CSRF shifts near to the TC as

shown in Fig. 4b. Further, increase in $\alpha = 60^{\circ} \& 90^{\circ}$ of the TC as shown in Figs. 4c and 4d, the location of CSRF remains near the TC. At the same time, the shear failure zone is also observed at the toe of the slope. Figs. 4e and 4f confirm that in the case of $\alpha = 120^{\circ} \& 150^{\circ}$ of the TC, the location of CSRF is seen again near the TC. Another important conclusion from Fig. 4 is that size of the failure zone due to shear strain localization is directly related to the magnitude of CSRF. For instance, the size of the failure zone is smaller for CSRF = 0.69, as shown in Fig. 4b than CSRF = 1.26, as shown in Fig. 4a. After that, the failure zone increases to an approximately constant size as CSRF increases up to constant CSRF = 1.16, as shown in Figs. 4c–4e. However, it reduces again to 0.72, as shown in Fig. 4f.

4 Effect of Distance of A TC From Crest On CSRF

The effect of crest distance b of a TC is also investigated on CSRF for different $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, 60° , and 90° , as shown in Fig. 5a. It is observed that the magnitude of CSRF does not change with b, except when a TC is near the crest of the slope. For example, a TC with $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ results in CSRF~0 and corresponding τ_{xy} ~750 kPa, as shown in Fig. 5b is relatively high to cause the immediate failure of the slope. After that, CSRF becomes nearly constant with b, and corresponding shear stress also remains constant. Similar observations are seen for $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ and 90° as well.



Figure 5: (a) Variation of CSRF *vs*. crest distance *b*; (b) Corresponding τ_{xy} vs. *b*, for $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, 60° & 90° of fixed crack length d = 9.0 m

Fig. 6 shows the Critical Slip Surface's behaviour (CSS) function *b* of the TC with d = 9.0 m and $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$. At the same time, Fig. 6a presents the CSS without a TC, as discussed in Fig. 4a. Fig. 6b shows the CSS when the TC is near the slope's face. In this case, the shear strain zone is highly localized, and the magnitude of CSRF is almost zero. Further, the shear strain zone slightly increases *b*, yet it is still localized near the tip of the TC. This may be the reason behind the observation as shown in Fig. 6b that *b* does not have any significant effect on CSRF unless TC is very close to the face of the slope. Moreover, the size of the failure zone is initially quite localized in Fig. 6a yet increases slightly *b* to reach a nearly constant value, as shown in Figs. 6b–6f. Hence, in all the cases, the shear strain zone is localized near the tip of the TC, and there is no interaction with the toe of the slope.

The effect of critical crack length d_c vs *b* of a vertical crack ($\alpha = 90^\circ$) is also studied, as shown in Fig. 7a. This d_c signifies a TC with CSRF <1, an unstable crack. It is observed that d_c it decreases with an increase *b* to a minimum value $d_c = 19.0$ m. After that, d_c it increases with increasing *b*. The corresponding shear stress τ_{xy} *b* is also presented in Fig. 7b. It is observed that τ_{xy} it follows a similar trend as d_c vs. *b* as shown in Fig. 7a.



Figure 6: Location of CSRF with crest distance b (a) no TC, (b) b = 5.0 m, (c) b = 10.0 m, (d) b = 15.0 m, (e) b = 20.0 m and (f) b = 25.0 m, at a fixed crack length d = 9 m and $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ of the TC



Figure 7: (a) Variation of critical crack length d_c vs. crest distance b, (b) Corresponding variation of shear stress τ_{xy} with crest distance b, for a vertical crack ($\alpha = 90^\circ$)

5 Effect of Inclination Angle of a Joint on CSRF

We have also studied the joint's effect on the rock slope's stability. In the numerical simulations, a TC is replaced with a joint in which free surfaces now interact with each other *via* shear and normal forces [6]. The

slope model is shown in Fig. 2 and is also used to investigate the effect of the inclination angle α of the joint on CSRF. Frictional properties of the joint are cohesion c = 5.0 kPa, friction angle $\phi = 5^{\circ}$, while average and shear stiffnesses are considered equal to 10.0 MPa-m⁻¹ and 1.0 MPa-m⁻¹, respectively. Moreover, the tensile strength of the joint is assumed to be zero. It is also believed that the strike of the joint is parallel to the slope face. The Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion is also used in the case of failure of the joint.

The results of numerical simulations are shown in Fig. 8a shows CSRF vs. α for different d = 6, 7.5 & 9.0 m, but at a fixed b = 10.0 m. It is observed that CSRF first decreases to a minimum value between $\alpha = 60^{\circ}-80^{\circ}$ for all three joints. After that, CSRF increases with up to $\alpha = 110^{\circ}$, and then CSRF becomes constant upon a further increase α . The literature also reported a similar result [6,29].



Figure 8: (a) Effect of the inclination angle of the joint on CSRF; (b) The corresponding variation of shear stress near the joint's tip, at varying initial joint lengths = 6.0, 7.5 and 9.0 m and at a fixed crest distance = 10.0 m

We have also studied the corresponding shear stress τ_{xy} at the location of CSRF. The results are shown in Fig. 8b and concluded that it also decreases with α and reaches a minimum value of about $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$. It is followed by an increase with a maximum value at $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ and then decreases to a minimum value at $\alpha = 150^{\circ}$, as shown in Fig. 8b. An important conclusion from Figs. 8a and 8b is that, unlike Figs. 3a and 3b. τ_{xy} it is not proportional to CSRF.

The effect of CSS has also been noticed in Fig. 9. For example, a joint $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, as shown in Fig. 9b. indicates that the shear failure zone is still localized at the toe of the slope, and CSS does not interact with the joint. Hence, there is no reduction in CSRF as compared to Fig. 9a without a joint. However, for the joint with $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$, as shown in Fig. 9c, the failure shear zone shifts near the joint's tip and propagates towards the toe of the slope, and the corresponding CSRF =1.17. Fig. 9d also illustrates the maximum shear strain zone near the joint's tip with $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ (vertical joint) and CSRF = 1.19. A similar observation is also seen in the joint case of $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ and 150° , but at slightly increased CSRF = 1.22, as shown in Fig. 9e and 9f. In addition, the failure zone size also varies with the magnitude of CSRF (Fig. 9).

Although present numerical results are discussed in view of the magnitude and location of CSRF and the size of the failure zone, further study will be interesting to correlate them. Finally, it would also be interesting to carry out a three-dimensional analysis of a rock slope in the presence of both tension cracks and joints [23,29–31].



Figure 9: Location of CSRF with (a) no joint (b) $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ (c) $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$, (d) $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, (e) $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ and (f) $\alpha = 150^{\circ}$ of the TC at a fixed crack length d = 9.0 m and crest distance b = 10 m

6 Conclusions

The present numerical study establishes that failure of the rock slope in the presence of an inclined TC results in a change of both magnitude and location of the Critical Strength Reduction Factor. In some instances, inclined tension cracks are more prone to failure than vertical TC. Nevertheless, all TCs are not sensitive to CSRF unless they exceed their critical length. A few cases have observed that a TC's distance from the slope's crest does not significantly affect CSRF and its location. It is also observed that the length of a TC is more sensitive to CSRF than its crest distance. The results indicate that regardless of the critical slip surface shape and magnitude, a smaller CSRF/FoS will be expected when TC dominates the failure surface.

Further, when a TC is replaced with a joint in the slope, the magnitude of CSRF and shear stress are quite different from the corresponding TC. Finally, it is believed that the present study will be very useful in analyzing the slope stability of jointed rock mass of the benches in opencast mines in the presence of tension crack. The above study will be useful in deciding the ultimate limit of pit slopes for safer working in opencast mines.

Acknowledgement: We would like to acknowledge the Director, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, India, for his permission to publish this paper.

Funding Statement: The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- N. Bar and N. Barton, "The Q-slope method for rock slope engineering," *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 3307–3322, 2017.
- [2] H. H. Einstein, "Risk and risk analysis in rock engineering," *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 141–155, 1996.
- [3] P. Paronuzzi and A. Bolla, "Gravity-induced fracturing in large rockslides: possible evidence from vajont," Engineering Geology for Society and Territory, vol. 2, pp. 213–216, 2015.
- [4] D. Stead and A. Wolter, "A critical review of rock slope failure mechanisms: The importance of structural geology," *Journal of Structural Geology*, vol. 74, pp. 1–23, 2015.
- [5] D. Stead, E. Eberhardt and J. S. Coggan, "Developments in the characterization of complex rock slope deformation and failure using numerical modelling techniques," *Engineering Geology*, vol. 83, no. 1–3, pp. 217–235, 2006.
- [6] K. Zhang, P. Cao, J. Meng, K. Li and W. Fan, "Modeling the progressive failure of jointed rock slope using fracture mechanics and the strength reduction method," *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 771–785, 2015.
- [7] B. Azarfar, S. Ahmadvan, J. Sattarvand and B. Abbasi, "Stability analysis of rock structure in large slopes and open-pit mine: Numerical and experimental fault modeling," *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 4889–4905, 2019.
- [8] I. Satyanarayana, G. Budi and S. Murmu, "Stability analysis of a deep highwall slope using numerical modelling and statistical approach—a case study," *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1–12, 2021.
- [9] I. Satyanarayana and G. Budi, "Analytical and numerical approach for analysis of factors affecting pit slope stability at dorli ocp-ii, India," *Journal of Mining Science*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 376–382, 2019.
- [10] S. J. Martel, "Mechanics of landslide initiation as a shear fracture phenomenon," *Marine Geology*, vol. 203, no. 3– 4, pp. 319–339, 2004.
- [11] E. Eberhardt, D. Stead and J. S. Coggan, "Numerical analysis of initiation and progressive failure in natural rock slopes—the 1991 Randa rockslide," *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 69–87, 2004.
- [12] R. Baker, "Tensile strength, tension cracks, and stability of slopes," Soils and Foundations, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1– 17, 1981.
- [13] W. M. Cai, V. Murti and S. Valliappan, "Slope stability analysis using fracture mechanics approach," *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 261–281, 1990.
- [14] F. H. Lee, K. W. Lo and S. L. Lee, "Tension crack development in soils," *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, vol. 114, no. 8, pp. 915–929, 1988.
- [15] S. Sharma, T. K. Raghuvanshi and R. Anbalagan, "Plane failure analysis of rock slopes," *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 105–111, 1995.
- [16] L. Tang, Z. Zhao, Z. Luo and Y. Sun, "What is the role of tensile cracks in cohesive slopes?," Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 314–324, 2019.
- [17] T. K. Raghuvanshi, "Plane failure in rock slopes-a review on stability analysis techniques," *Journal of King Saud University-Science*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 101–109, 2019.
- [18] I. Satyanarayana, G. Budi, P. Sen and A. K. Sinha, "Stability evaluation of highwall slope in an opencast coal mine-a case study," AMSE Journals-AMSE lieta Publication, vol. 78, pp. 253–273, 2018.

- [19] D. Verma, R. Thareja, A. Kainthola and T. N. Singh, "Evaluation of open pit mine slope stability analysis," *International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 590–600, 2011.
- [20] S. V. Tsirel, A. A. Pavlovich, N. Y. Mel'nikov and B. Y. Zuev, "Physical modeling of deformation processes in pit slope with steep bedding," *Journal of Mining Science*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 364–370, 2019.
- [21] S. Nunoo, "Lessons learnt from open pit wall instabilities: case studies of bc open pit hard rock mines," *Journal of Mining Science*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 804–812, 2018.
- [22] E. M. Dawson, W. H. Roth and A. Drescher, "Slope stability analysis by strength reduction," *Geotechnique*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 835–840, 1999.
- [23] W. B. Wei, Y. M. Cheng and L. Li, "Three-dimensional slope failure analysis by the strength reduction and limit equilibrium methods," *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol. 36, no. 1–2, pp. 70–80, 2009.
- [24] V. B. Maji, "An insight into slope stability using strength reduction technique," *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 77–81, 2017.
- [25] G. You, M. Al Mandalawi, A. Soliman, K. Dowling and P. Dahlhaus, "Finite element analysis of rock slope stability using shear strength reduction method," in *Proc. of Int. Congress and Exhibition Sustainable Civil Infrastructures: Innovative Infrastructure Geotechnology*, Cham, Springer, pp. 227–235, 2017.
- [26] Y. M. Cheng, T. Lansivaara and W. B. Wei, "Two-dimensional slope stability analysis by limit equilibrium and strength reduction methods," *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 137–150, 2007.
- [27] Rocscience, Phase2 V9.0/Rocscience, A two-dimensional finite element analysis of soil and rock for underground and excavated slopes, user's manual. Toronto: RocScience Inc, 2014.
- [28] Z. Ahmed, S. Wang, O. H. Jasim, Y. Xu and P. Wang, "Variability effect of strength and geometric parameters on the stability factor of failure surfaces of rock slope by numerical analysis," *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, vol. 13, no. 21, pp. 1–12, 2020.
- [29] Q. Wu, Z. Kou and S. Wan, "Numerical simulation for the effect of joint inclination to the stability of stratified rock slope," in 2012 Int. Conf. on Computer Application and System Modeling (ICCASM 2012), Atlantis Press, vol. 21, pp. 29–32, 2012. https://dx.doi.org/10.2991/iccasm.2012.8.
- [30] K. Ma, C. A. Tang, L. C. Li, P. G. Ranjith, M. Cai et al., "3D modeling of stratified and irregularly jointed rock slope and its progressive failure," *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 2147–2163, 2013.
- [31] A. Z. Zhou, G. Liu, X. W. Huang, P. M. Jiang and Y. Chen, "Stability analysis of a two-layered slope with cracks by finite element limit analysis," *Advances in Civil Engineering*, vol. 881227, no. 5, pp. 1–15, 2020.