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#### Abstract

Background: Congenital heart disease (CHD) represents a global health problem. In Mexico, in children <1 year of age it is the second cause of mortality. The aim was to determine mortality trends from CHD and the great vessels in children and adults nationwide, by state and socioeconomic region. Methods: Records of mortality associated to CHD and the great vessels for 2000-2015 were obtained from the National Institute of Statistics and Geography. This information is collected from death certificates issued nationwide. International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision, codes corresponding to the basic cause of death from CHD and the great vessels. To calculate mortality rates for individuals $\geq 1$ year of age, population counts from the national population, estimated by the National Population Council for 2000-2015, were used in the denominator; for infant mortality, live birth counts were used. Rates of mortality nationwide, by state, and by socioeconomic region were calculated. The seven socioeconomic regions were elaborated by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography and include the 31 states and Mexico City according to indicators that are related to well-being such as education, occupation, health, housing, and employment. Results: In the period 2000-2015, 70741 individuals among children and adults died from CHD. Age-adjusted mortality rate per 100000 individuals increased from 3.3 to 4. In the age group <1 year of age, mortality rate per 100000 live births increased from 143.9 to 217.3. Conclusions: In the period 2000-2015, age-adjusted mortality rate per 100000 individuals increased from 3.3 to 4 . Mexico City as region 7 had the highest mortality from CHD and the great vessels.
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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Congenital heart disease (CHD) is the most common cause of major congenital anomalies and represents a global health problem. Twenty-eight percent of all major congenital anomalies consist of heart defects. ${ }^{1}$ In fact, CHD are the most frequent congenital
malformations and has an incidence that has been estimated between 4 and 12 per 1000 live births, according to different authors, much higher in the stillborn. Cardiac malformations are the leading cause of death due to congenital anomalies in infants; a little more than $1 / 3$ of deaths due to congenital anomalies and about $1 / 10$ of all deaths during this period of life. However, mortality from this cause
has decreased considerably in recent years, due to advances in diagnosis, surgical treatment, and postoperative care. ${ }^{2}$

Mortality trends from CHD vary among countries. The mortality for this disease has decreased in recent years in Canada and in 16 European countries, particularly in children <1 year of age. There was also a decrease in mortality from CHD in the United States between 1970 and 1997, which contributed to a reduction of $59 \%$ in infant mortality during this period. In contrast, the overall mortality rate for CHD in China increased 62\% between 2003 and 2010. ${ }^{3}$

The real prevalence of CHD in Mexico is unknown; the information available on the importance and the repercussion of CHD is based on mortality rates that in 1990, it was ranked in sixth place, as cause of death in children under 1 year of age; in 2002 it was ranked in fourth place; and since 2005, CHD is the second cause of mortality. In children between 1 and 4 years old in 1990, it was ninth cause, in 2002 it passed to the third cause and has remained in that place since 2005. The total mortality from CHD of the pediatric population under 10 years old was 15548 patients from 2004 to 2007, of which $83 \%$ corresponded to children <1 year of age. ${ }^{4}$

The World Bank reported that the prevalence of CHD in Mexico is on average 6 to 8 per 1000 newborns. If this value is extrapolated to the estimated national birth rate in Mexico, there would be 2000000 newborns per year, so it can be assumed that there are between 12000 and 16000 newborns with CHD per year in Mexico. ${ }^{5}$

In recent years, significant efforts have been made in Mexico, to reduce infant mortality among children <1 year of age; between 1990 and 2010 the infant mortality rate decreased from 24 to 11.8 per 1000 live births. However, mortality from CHD increased. In the year 2000, 2596 children <1 year of age died of CHD, which accounts for $6.7 \%$ of the total deaths in this age group. In 2008 , mortality from CHD increased to 2848, which accounts for $9.6 \%$ of the total. ${ }^{3}$

In Mexico, there are no studies investigating mortality trends from CHD and the great vessels in children and adults nationwide, by state and socioeconomic region; the results of this study could be useful in actions aimed at the management of CHD.

The aim of this study was to determine mortality trends due to CHD and the great vessels in children and adults nationwide, by state and socioeconomic region.

## 2 | METHODS

An ecological study design was used. Mortality records of children and adults associated with CHD and the great vessels for 2000-2015 were obtained from the National Institute of Statistics and Geography. ${ }^{6}$ This information is collected from death certificates issued nationwide. All individual records of mortality in which the basic cause of death was CHD and the great vessels among children and adults in the period of 2000-2015 were included in the study. The codes of the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision, were identified. ${ }^{7}$ They corresponded to the basic cause of death from CHD and the great vessels among children and adults (Q20.0-Q26.9).

Raw and age-adjusted mortality rates nationwide per 100000 inhabitants were obtained, taking the world population as the standard population. ${ }^{8,9}$ Age-adjusted mortality rates per 100000 inhabitants from each state and from each of the seven socioeconomic regions (Table 1) established by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography, were also obtained. ${ }^{10}$ The national population, estimated by the National Population Council for 2000-2015, was used for the rate adjustment for individuals $\geq 1$ year of age. ${ }^{11}$ To calculate mortality rates for infant mortality (<1 year of age), live birth counts were used in the denominator. ${ }^{12}$

The seven socioeconomic regional categories for Mexico have been defined by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography in which differences observed in the social and economic conditions of the population throughout México are presented according to the XII General Population and Housing Census. The seven socioeconomic regions comprise the 31 states and Mexico City, according to indicators related to well-being such as education, occupation, health, housing, and employment. States classified in the same region have similar characteristics on average, that is, they are homogenous, while the regions differ from one another. According to the indicators used, the socioeconomic conditions increase from region 1, least favorable, to region 7 most favorable.

The methodology used to establish the regions had the objective of forming strata with minimal variance in an effort to group the elements more alike or closer to each other following a criterion of established similarity, which allows for differentiating one region from another. Among the techniques used are Mahalonobis

| Socioeconomic regions | States |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Chiapas, Guerrero, Oaxaca |
| 2 | Campeche, Hidalgo, Puebla, San Luis Potosí, Tabasco, Veracruz |
| 3 | Durango, Guanajuato, Michoacan, Tlaxcala, Zacatecas |
| 4 | Colima, State of Mexico, Morelos, Nayarit, Querétaro, Quintana <br> Roo, Sinaloa, Yucatan |
| 5 | Baja California, Baja California Sur, Chihuahua, Sonora, Tamaulipas |
| 6 | Aguascalientes, Coahuila, Jalisco, Nuevo Leon |
| 7 | Mexico City |

[^0]TABLE 1 Socioeconomic regions of Mexico
TABLE 2 Gender and age at death of children and adults who died from congenital malformations of the heart and the great vessels in México, 2000-2015


WILEY
TABLE 2 (Continued)

| ICD 10 | Congenital malformations of the circulatory system | Gender $\mathrm{N}=70741$ |  | Age at death $\mathrm{N}=70741$ |  |  |  |  | The minimum year at death | The maximum year at death | Median years at death |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } n=32913 \\ & (46.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Men } n=37828 \\ & (53.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minutes } n=475 \\ & (0.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours (1-23) } \\ & n=5038 \text { (7.1\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days (1-29) } \\ & n=21572 \\ & (30.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Months (1-11) } \\ & n=24405 \\ & (34.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years }(\geq 1) \\ & n=19251 \\ & (27.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n | n | n | n | n |  |  |  |
| Q22.9 | Congenital malformation of tricuspid valve, unspecified | 10 (0.014) | 16 (0.023) | 0 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 15 | 5.5 |
| Q23 | Congenital malformations of aortic and mitral valves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q23.0 | Congenital stenosis of aortic valve | 154 (0.218) | 274 (0.387) | 1 | 8 | 120 | 142 | 157 | 1 | 86 | 17 |
| Q23.1 | Congenital insufficiency of aortic valve | 24 (0.034) | 55 (0.078) | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 60 | 2 | 95 | 46.5 |
| Q23.2 | Congenital mitral stenosis | 88 (0.124) | 95 (0.134) | 0 | 2 | 22 | 86 | 73 | 1 | 88 | 16 |
| Q23.3 | Congenital mitral insufficiency | 65 (0.092) | 83 (0.117) | 1 | 3 | 26 | 61 | 57 | 1 | 73 | 13 |
| Q23.4 | Hypoplastic left heart syndrome | 405 (0.573) | 649 (0.917) | 3 | 36 | 727 | 252 | 36 | 1 | 58 | 2 |
| Q23.8 | Other congenital malformations of aortic and mitral valves | 10 (0.014) | 17 (0.024) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 58 | 18.5 |
| Q23.9 | Congenital malformation of aortic and mitral valves, unspecified | 37 (0.052) | 27 (0.038) | 0 | 1 | 12 | 21 | 30 | 1 | 81 | 44.5 |
| Q24 | Other congenital malformations of heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q24.0 | Dextrocardia | 100 (0.141) | 131 (0.185) | 4 | 33 | 55 | 70 | 69 | 1 | 83 | 13 |
| Q24.1 | Levocardia | 3 (0.004) | 3 (0.004) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 4.5 |
| Q24.2 | Cor triatriatum | 6 (0.008) | 4 (0.006) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Q24.3 | Pulmonary infundibular stenosis | 10 (0.014) | 11 (0.016) | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 23 | 4 |
| Q24.4 | Congenital subaortic stenosis | 22 (0.031) | 26 (0.037) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 37 | 1 | 80 | 13 |
| Q24.5 | Malformation of coronary vessels | 25 (0.035) | 31 (0.044) | 0 | 3 | 12 | 21 | 20 | 1 | 102 | 27.5 |
| Q24.6 | Congenital heart block | 32 (0.045) | 38 (0.054) | 0 | 7 | 43 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 45 | 21.5 |
| Q24.8 | Other specified congenital malformations of heart | 764 (1.080) | 996 (1.408) | 8 | 97 | 422 | 478 | 755 | 1 | 98 | 25 |
| Q24.9 | Congenital malformation of heart, unspecified | 20570 (29.078) | 23467 (33.173) | 428 | 4542 | 13828 | 14858 | 10381 | 1 | 104 | 11 |
| Q25 | Congenital malformations of great arteries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q25.0 | Patent ductus arteriosus | 1820 (2.573) | 1783 (2.520) | 2 | 38 | 1877 | 1170 | 516 | 1 | 89 | 8 |
| Q25.1 | Coarctation of aorta | 472 (0.667) | 692 (0.978) | 0 | 9 | 461 | 445 | 249 | 1 | 92 | 20 |
| Q25.2 | Atresia of aorta | 0 | 7 (0.010) | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Q25.3 | Supravalvular aortic stenosis | 32 (0.045) | 63 (0.089) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 81 | 1 | 102 | 60 |
| Q25.4 | Other congenital malformations of aorta | 130 (0.184) | 168 (0.237) | 0 | 4 | 118 | 96 | 80 | 1 | 93 | 31.5 |
| Q25.5 | Atresia of pulmonary artery | 606 (0.857) | 650 (0.919) | 1 | 14 | 464 | 447 | 330 | 1 | 90 | 3 |
| Q25.6 | Stenosis of pulmonary artery | 191 (0.270) | 214 (0.303) | 2 | 4 | 80 | 165 | 154 | 1 | 92 | 11 |
| Q25.7 | Other congenital malformations of pulmonary artery | 72 (0.102) | 79 (0.112) | 0 | 8 | 35 | 63 | 45 | 1 | 78 | 5 |

TABLE 2 (Continued)

| ICD 10 | Congenital malformations of the circulatory system | Gender N = 70741 |  | Age at death $N=70741$ |  |  |  |  | The minimum year at death | The maximum year at death | Median years at death |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Women $\mathrm{n}=32913$ <br> (46.5\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Men } n=37828 \\ & (53.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minutes } \mathrm{n}=475 \\ & (0.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours (1-23) } \\ & \mathrm{n}=5038(7.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days (1-29) } \\ & n=21572 \\ & (30.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Months (1-11) } \\ & n=24405 \\ & (34.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years }(\geq 1) \\ & n=19251 \\ & (27.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n | n | n | n | n |  |  |  |
| Q25.8 | Other congenital malformations of other great arteries | 14 (0.020) | 24 (0.034) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 1 | 78 | 3 |
| Q25.9 | Congenital malformation of great arteries, unspecified | 20 (0.028) | 32 (0.045) | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 22 | 1 | 90 | 39 |
| Q26 | Congenital malformations of great veins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q260 | Congenital stenosis of vena cava | 0 | 3 (0.004) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Q26.1 | Persistent left superior vena cava | 1 (0.001) | 1 (0.001) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| Q26.2 | Total anomalous pulmonary venous connection | 150 (0.212) | 261 (0.369) | 0 | 3 | 112 | 250 | 46 | 1 | 36 | 1 |
| Q26.3 | Partial anomalous pulmonary venous connection | 8 (0.011) | 10 (0.014) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 39 | 2.5 |
| Q26.4 | Anomalous pulmonary venous connection, unspecified | 272 (0.385) | 512 (0.724) | 0 | 4 | 199 | 476 | 105 | 1 | 52 | 1 |
| Q26.5 | Anomalous portal venous connection | 3 (0.004) | 5 (0.007) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Q26.6 | Portal vein-hepatic artery fistula | 0 | 1 (0.001) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| Q26.8 | Other congenital malformations of great veins | 10 (0.014) | 26 (0.037) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 73 | 1 |
| Q26.9 | Congenital malformation of great vein, unspecified | 8 (0.011) | 17 (0.024) | 0 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 80 | 19 |



NOTE: Raw rate of mortalty per 100,000 individuals
Age-adusted rate by the direct method, standardized with world population per 100,000 individuals.
FIGURE 1 Mortality from congenital malformations of the heart and the great vessels. Mexico, 2000-2015


FIGURE 2 Mortality from congenital malformations of the heart and the great vessels by age group. Mexico, 2000-2015
distances and a combination of factorial analysis and the algorithm of the k-means. ${ }^{10}$

Registrations were handled by the Access 2013 program. The Epidat version 3.1 program was used to determine age-adjusted mortality rates by state, and socioeconomic region. ${ }^{13}$

## 3 | RESULTS

In Mexico, in the period 2000-2015, the mortality rates standardized to the world population increased from 3.3 to 4 per 100000 individuals (Figure 1). Seventy thousand seven hundred forty-one individuals among children and adults died from CHD, 32,913 (46.5\%) women and 37828 (53.5\%) men (Table 2). Children <1 year of age who died of CHD were 51490 ( $72.8 \%$ ) and those > 1 year of age were 19251 (27.2\%) (Figure 2).


FIGURE 3 Mortality trends from congenital malformations of the heart and the great vessels by age group. Mexico, 2000-2015

Of the age groups analyzed, in the age groups <1 year of age, 1-2 and 9-10, the trends in mortality rates increased; while in the age groups of 5-6 and 7-8; they decreased and in the age groups of $3-4$ and $\geq 11$, the trends in mortality rates were not modified. In the age group <1 year of age, the trends in mortality rate increased from 143.9 to 217.3 per 100000 live births (percentage of change of 51\%) (Figure 3).

The five CHD that occurred most frequently in the study period were (1) Congenital malformation of the heart, unspecified (Q24.9) with 44037 (62.3\%), (2) Patent ductus arteriosus (Q25.0) with 3.603 (5.1\%), (3) Tetralogy of Fallot (Q21.3) with 2883 (4.1\%), (4) Ventricular septal defect (Q21.0) with 2582 (3.6\%), and (5) Other malformations of the heart, specified (Q24.8) with 1760 (2.5\%) (Table 2).

The states with the highest mortality rates from CHD in the study period were Mexico City in 2000 and 2012; Queretaro in 2001; Guanajuato 2002; Puebla 2003, 2004, 2006-2008 and 2015; Tlaxcala 2005; Tabasco 2009-2011, 2013 and 2014. Mortality rates in Mexico City in the year 2000 and 2012 were 5.5, 95\%CI 4.9-6 and $5.2,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 4.7-5.7$, respectively; Queretaro in 2001, 6.3, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 5.1-7.5; Guanajuato in 2002, 5.4, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 4.8-6$; Puebla in 2003 and 2015, 5.4, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 4.8-6$ and $5.1,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 4.5-5.6$, respectively; Tlaxcala 2005, 5.9, 95\%CI 4.5-7.3; Tabasco 2009 and 2014, 5.9, 95\%CI 4.9-6.9 and $6,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 5-7$, respectively (Table 3).

The states with the lowest mortality rates in the years 2000-2015 were Guerrero 2000-2002, 2004-2005, and 2007; Durango 2003 and 2008; Nayarit 2006; Tamaulipas 2009; Sinaloa 2010; Coahuila 2011; Baja California Sur 2012 and 2014; Colima 2013; Aguascalientes 2015. In the state of Guerrero the mortality rate in the years 2000 and 2007 were 1.7, 95\%CI 1.2-2.1 and 1.9, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.4-2.3$, respectively; Durango in 2003 and 2008, 1.4, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ $0.8-1.9$ and $2.3,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.6-3$, respectively; Nayarit 2006, 1.6, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 0.8-2.4; Tamaulipas 2009, 2.4, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 1.8-2.9; Sinaloa 2010, 2.7
TABLE 3 Age-adjusted mortality rate and $95 \%$ confidence interval by state of residence of people who died from congenital malformations of the heart and the great vessels Mexico, 2000-2015

| State | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aguascalientes | 4.1 (2.9-5.3) | 4.1 (3-5.3) | 3.7 (2.6-4.7) | 3.5 (2.4-4.6) | 4.8 (3.5-6) | 4 (2.9-5.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (2.6-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 (2.5-4.6) | 3.9 (2.8-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & (1.8-3.6) \end{aligned}$ | 3.1 (2.2-4.1) | 2.9 (2-3.9) | 2.7 (1.8-3.6) | 3.1 (2.2-4.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.2-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 (1.3-2.9) |
| Baja California | 3.5 (2.8-4.3) | 4.5 (3.6-5.3) | 3.7 (3-4.5) | 3.6 (2.9-4.3) | 3.8 (3.1-4.5) | 4.5 (3.7-5.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.9-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (3.5-5) | 3 (2.3-3.6) | 4.3 (3.6-5) | 5 (4.2-5.8) | 3.4 (2.8-4.1) | 3.3 (2.6-3.9) | 3.1 (2.5-3.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & (2.4-3.6) \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 (2.2-3.4) |
| Baja California Sur | 4.8 (2.8-6.8) | 3.8 (2.1-5.6) | 2.4 (1.1-3.8) | 2.7 (1.3-4.2) | 3.8 (2.1-5.4) | 3.5 (1.9-5.1) | 3.4 (1.9-4.9) | 3.2 (1.8-4.7) | 3.7 (2.2-5.3) | 3.5 (2-4.9) | 3.4 (2-4.9) | 3 (1.7-4.3) | 2.4 (1.2-3.5) | 2 (0.9-3) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & (1.5-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 3 (1.8-4.2) |
| Campeche | 3 (1.7-4.3) | 3.9 (2.4-5.3) | 3.9 (2.4-5.3) | 4.7 (3.1-6.3) | 3.9 (2.4-5.3) | 4.1 (2.6-5.6) | 3.6 (2.2-4.9) | 2.5 (1.4-3.6) | 2.7 (1.5-3.8) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (2.3-4.9) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (2.9-5.7) | 3 (1.8-4.2) | 3.9 (2.5-5.2) | 5.5 (3.9-7) | 4 (2.7-5.3) | 4 (2.7-5.3) |
| Chiapas | 2 (1.6-2.4) | 2.2 (1.8-2.6) | 2.4 (2-2.9) | 2.4 (2-2.9) | 2.6 (2.1-3) | 2.7 (2.3-3.2) | 2.4 (2-2.8) | 2.7 (2.2-3.1) | 3.1 (2.6-3.6) | 3 (2.5-3.5) | 3.5 (3-4) | 4 (3.5-4.5) | 3.9 (3.4-4.5) | 4.5 (3.9-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.3-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | 4.1 (3.6-4.7) |
| Chihuahua | 3.3 (2.7-4) | 3.7 (3-4.3) | 3.3 (2.6-3.9) | 2.6 (2-3.1) | 2.8 (2.2-3.4) | 3.3 (2.7-4) | 3.7 (3.1-4.4) | 3.7 (3-4.3) | 3.2 (2.6-3.8) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.1-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 (2.6-3.8) | 3.9 (3.2-4.5) | 2.6 (2.1-3.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.6-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.4-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 (2.9-4.1) |
| Coahuila | 3.2 (2.5-3.9) | 3.5 (2.8-4.2) | 3.4 (2.7-4.2) | 2.9 (2.3-3.6) | 2.7 (2.1-3.3) | 3.1 (2.4-3.7) | 2.2 (1.6-2.7) | 3.5 (2.8-4.2) | 2.9 (2.2-3.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & (1.9-3.1) \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 (2.2-3.4) | 2.3 (1.7-2.9) | 3.4 (2.7-4.1) | 2.7 (2.1-3.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.8-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | 3.3 (2.6-3.9) |
| Colima | 4.4 (2.6-6.1) | 4.3 (2.6-6.1) | 5.2 (3.2-7.1) | 4.3 (2.6-6.1) | 2.8 (1.4-4.2) | 3.3 (1.8-4.8) | 4.8 (3-6.5) | 3.6 (2.1-5.2) | 2.9 (1.5-4.2) | 4.4 (2.7-6) | 4.7 (3.1-6.4) | 4.8 (3.1-6.4) | 3.3 (1.9-4.6) | 1.7 (0.8-2.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & (1.6-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 (2-4.8) |
| Durango | 1.8 (1.2-2.5) | 1.9 (1.2-2.6) | 2.6 (1.8-3.3) | 1.4 (0.8-1.9) | 2.3 (1.6-3) | 3.2 (2.3-4) | 2.9 (2.1-3.7) | 2.1 (1.4-2.8) | 2.3 (1.6-3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & (2.6-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 (2.6-4.4) | 3.3 (2.4-4.1) | 3.6 (2.7-4.4) | 3.5 (2.7-4.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & (1.9-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.6 (2.8-4.5) |
| Guanajuato | 4.9 (4.3-5.5) | 4.5 (3.9-5.1) | 5.4 (4.8-6) | 4.8 (4.3-5.4) | 4.6 (4-5.1) | 4.4 (3.9-5) | 4.2 (3.7-4.8) | 4.2 (3.7-4.7) | 4 (3.4-4.5) | 4.4 (3.9-5) | 4.7 (4.2-5.3) | 4.6 (4.1-5.2) | 4.3 (3.8-4.8) | 4.1 (3.6-4.6) | 4.5 (3.9-5) | 4 (3.5-4.5) |
| Guerrero | 1.7 (1.2-2.1) | 0.9 (0.6-1.3) | 1.5 (1.1-1.9) | 1.7 (1.3-2.2) | 2 (1.5-2.4) | 1.7 (1.2-2.1) | 1.8 (1.4-2.3) | 1.9 (1.4-2.3) | 2.8 (2.3-3.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & (2.4-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 (2.2-3.3) | 3.1 (2.6-3.7) | 3.1 (2.5-3.6) | 3.9 (3.3-4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (2.7-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 (2.3-3.4) |
| Hidalgo | 3.1 (2.4-3.8) | 3.5 (2.8-4.3) | 3.1 (2.4-3.8) | 3.2 (2.5-3.9) | 3 (2.4-3.7) | 3 (2.3-3.7) | 2.7 (2-3.3) | 3.9 (3.1-4.6) | 3.7 (2.9-4.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & (2.1-3.3) \end{aligned}$ | 3.1 (2.4-3.7) | 3.9 (3.2-4.7) | 3.2 (2.6-3.9) | 3.8 (3.1-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.8-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 (2.6-3.9) |
| Jalisco | 4.9 (4.3-5.4) | 4.2 (3.7-4.7) | 4 (3.5-4.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & (3.8-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4.1 (3.7-4.6) | 4.4 (3.9-4.9) | 4.6 (4.1-5.1) | 4.4 (3.9-4.9) | 4.2 (3.7-4.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & (4.2-5.2) \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 (3.7-4.6) | 4.8 (4.3-5.3) | 4.5 (4-4.9) | 4.4 (3.9-4.8) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (3.9-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 (3.8-4.6) |
| México City | 5.5 (4.9-6) | 4.8 (4.3-5.3) | 5.3 (4.8-5.8) | 5.1 (4.6-5.6) | 4.9 (4.4-5.4) | 4.6 (4.1-5) | 5 (4.5-5.5) | 4.5 (4-5) | 4.8 (4.4-5.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (4.6-5.7) \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 (4.7-5.8) | 5.7 (5.2-6.3) | 5.2 (4.7-5.7) | 5.2 (4.7-5.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & (4.5-5.5) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1 (4.5-5.6) |
| Michoacan | 2.3 (1.8-2.7) | 2.8 (2.3-3.3) | 2.2 (1.7-2.6) | 2.9 (2.4-3.4) | 2.9 (2.4-3.4) | 3.4 (2.8-3.9) | 3.1 (2.6-3.7) | 3.2 (2.7-3.8) | 3.8 (3.2-4.4) | 3.4 (2.9-4) | 3.6 (3-4.1) | 3.6 (3-4.1) | 3.3 (2.7-3.8) | 3.1 (2.6-3.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (2.8-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 (2.7-3.8) |
| Morelos | $4(3-5)$ | 3 (2.2-3.9) | $4(3-5)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.5-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 (3.2-5.3) | $4(3-5)$ | 4.3 (3.3-5.3) | 2.8 (2-3.6) | 4.1 (3.1-5) | 4 (3.1-5) | 3.9 (3-4.8) | 4 (3.1-5) | 4.4 (3.5-5.4) | 4.1 (3.2-5.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & (2.8-4.5) \end{aligned}$ | 4.5 (3.5-5.4) |
| Nayarit | 2.3 (1.3-3.3) | 3.5 (2.3-4.8) | 3 (1.9-4.1) | 1.9 (1-2.8) | 4.1 (2.8-5.4) | 3.2 (2.1-4.3) | 1.6 (0.8-2.4) | 2.5 (1.6-3.5) | 3.3 (2.2-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & (1.8-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | 2.9 (1.9-3.9) | 3.1 (2.1-4.1) | 3.6 (2.5-4.6) | 3.8 (2.7-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.2-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | 3.1 (2.1-4.1) |
| Nuevo Leon | 4.6 (3.9-5.3) | 3.6 (3-4.2) | 4 (3.4-4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & \quad(2.6-3.7) \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 (2.8-3.9) | 3.8 (3.2-4.4) | 3.7 (3.1-4.2) | 3 (2.5-3.6) | 3.4 (2.9-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.2-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 (2.7-3.7) | 3 (2.5-3.5) | 3.1 (2.6-3.6) | 3.4 (2.9-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (2.8-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 (3-4.1) |
| Oaxaca | 3.6 (3-4.2) | 3.5 (2.9-4.1) | 3.6 (3-4.3) | 3.4 (2.8-4) | 3.7 (3.1-4.3) | 2.9 (2.3-3.4) | 3.5 (2.9-4.1) | 3.7 (3.1-4.3) | 3.2 (2.6-3.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.5-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | 3.9 (3.3-4.5) | 4.1 (3.5-4.8) | 3.7 (3.1-4.3) | 3.9 (3.3-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (3-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.1-4.3) |
| Puebla | 4.9 (4.3-5.5) | 4.2 (3.7-4.8) | 5.4 (4.8-6) | 5.4 (4.8-6) | 5.9 (5.2-6.5) | 5.4 (4.8-6) | 5.6(5-6.2) | 5.5 (4.9-6.1) | 5.5 (4.9-6) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & (4.6-5.7) \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 (4.6-5.8) | 5.3 (4.7-5.9) | 5.1 (4.6-5.7) | 5.9 (5.3-6.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \\ & (5-6.1) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1 (4.5-5.6) |
| Queretaro | 4.4 (3.4-5.5) | 6.3 (5.1-7.5) | 4.5 (3.5-5.5) | 4.5 (3.5-5.5) | 5.1 (4-6.2) | 5.1 (4.1-6.2) | 3.6 (2.7-4.4) | 5.1 (4-6.1) | $5(4-6)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & (3.8-5.8) \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 (4.2-6.2) | 4.9 (3.9-5.9) | 4.7 (3.7-5.6) | 4 (3.2-4.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.2-4.9) \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 (3.5-5.3) |
| Quintana Roo | 3.4 (2.2-4.5) | 3 (1.9-4.1) | 4.7 (3.4-6.1) | 3 (2-4.1) | 2.7 (1.8-3.7) | 3.6 (2.5-4.7) | 4.3 (3.1-5.5) | 2.8 (1.9-3.8) | 4.6 (3.5-5.8) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (2.3-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 (1.8-3.6) | 2.9 (2-3.8) | 3.2 (2.3-4.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & (2.4-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.6-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.3 (2.4-4.2) |

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| State | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Luis Potosi | 4.4 (3.5-5.2) | 4.6 (3.8-5.5) | 4.4 (3.6-5.2) | 4.1 (3.3-4.9) | 3.8 (3-4.5) | 4.3 (3.5-5.1) | 4.4(3.6-5.2) | 4.2 (3.5-5) | 3.4 (2.7-4.1) | 3.8 (3-4.5) | 3.6 (2.8-4.3) | 3.7 (3-4.5) | 3.6 (2.9-4.3) | 3.2 (2.5-3.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.6-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 3 (2.4-3.7) |
| Sinaloa | 2.6 (1.9-3.2) | 2.5 (1.9-3.2) | 2.7 (2.1-3.4) | 2.3 (1.7-2.9) | 2.8 (2.1-3.4) | 2.6 (1.9-3.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & (2.3-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 (2.1-3.3) | 3.4 (2.7-4) | 3.3 (2.6-4) | 2.7 (2.1-3.3) | 2.6 (2-3.2) | 3.7 (3-4.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.5-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & (2.2-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 (2.5-3.8) |
| Sonora | 4 (3.2-4.9) | 3.6 (2.8-4.3) | 3.5 (2.7-4.2) | $4(3.2-4.8)$ | $3.8(3-4.6)$ | 4.1 (3.3-4.9) | 4 (3.2-4.7) | 3.9 (3.2-4.7) | 3.3 (2.6-4) | 3.7 (3-4.4) | 3.3 (2.6-4) | 3.2 (2.5-3.8) | 3.3 (2.7-4) | 3.3 (2.6-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (2.6-4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.1 (2.5-3.8) |
| State of Mexico | 4.9 (4.6-5.3) | 5 (4.6-5.4) | 4.8 (4.4-5.1) | 5.1 (4.7-5.5) | $5(4.6-5.4)$ | 5 (4.6-5.3) | 4.8 (4.5-5.2) | 5.3 (4.9-5.6) | 4.9 (4.5-5.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & \text { (4.1-4.8) } \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 (4.5-5.2) | 4.8 (4.5-5.2) | 4.9 (4.5-5.2) | 5.2 (4.9-5.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & (5.1-5.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 (4.1-4.8) |
| Tabasco | 5.2 (4.2-6.2) | 5.5 (4.5-6.5) | 4.8 (3.8-5.7) | 4.9 (4-5.8) | 4.3 (3.4-5.2) | 5.5 (4.5-6.4) | 4.7 (3.8-5.6) | 5.1 (4.2-6) | 4.2 (3.4-5.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \\ & (4.9-6.9) \end{aligned}$ | 5.6 (4.6-6.5) | 5.8 (4.8-6.8) | 4.9 (4-5.8) | 6.6 (5.6-7.7) | $6(5-7)$ | 4.8 (3.9-5.7) |
| Tamaulipas | 3.8 (3.1-4.5) | 2.5 (1.9-3.1) | 2.9 (2.3-3.5) | 2.9 (2.2-3.5) | 3.3 (2.7-4) | 3.4 (2.7-4) | 3.1 (2.5-3.7) | 3.2 (2.5-3.8) | 3.3 (2.7-3.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & (1.8-2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $4(3.3-4.6)$ | 3.3 (2.7-4) | 3.3 (2.7-3.9) | 3.3 (2.7-3.9) | $3_{(2.4-3.6)}$ | 3.4 (2.8-4) |
| Tlaxcala | 4 (2.8-5.2) | 4.6 (3.3-5.9) | 4.3 (3.1-5.5) | $4(2.8-5.1)$ | 4.5 (3.3-5.7) | 5.9 (4.5-7.3) | 5 (3.7-6.3) | 5.2 (3.9-6.5) | 4.4 (3.2-5.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & (3.7-6.1) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (3.1-5.4) | 4 (2.9-5) | 4.6 (3.4-5.8) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.5-4.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (2.6-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | 3.9 (2.9-5) |
| Veracruz | 3.7 (3.3-4.2) | 4.2 (3.8-4.7) | 4 (3.6-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.3-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | 3.6 (3.1-4) | 3.9 (3.4-4.4) | 4.2 (3.7-4.7) | 3.9 (3.4-4.3) | 4.1 (3.7-4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.6-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (3.8-4.8) | 4.3 (3.8-4.8) | $4(3.5-4.4)$ | 4.2 (3.7-4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & (4.1-5.1) \end{aligned}$ | 4.1 (3.6-4.5) |
| Yucatan | 4.6 (3.5-5.6) | 4.4 (3.4-5.3) | 4.4 (3.4-5.3) | 4.8 (3.8-5.9) | 4.3 (3.3-5.2) | 4.2 (3.3-5.2) | 2.9 (2.1-3.7) | 3.1 (2.3-3.9) | 4.3 (3.3-5.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (2.6-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | 4 (3.1-4.9) | 3.2 (2.4-4) | 3.5 (2.7-4.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (2.8-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $3_{(2.3-3.8)}$ | 2.9 (2.1-3.6) |
| Zacatecas | 2.8 (2-3.7) | 3 (2.1-3.9) | 2.8 (2-3.7) | 2.7 (1.9-3.6) | 2 (1.3-2.8) | 3.7 (2.7-4.7) | 3.7 (2.7-4.7) | 3.9 (2.9-4.9) | 2.9 (2-3.7) | 3 (2.2-3.9) | 3.4 (2.5-4.3) | 3.1 (2.2-4) | 2.8 (2-3.6) | 3.2 (2.3-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & (2-3.7) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (2.8-4.6) |

Note: Rate per 100000 inhabitants adjusted by direct method using national population as standard population.

TABLE 4 Age-adjusted mortality rate and $95 \%$ confidence intervals by socioeconomic region of people who died from congenital malformations of the heart and the great vessels. Mexico, 2000-2015

| Region | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & (2.1-2.7) \end{aligned}$ | 2.2 (2-2.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & (2.3-2.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & (2.3-2.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & (2.5-3.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & (2.2-2.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & (2.3-2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & (2.5-3.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3 (2.7-3.3) | 3.3 (3-3.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & (3.1-3.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.7-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 3.6 (3.3-4) |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & (3.9-4.5) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (4-4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (4-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.2-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.2-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (4-4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.2-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & (4.3-4.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & (4-4.5) \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 (4.5-5) | 4.7 (4.4-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & (3.9-4.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (3.2-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (3.2-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & (3.4-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (3.2-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4 (3.7-4.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & (3.6-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.7-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & (3.6-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & (3.4-4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.2-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.2-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.2-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 (4-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.2-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & (3.9-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & (4.1-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 (4-4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.2-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.3-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (4.3-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4 (3.8-4.3) |
| 5 | 3.7 (3.3-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (3.2-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 3.3 (3-3.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.9-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & (3.1-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.4-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.2-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & (3.4-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.9-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (3.2-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & (3.1-3.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & (2.8-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & (2.9-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (3-3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & (2.9-3.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & (4.1-4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & (3.6-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & (3.5-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) | 3.7 (3.4-4) | 4 (3.6-4.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & (3.6-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & (3.5-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 (3.4-4) |
| 7 | 5.5 (4.9-6) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & (4.3-5.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & (4.8-5.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & (4.6-5.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & (4.4-5.4) \end{aligned}$ | 4.6 (4.1-5) | 5 (4.5-5.5) | 4.5 (4-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & (4.4-5.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (4.6-5.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (4.7-5.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & (5.2-6.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (4.7-5.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (4.7-5.7) \end{aligned}$ | 5 (4.5-5.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & (4.5-5.6) \end{aligned}$ |

Note: Rate per 100000 inhabitants adjusted by direct method using national population as standard population.

95\%CI 2.1-3.3; Coahuila 2011, 2.3, CI95 1.7-2.9; Baja California Sur 2012 and 2014, 2.4, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.2-3.5$ and $2.7,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.5-3.9$, respectively; Colima 2013, 1.7, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 0.8-2.7 and Aguascalientes 2015, 2.1, 95\%CI 1.3-2.9 (Table 3).

Region 7 had the highest mortality from CHD in the period 2000-2015. In the year 2000 and 2015, the mortality rate was 5.5 , $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 4.9-6$ and in 2015 was 5.1, CI95\% 4.5-5.6 (Table 4).

Region 1 (years 2000-2010) and region 5 (2011-2015) had the lowest mortality rates due to CHD. The mortality rates in region 1 in the years 2000 and 2010 were $2.4,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 2.1-2.7$ and $3.4,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 3.1-3.7, respectively; and in region 5 in the years 2011 and 2015 were $3.4,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 3.1-3.8$ and $3.2,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 2.9-3.5$, respectively (Table 4).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

In Mexico in the period 2000-2015, the standardized mortality rates for CHD increased from 3.3 to 4 per 100000 individuals (Figure 1). There was also an important increase in mortality rates in the age group <1 year of age from 143.9 to 217.3 per 100000 live births (Figure 3). In other studies, similar results have been found. In a study conducted by Torres-Cosme et al, on mortality from CHD in Mexico, reported that, in the period of 1998-2013, mortality rate in children <1 year of age, increased from 114.4 to 146.4 per 100000 live births. There is no clear explanation for the increase in the trend of CHD, some of the risk factors associated with CHD are increasing in Mexico. Diabetes and substance abuse, both known to be associated with CHD, are more prevalent now in women of reproductive age than 10 years ago. In addition, the number of specialists per capita has increased in recent years; therefore, it is possible that deaths from CHD that were not previously detected are now diagnosed and recorded as causes of infant mortality. ${ }^{3}$

In the 2000-2015 study period, more men (37 828 [53.5\%]) than women (32 913 [46.5\%]) died from CHD (Table 2). Similar results have been reported in other studies; Gilboa et al, in a study on mortality due to CHD in children and adults in the United States over the period 1999-2006, ${ }^{14}$ found that out of 27960 individuals who died directly from CHD, 12940 (46.3\%) were women and 15020 (53.7\%) were men.

The five top CHD for which children and adults died in Mexico during the study period were (1) Congenital malformation of the heart, unspecified (Q24.9) with 44037 (62.3\%), (2) Patent ductus arteriosus (Q25.0) with 3.603 (5.1\%), (3) Tetralogy of Fallot (Q21.3) with 2.883 (4.1\%), (4) Ventricular septal defect (Q21.0) with 2.582 (3.6\%), and (5) Other malformations of the heart, specified (Q24.8) with 1760 (2.5\%) (Table 2). Other studies, very similar results have been found. Gilboa et al, ${ }^{14}$ identified as the five most frequent CHD: (1) Congenital malformation of the heart, unspecified (Q24.9) that occurred in 9543 (34.1\%) individuals, (2) Other malformations of the heart, specified (Q24.8) with 5242 (18.7\%), (3) Left heart hypoplasia syndrome with 3043 (10.9\%), (4) Atrial septal defect (Q21.1) with

2098 (7.5\%), and (5) Tetralogy of Fallot (Q21.3) with 1472 (5.3\%). The patent ductus arteriosus was found ranked number 9 with 507 (1.8\%) and the ventricular septal defect (Q21.0) was found ranked number 6 with 1394 (5\%).

Region 7, which corresponds to Mexico City had the highest mortality from CHD in the period 2000-2015. In other studies, conducted in Mexico, very similar results have been found in children <1 year of age. In the year 2013, it was seen that out of the four regions (North, South, Center, and Mexico City), Mexico City had the highest mortality rate with 188.5 per 100000 live births, which could be due to genetic or environmental factors, or to underreporting of deaths in states with fewer resources. ${ }^{3}$ The obesity epidemic with phenotypes associated with diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia are considered as emerging risk factors for CHD. ${ }^{15}$ Obesity and diabetes are highly prevalent among adults living in Mexico City. ${ }^{16-18}$ Twenty-nine percent of men and $41 \%$ of women aged $35-54$ are obese ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

Environmental pollution could be another factor associated with the highest mortality rate of CHD in region 7. Mexico City has high levels of environmental contamination. ${ }^{19}$ Ambient air pollution has been linked to CHD. Among the CHD associated with environmental contamination are coarctation of the aorta, tetralogy of Fallot and atrial septal defect. ${ }^{20}$ The altitude of Mexico City in relation to sea level has been related with the high incidence of patent ductus arteriosus. ${ }^{5}$

Technological advances continue to influence the size of the population of patients with CHD. The prenatal evaluation has led to an increase in the rates of pregnancy termination. Improved management of complications has changed the time and mode of death caused by CHD. It has been shown that several genetic and environmental factors are involved in the etiology of CHD, although this knowledge has not yet led to the implementation of preventive measures. ${ }^{21}$

In Mexico, a national plan has been implemented for the regionalization of the care of patients with CHD, which consists of the rational use of resources with emphasis on specialized medical care, to increase the number of cases treated, improve the quality of care, obtain optimal use of existing resources and, thereby, achieve a decrease in mortality of CHD. ${ }^{4,5}$

## 5 | CONCLUSIONS

In the period 2000-2015, age-adjusted mortality rate per 100000 individuals increased from 3.3 to 4 . Mexico City as region 7 had the highest mortality from CHD of the heart and the great vessels.
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