

# Low Temperature H<sub>2</sub> Production from Formic Acid Aqueous Solution Catalyzed on Metal Doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C

Shuaishuai Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Zhigang Pan<sup>1,2</sup>, Yaqiu Tao<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Yue Chen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing, China
<sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering, Nanjing, 21009, China
\*Corresponding Author: Yaqiu Tao. Email: taoyaqiu@njtech.edu.cn
Received: 26 April 2020; Accepted: 21 May 2020

**Abstract:** Hydrogen is recognized as a promising energy scours in the close future. Online hydrogen preparation from formic acid under mild reaction conditions causes extensive interests. Mo<sub>2</sub>C and metal (Fe, Ni, Co, K) doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C on granular activated carbon (GAC) were prepared and used as heterogeneous catalysts for H<sub>2</sub> generation from formic acid on a fixed bed reactor at 100–250°C. The formic acid conversions on doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC are clearly improved, especially at lower reaction temperatures. Co doping presents outstanding effect on H<sub>2</sub> selectivity and conversion rate compared to Ni and Fe. A 56.3% formic acid conversion was reached on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC at 100°C, which triples that on Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC at the same temperature. At 150°C, a high formic acid conversion over 90% was reached on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC. These long lifetime catalysts with no precious metal provide a low cost route to hydrogen production from formic acid.

**Keywords:** Hydrogen production; energy storage and conversion; Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC; metal doping; formic acid decomposition; carbon materials

# **1** Introduction

At present, fossil fuels are still the main energy source worldwide. The use of fossil fuels leads to  $NO_X$ ,  $SO_X$  and other air pollution, posing serious challenges to human survival. As a sustainable and environment friendly energy source, hydrogen has attracted more attention in recent years [1]. Though liquid phase hydrogen storage is applicable at present, compressed hydrogen storage remains a potential flammable and explosive security danger [1]. In addition to the high pressure technique, hydrogen storage techniques such as carbon nanotubes, glass microspheres and metal hydride are inefficient and still in laboratory stage [2–6].

Online hydrogen productions from liquid fuel such as formic acid, methanol and ethanol overcome the hydrogen storage problems effectively [7-10]. Though a high methanol conversion is achieved in the steam reforming reaction, the CO content in the rear gas prevents the direct application of this process in hydrogen fuel cells [1,11]. The CO production from ethanol steam reforming reaction is also high which is not conducive for fuel cell application. It is necessary to find suitable raw materials for online hydrogen production [10].



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Formic acid, with the advantages of mild reaction conditions and wide availability, is a promising material for hydrogen production [10]. Both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts in hydrogen production from formic acid are extensively studied worldwide. Homogeneous catalysts are highly active and selective. Most homogeneous catalysts are Ru contained, including  $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ ,  $[{RuCl_2(benzene)}_2]$ ,  $RuCl_2(p-cymene)_2$  and  $RuBr_3 \cdot xH_2O$  [12–14]. The activity and stability of these catalysts vary significantly with the proportion of amine, acid, concentration and type of ligands [15].

The study of hydrogen production from formic acid decomposition on heterogeneous catalysts began since the 1930s. Various precious metal, copper and nickel catalysts were reported in the literature. The research is mainly focus on improving the catalyst activity and hydrogen selectivity [16–18]. The formic acid decomposition are highly active on single or few atomic Au particles [16,17]. Gold nanoparticles on  $Al_2O_3$  prepared by Ojeda et al. present high catalytic activity with a near 100% H<sub>2</sub> selectivity. Huang et al. prepared novel core-shell structured heterogeneous PdAu@Au/C catalyst with higher activity, selectivity at low temperature [17].

Molybdenum carbide (Mo<sub>2</sub>C) presents excellent performance, high stability and high H<sub>2</sub> production from formic acid in both liquid and gas phase [19,20]. Our previous study showed that Mo<sub>2</sub>C contained 0.6 wt% Co presented a 100% H<sub>2</sub> selectivity in H<sub>2</sub> generation from formic acid decomposition [21]. Though not widely studied on Mo<sub>2</sub>C system, research show the promotion effects of transition metals in Mo contained catalyst. Transition metal doped MoS<sub>2</sub> presents high activities in hydrodesulfurization, hydrogen generation and oxygen reduction in fuel cells [22–24]. Synergetic effects were used to explain these promotion [25]. Botalla and coworkers found that K doped Mo–V–Sb–O catalysts show high activity and selectivity in acrylic acid preparation from propane oxidation [26]. To obtained durable and low cost catalysts with high activity and selectivity in H<sub>2</sub> production from formic acid at ambient or near ambient temperature is crucial in the application of this process in portable H<sub>2</sub> generation.

In this work, we studied the preparation of metal doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K) catalysts and the performance of these catalysts in the hydrogen production from formic acid decomposition. Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K) catalysts were prepared by impregnation with granular activated carbon (GAC) as carrier. Results show that Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co) catalysts presented high activity in formic acid decomposition and high H<sub>2</sub> selectivity especially at low temperature compared to Mo<sub>2</sub>C/ GAC, among which Co doped catalyst shows the best performance. At 150°C, Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC presents a 99.7% H<sub>2</sub> selectivity and 91.6% formic acid conversion, which are both higher compared to none doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC. Alkalis metal K in Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC improves the conversion rate of formic acid, but reduces H<sub>2</sub> selectivity.

### 2 Experiment

All chemicals including 1,8-Diaminonaphthale, ammonium molybdate, ethanol, HCl, GAC, Fe  $(NO_3)_2 \cdot 9H_2O$ ,  $Ni(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  and  $KNO_3$  were purchased from Sinopharm group chemical reagent Co., Ltd. Formic acid was purchased from Shanghai Lingfeng chemical reagent Co., Ltd.

X-ray diffraction pattern (XRD) was obtained with a Rigaku SmartLab 9 kW diffractometer equipped with a Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation (Ge-monochromated,  $\lambda = 1.54056$  Å) in the 2 $\theta$  range of 10–80° with a scan speed of 4°/min. The fixed bed reactor used in the catalytic activity evaluation was home made. A gas chromatography (SHIMADZUGC2014) with a tubular furnace (Tianjin Zhonghuan experimental electric furnace Co., Ltd) was used in the detection of the rear gas. The scanning electron microscope images of the catalysts were taken on a LEO-1530VP field-emission scanning electron microscope. The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption measurements were performed at 77 K on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument. The samples were all out gassed at 200°C for 3 h before measurement.

The GAC carrier (meshed 8–14) was pretreated with boiling hydrochloric acid solution (10%) for 15 min. It was then washed with distilled water at 100°C for 12 hours to pH = 7 before use. To prepared 10% Mo<sub>2</sub>C loaded Mo<sub>2</sub>C-GAC, ammonium molybdate (1.3 g, 6.6 mmol) dissolved in water and 1,8-Diaminonaphthale (1.3 g, 8.2 mmol) dissolved in ethanol were adsorbed on 6.7 g of GAC, respectively. Then 0.33 mmol of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O, Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O or KNO<sub>3</sub> was dissolved in deionized water and adsorbed on the active carbon carrier, the obtained product were dried at 80°C overnight. The precursor obtained were calcined under N<sub>2</sub> at 750°C for 6–8 h to obtain Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K) catalysts. The obtained catalysts were then filled in a fixed bed reactor and evaluated in H<sub>2</sub> generation from formic acid decomposition.

#### **3** Results and Discussions

Mo<sub>2</sub>C phase are successfully synthesized and dispersed on porous GAC, which is presented by XRD and SEM studies. The XRD pattern of the synthesized Mo<sub>2</sub>C loaded GAC catalysts are presented in Fig. 1a. Typical diffraction peaks of Mo<sub>2</sub>C (JCPDS NO. 72-1683) at  $2\theta = 34.4^{\circ}$ , 38, 39.5°, 52°, 61.8°, 69°, 73°, 76° are observed as the main phase in all the doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC catalysts. A small amount of Co and CoO phase are observed in the Co doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC. Ni metal phase is detected in the Ni



Figure 1: a) XRD patterns of  $Mo_2C/GAC$  and  $Mo_2C-Me/GAC$  (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K). SEM images of  $Mo_2C/GAC$  and  $Mo_2C-Me/GAC$  catalysts: b)  $Mo_2C/GAC$ ; c)  $Mo_2C-Co/GAC$ ; d)  $Mo_2C-K/GAC$ ; e)  $Mo_2C-Fe/GAC$  and f)  $Mo_2C-Ni/GAC$ 

doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Ni/GAC. No significant difference was detected in the grain size of Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC and doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC catalysts. The typical XRD patterns of GAC are also presented.

The SEM images of  $Mo_2C/GAC$  and  $Mo_2C-Me/GAC$  catalysts demonstrate evenly dispersed  $Mo_2C$  particles on GAC surface and channels. Large particles of  $Mo_2C$  on GAC were obtained by metal doping compared to  $Mo_2C/GAC$ . The specific surface area measurements, Tab. 1 of the synthesized  $Mo_2C-Me/GAC$  are increased slightly by Ni, Fe, Co and K doping compared to none-doped  $Mo_2C/GAC$ .  $Mo_2C-Co/GAC$  presents the highest specific areas among these catalysts.

| Catalysts                | $SBET/(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$ |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C/GAC    | 502.9                     |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-Ni/GAC | 535.1                     |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-Fe/GAC | 596.1                     |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-K/GAC  | 582.5                     |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-Co/GAC | 599.9                     |

Table 1: Specific surface area of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC and Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC

Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC and Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K) catalysts, 15 ml ( $\approx$ 6.7 g) were filled in the fixed bed reactor and heated up to 270°C under 5% of H<sub>2</sub>/Ar for 2 h. The pretreated catalysts were then cooled to room temperature under pure N<sub>2</sub>. A 10% formic acid aqueous solution was filled in the reactor at a follow rate of 1 ml/min. The rear gas was cooled to room temperature and the gas and liquid phase were collected separately. CO and CO<sub>2</sub> in the gas product were analyzed by SHIMADZU GC2014 gas chromatograph equipped with a TDX01 chromatographic column and a TCD detector. The formic acid concentration in the feed and liquid rear products were detected by SHIMADZU GC2014 on a GDX103 chromatographic column with a TCD detector. The formic acid decomposition reaction was carried out at 100°C, 150°C, 200°C and 250°C on the fixed bed reactor. The formic acid decomposition rate and H<sub>2</sub> electivity on 15 ml of prewashed and dried GAC were also tested under the same condition as Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC and Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC, for comparison. No activity was observed on pure GAC. Catalytic performance of hydrogen production from formic acid decomposition at various temperature on 15 ml of Ni, Fe, K and Co doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/AC are presented in Tab. 2.

The  $H_2$  selectivity of the prepared catalysts was calculated as the quotient of the amount of  $CO_2$  detected and the sum of CO and  $CO_2$ . The conversion rate was calculated as the quotient of the difference of the formic acid concentration of the feed and remainder and the feed.

The formic acid conversion and H<sub>2</sub> selectivity against the reaction temperature are demonstrated in Figs. 2a and 2b. All Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K) catalysts present higher formic acid conversation rate compared to the none-doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC. The formic acid decomposition rate are highly improved on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K) at lower reaction temperature. This is agreed with the reported enhancement of methanol dissociative adsorption on Cu modified Mo<sub>2</sub>C catalysts, which is associated with the activity at low temperatures compared to pure Mo<sub>2</sub>C [27]. A 56.3% formic acid conversion was reached on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC at 100°C, which triples that on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC. K doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-K/GAC also presents high formic acid conversion at 150°C. One hundred percent formic acid at 200°C was reached on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC and Mo<sub>2</sub>C-K/GAC. At 250°C all catalysts present 100% formic acid conversion rate.

All the catalysts used presents high  $H_2$  selectivity over 90% against CO in  $H_2$  production from formic acid decomposition.  $H_2$  selectivity of all catalysts decreased as the reaction temperature increases.  $H_2$ 

| Catalysts               |                   | Reaction temperature | Conversion | H <sub>2</sub> Selectivity |      |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------------|------|
|                         | Mo <sub>2</sub> C | Dopant               | /°C        | /%                         | /%   |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C/AC    | 10%               | 0                    | 100        | 18.9                       | 98.9 |
|                         |                   |                      | 150        | 45.5                       | 98.4 |
|                         |                   |                      | 200        | 98.2                       | 98.7 |
|                         |                   |                      | 250        | 100                        | 98.1 |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-Ni/AC | 10%               | Ni 0.5%              | 100        | 25.2                       | 99.5 |
|                         |                   |                      | 150        | 48.6                       | 99.4 |
|                         |                   |                      | 200        | 98.9                       | 99.3 |
|                         |                   |                      | 250        | 100                        | 98.2 |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-Fe/AC | 10%               | Fe 0.5%              | 100        | 25.2                       | 99.7 |
|                         |                   |                      | 150        | 55.3                       | 99.6 |
|                         |                   |                      | 200        | 98.7                       | 99.4 |
|                         |                   |                      | 250        | 100                        | 98.5 |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-K/AC  | 10%               | K 0.5%               | 100        | 32.8                       | 96.9 |
|                         |                   |                      | 150        | 73.6                       | 96.7 |
|                         |                   |                      | 200        | 100                        | 96.0 |
|                         |                   |                      | 250        | 100                        | 93.4 |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C-Co/AC | 10%               | Co 0.5%              | 100        | 56.3                       | 99.9 |
|                         |                   |                      | 150        | 91.6                       | 99.7 |
|                         |                   |                      | 200        | 100                        | 99.6 |
|                         |                   |                      | 250        | 100                        | 99.0 |

**Table 2:** Catalytic performance of hydrogen production from formic acid decomposition at various temperatureson 15 ml of 0.5% Ni, Fe, K and Co doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C/AC with a formic acid aqueous solution feeding rate of 1 ml/min



**Figure 2:** Effects of metal doping on hydrogen production from 10% formic acid aqueous solution decomposition at 100°C, 150°C, 200°C, 250°C with a flow rate of 1 ml/min: a) Formic acid conversion on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K); b) Hydrogen selectivity on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC (Me = Fe, Ni, Co, K);

selectivity improved by metal doping in Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC, Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Ni/GAC and Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Fe/GAC compared to none-doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC. The synergetic effects of transition metal on Mo<sub>2</sub>C are clearly observed in the Mo<sub>2</sub>C-GAC materials, which improve both the activity and selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub> [28]. Though K doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-K/GAC presents higher formic acid conversion compared to none dope Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC, the H<sub>2</sub> selectivity of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-K/GAC is the lowest among all the catalysts studied. The modification of the local Brønsted acid environment in Mo<sub>2</sub>C-K/GAC leads to a high absorption of formic acid on the surface, and encourage the unfavorite side reaction to produce CO and H<sub>2</sub>O [26]. A life time study of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC at 100°C for 3 weeks was carried out, which declines the activities to around 67% of originate. The activity remained at that level from the second week till the end of the lifetime study and similar selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub> production remained, which is consistent with our previous work [21].

## 4 Conclusion

Metal doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC catalysts were prepared with the advantages of low cost, mild reaction conditions, high catalytic activity and hydrogen selectivity. Both the hydrogen selectivity and formic acid decomposition rate were improved on transition metal Fe, Ni and Co doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC catalysts. The formic acid conversion rate is highly improved at low reaction temperature. Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC presents the highest H<sub>2</sub> selectivity of 99.7% and formic acid conversion rate of 91.6% at 150°C among all the Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC catalysts. The formic acid conversion on Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Co/GAC doubles that on Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC at 150°C. Alkali metal K doping in Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC promotes the conversion rate of formic acid, but reduces the selectivity of H<sub>2</sub>. The specific surface areas of metal doped Mo<sub>2</sub>C-Me/GAC catalysts were all increased compared to Mo<sub>2</sub>C/GAC. A new way is provided in hydrogen production using formic acid as raw material, which is conducive to reducing environmental pollution and in line with the concept of sustainable development. Our catalysts screening process in searching of a proper none-noble candidate for H<sub>2</sub> production from formic acid decomposition was carried out on a home-made fix bed reactor at a lab scale test. Catalysts prepared from different batch production were used and the catalytic activity and selectivity remain at the similar high level. Plat design and full parameter control, including quantitative description of reaction data on the most promising catalyst in H<sub>2</sub> production from formic acid ought to be carried out in larger scales for potential industrial applications. Although the cost of the new non-noble metal catalyst is much lower, the preparation process is relatively complex and the catalytic mechanism of these catalysts was not thoroughly studied. Further work is needed to, so as to provide a clearer theoretical basis for design and preparation of a more efficient catalyst system.

Acknowledgement: We thank the financial support of grant from the Natural Science Funds for Young Scholar of China (Grant No. 21107049) and the priority academic program development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution (PAPD).

Funding Statement: The author(s) received no specific funding for this study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

#### References

- Schlapbach, L., Züttel, A. (2001). Hydrogen-storage materials for mobile applications. *Nature*, 414(6861), 353–358. DOI 10.1038/35104634.
- Schneemann, A., White, J. L., Kang, S., Jeong, S., Wan, L. F. et al. (2018). Nanostructured metal hydrides for hydrogen storage. *Chemical Reviews*, 118(22), 10775–10839. DOI 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00313.
- Gogotsi, Y., Portet, C., Osswald, S., Simmons, J. M., Yildirim, T. et al. (2009). Importance of pore size in highpressure hydrogen storage by porous carbons. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 34(15), 6314–6319. DOI 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.05.073.

- 4. Romanos, J., Beckner, M., Prosniewski, M., Rash, T., Firlej, L. et al. (2019). Capture on activated carbon for hydrogen storage. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 2971. DOI 10.1038/s41598-019-39417-6.
- 5. Tan, A. J., Huang, M., Avci, C. O., Buttner, F., Mann, M. et al. (2019). Magneto-ionic control of magnetism using a solid-state proton pump. *Nature Materials*, *18(1)*, 35–41. DOI 10.1038/s41563-018-0211-5.
- Schmitt, M. L., Shelby, J. E., Hall, M. M. (2006). Preparation of hollow glass microspheres from sol-gel derived glass for application in hydrogen gas storage. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 352(6–7), 626–631. DOI 10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2005.11.057.
- Zhang, J., Sui, R., Xue, Y., Wang, X., Pei, J. et al. (2018). Direct synthesis of parallel doped N-MoP/N-CNT as highly active hydrogen evolution reaction catalyst. *Science China Materials*, 62(5), 690–698. DOI 10.1007/ s40843-018-9360-1.
- 8. Sordakis, K., Tang, C., Vogt, L. K., Junge, H., Dyson, P. J. et al. (2018). Homogeneous catalysis for sustainable hydrogen storage in formic acid and alcohols. *Chemical Reviews*, *118(2)*, 372–433. DOI 10.1021/acs. chemrev.7b00182.
- 9. Rusinque, B., Escobedo, S., de Lasa, H. (2019). Photocatalytic hydrogen production under near-UV using Pddoped mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> and ethanol as organic scavenger. *Catalysts*, 9(1), 33. DOI 10.3390/catal9010033.
- Grasemann, M., Laurenczy, G. (2012). Formic acid as a hydrogen source-recent developments and future trends. Energy & Environmental Science, 5(8), 8171–8181. DOI 10.1039/c2ee21928j.
- 11. de Wild, P. J., Verhaak, M. J. F. M. (2000). Catalytic production of hydrogen from methanol. *Catalysis Today, 60 (1–2),* 3–10. DOI 10.1016/S0920-5861(00)00311-4.
- 12. Junge, H., Boddien, A., Capitta, F., Loges, B., Noyes, J. R. et al. (2009). Improved hydrogen generation from formic acid. *Tetrahedron Letters*, *50(14)*, 1603–1606. DOI 10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.01.101.
- 13. Morris, D. J., Clarkson, G. J., Wills, M. (2009). Insights into hydrogen generation from formic acid using ruthenium complexes. *Organometallics*, 28(14), 4133–4140. DOI 10.1021/om900099u.
- 14. Boddien, A., Loges, B., Junge, H., Beller, M. (2008). Hydrogen generation at ambient conditions: application in fuel cells. *ChemSusChem*, 1(8–9), 751–758. DOI 10.1002/cssc.200800093.
- Loges, B., Boddien, A., Junge, H., Beller, M. (2008). Controlled generation of hydrogen from formic acid amine adducts at room temperature and application in H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> fuel cells. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 47 (21), 3962–3965. DOI 10.1002/anie.200705972.
- 16. Ojeda, M., Iglesia, E. (2009). Formic acid dehydrogenation on au-based catalysts at near-ambient temperatures. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 48(26),* 4800–4803. DOI 10.1002/anie.200805723.
- Huang, Y., Zhou, X., Yin, M., Liu, C., Xing, W. (2010). Novel PdAu@Au/C core-shell catalyst: superior activity and selectivity in formic acid decomposition for hydrogen generation. *Chemistry of Materials*, 22(18), 5122–5128. DOI 10.1021/cm101285f.
- Mayrhofer, K. J. J., Strmcnik, D., Blizanac, B. B., Stamenkovic, V., Arenz, V. et al. (2008). Measurement of oxygen reduction activities via the rotating disc electrode method: from Pt model surfaces to carbon-supported high surface area catalysts. *Electrochimica Acta*, 53(7), 3181–3188. DOI 10.1016/j.electacta.2007.11.057.
- Cui, Z., Gong, C., Guo, C. X., Li, C. M. (2013). Mo<sub>2</sub>C/CNTs supported Pd nanoparticles for highly efficient catalyst towards formic acid electrooxidation. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A: Materials for Energy and Sustainability*, 1(4), 1179–1184. DOI 10.1039/C2TA00435F.
- Koós, Á., Solymosi, F. (2010). Production of CO-Free H<sub>2</sub> by formic acid decomposition over Mo<sub>2</sub>C/carbon catalysts. *Catalysis Letters*, 138(1-2), 23-27. DOI 10.1007/s10562-010-0375-3.
- Tao, Y., Tao, L., Pan, Z., Qiu, S., Shen, X. (2018). Large volume in situ H<sub>2</sub> production on fixed bed reactor by concentrated formic acid aqueous solution. *Fuel, 217,* 106–110. DOI 10.1016/j.fuel.2017.12.011.
- Faye, P., Payen, E., Bougeard, D. (1999). Influence of cobalt on a model of an MoS<sub>2</sub> hydrodesulfurization catalyst. *Journal of Catalysis*, 183(2), 396–399. DOI 10.1006/jcat.1999.2404.
- 23. Bezverkhyy, I., Afanasiev, P., Geantet, C., Lacroix, M. (2001). Highly active (Co)MoS2/Al2O3 hydrodesulfurization catalysts prepared in aqueous solution. *Journal of Catalysis, 204(2),* 495–497. DOI 10.1006/jcat.2001.3409.

- 24. Xiao, B. B., Zhang, P., Han, L. P., Wen, Z. (2015). Functional MoS<sub>2</sub> by the Co/Ni doping as the catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *Applied Surface Science*, *354*, 221–228. DOI 10.1016/j.apsusc.2014.12.134.
- Xiang, Q., Yu, J., Jaroniec, M. (2012). Synergetic effect of MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphene as cocatalysts for enhanced photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 134 (15), 6575–6578. DOI 10.1021/ja302846n.
- Botella, P., Concepción, P., Nieto, J. M. L., Solsona, B. (2003). Effect of potassium doping on the catalytic behavior of Mo-V-Sb mixed oxide catalysts in the oxidation of propane to acrylic acid. *Catalysis Letters*, 89(3/4), 249–253. DOI 10.1023/A:1025714831787.
- Cao, J., Ma, Y., Guan, G., Hao, X., Ma, X. et al. (2016). Reaction intermediate species during the steam reforming of methanol over metal modified molybdenum carbide catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 189, 12–18. DOI 10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.02.021.
- Bkour, Q., Marin-Flores, O. G., Norton, M. G., Ha, S. (2019). A highly active and stable bimetallic Ni-Mo<sub>2</sub>C catalyst for a partial oxidation of jet fuel. *Applied Catalysis, B: Environmental, 245,* 613–622. DOI 10.1016/j. apcatb.2019.01.027.