Development of anthracnose disease resistance and heat tolerance chili through conventional breeding and molecular approaches: a review

MST FARHANA NAZNEEN CHOWDHURY¹; MOHD RAFII YUSOP^{1,2,*}; SITI IZERA ISMAIL³; SHAIRUL IZAN RAMLEE¹; YUSUFF OLADOSU²; MONIR HOSEN²; GOUS MIAH⁴

¹ Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia

² Laboratory of Climate-Smart Food Crop Production, Institute of Tropical Agriculture and Food Security, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia

³ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, 43400, Malaysia

⁴ Department of Genetics and Animal Breeding, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulshi, Bangladesh

Key words: Chili, Breeding, Molecular markers, Biotic and abiotic stresses

Abstract: Chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is the popular spicy vegetable crops belonging to family Solanaceae. Chili peppers are known for their pungency characteristic due to the presence of capsaicinoids that classifies them into hot or sweet pepper. Chili is used as spices, folk remedies for diseases, vegetables, and coloring agent showing a diverse role in human's life. However, its production is hampered by different biotic stress and abiotic factors. Similarly, the unavailability of high yielding varieties, high temperature, and disease incidence, particularly, anthracnose disease, are the major constraints responsible for the low production of chili pepper. The advents of molecular markers, advancement in quantitative trait loci by classical genetic analysis, and conventional breeding have shown the number of genes for many important and major traits. While the newly developed genotyping technologies and next-generation sequencing have led to the discovery of molecular basis for economic important characters in the chili genome and generate large scale data for genomic resources. Based on this background, this review summarizes progress in the development of anthracnose disease-resistant and heat-tolerant chili genotypes through conventional breeding and molecular approaches. This review would help plant breeders in understanding the phenotypic and genetic make-up of capsicum genotypes and provides opportunities for pyramiding two respected genes with the help of diversified phenotypic and molecular marker evaluation.

Introduction

Chili pepper belonging to the Solanaceae family is one of the oldest domesticated crops in the Western Hemisphere (Mexico and central South America), also domesticated throughout the world by native people, traders, and travelers. Chili cultivation began more than six thousand years ago as a self-pollinated vegetable crop and gained popularity across Asia as a spice/vegetables/cash crop. In the world, there are thirty different species of chili (*Capsicum spp.*), *Capsicum annuum* L. is the most cultivated species in South-east Asia and Australia, followed by *C. frutescens* because of favorable weather and climate condition. Inherently, the capsicum species are diploid with

2700 Mb and 30701 genes, although some species have chromosome number 2n = 2x = 26 and are intimately linked with others Solanaceae crops like potato, tomato, eggplant, tobacco, and petunia (Moscone *et al.*, 2007). Globally, 1988.40 thousand hectares of land were dedicated to chili cultivation with average productions of 3432.05 thousand tones and productivity 1.73 thousand tones per hectare, for the period from 2005 to 2013 (Geetha and Selvarani, 2017). Chili is consumed fresh, dried, prickled, spice, or processed into the sauce (Dahal *et al.*, 2006; Pugalendhi *et al.*, 2010; Ajjapplavara *et al.*, 2010).

chromosome number 2n = 2x = 24 with a genome size of

Green and dry chili are important sources of energy which supplies 229 and 297 calories of energy per 100 grams, respectively. The pungent taste of chili is due to a group of closely related alkaloid constituents called capsaicinoids such as capsaicin and dihydro-capsaicin

Doi: 10.32604/biocell.2020.09627

www.techscience.com/journal/biocell



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Mohd Rafii Yusop, mrafii@upm.edu.my Received: 09 January 2020; Accepted: 27 May 2020

(Amusa et al., 2004; Ridzuan et al., 2019; Usman et al., 2014a) and these active compounds are used in the pharmaceutical product for pain reliever against arthritis pain, inflammation, and headache and also used to treat a toothache (Vinaya et al., 2009). Chili plant faced many biotic and abiotic stresses, among all the biotic factors, anthracnose is one of the most destructive fungal diseases in chili growing areas which directly affect the profitable cultivation (Saxena et al., 2016). Colletotrichum capsici, C. gloeosporiodes, C. acutatum, C. coccodes, and C. graminicola, have been found recently able to cause anthracnose diseases (Hyde et al., 2009), and these fungi bore by seed and soil, conidia and ascospores transmit through dead plant parts, water-splash, and wind and survive in or on seeds as acervuli and micro-sclerotia (Vinaya et al., 2009) and also show the capability to create disease in leaves, shoots, green and ripe fruits. Among them, the lesion on fruit is very much harmful (Phoulivong et al., 2010) because of the lesion on fruits decay pre and postharvest fruit quality as well as declined dry weight, capsaicin and oleoresin content (Lakshmi et al., 2014), ultimately reduced pharmaceuticals quality. The disease is characterized by small dark, sunken with necrotic lesions, with concentric rings of acervuli (Voorrips et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2004). Due to anthracnose infestation of chili, the common phenomenon is yield loss occurred in pre-harvest and post-harvest stage (Oanh et al., 2006). A 50% yield reduction occurred in Thailand, 20 to 80% in Vietnam (Don et al., 2007), 10% in Korea (Byung, 2007), 50% in Malaysia (Than et al., 2008) and 29.5% in India thus causing an annual loss of US\$ 491.67 million (Garg et al., 2014).

On the other hand, climate change, especially high temperature, enhances the co-incidence of different abiotic and biotic stresses that distressed the growth and development of plants. Chili plants require optimum temperatures ranges of 20-30°C for proper growth and development (Berke et al., 2005). There is a decline in chili production in recent years due to several factors, mainly pests, diseases, and extreme temperatures. Yáñez-López et al. (2012) experimented with the effect of the direct impact of high or low heat using the balanced relationship between photosynthesis and respiration, which is partially controlled by temperature. At high temperature (above 33°C), fruits setting reduced significantly while low temperature (below 18°C) enhanced parthenocarpic fruit formation. High temperature shows a direct correlation with capsaicin content (Rahman et al., 2012) and also affects the yield component characters (Garruña-Hernández et al., 2014). However, the cultivation of resistant varieties has shown a reduction in yield losses as well as discourage the use of heavy chemicals for controlling diseases (Agrios, 2005). Natural sources against anthracnose disease and tolerant to high temperatures are not found in cultivated chili, hence there is a need to incorporate these genes in elite genotypes (Sood et al., 2009). Conventional plant breeding has been a good approach for genetic improvement of crop species regarding the resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. However, the classical breeding program will depend on the genetic diversity, which in turn, depends on the number of parents to be crossed, their pedigree, handling of segregating generations, precision and accuracy of selections, and proper evaluation of those selections. The conventional breeding

program takes many years because need to wait for data collections from different years for yield trials and different locations to know the genotypes, environmental interaction, and yield performance. In contrast, molecular studies are the most accurate, fast, economical, reproducible, and environmentally friendly methods for developing superior varieties. The most commonly used modern breeding strategies include genomic selection, genome-wide selection, marker-assisted pedigree selection, marker-assisted recurrent selection, marker-assisted backcrossing, and marker-assisted selection. Molecular breeding has to be treated as a tool to support conventional breeding but not a replacement. Integration of conventional breeding with marker-assisted selection will help to get new cultivars resistant to biotic and abiotic stress (Jiang, 2013; Collard and Mackill, 2008). For this purpose, we need to improve existing varieties that will be fitted to the high temperature as well as anthracnose disease resistance. Review condense existing status about the effect of high temperature as well as anthracnose disease on chili production as well as described the fundamental molecular mechanisms is to develop the anthracnose resistant and heat tolerant chili (C. annuum) hybrid through conventional breeding with marker-assisted selection.

Conventional Breeding for the Development of Anthracnose Resistance Chili

Conventional breeding is challenging, tedious, takes a longer time, unpredictable, and is greatly influenced by the environmental factors as compared to molecular breeding. Pedigree, backcrossing, recurrent selection, and hybridization are the approaches for traditional breeding to create new genotypes with a combination of one or more desirable traits. The aim of conventional breeding is to develop new genotypes with desirable characters such as high yielding, high nutritional quality, and resistance to adverse environmental factors such as heat stress, drought, salt, acidity, and different diseases hybridization between different genotypes. The most recently used conventional breeding method includes pedigree selection, backcross selection, mass selection, host resistant breeding, induced mutation, hybridization, and interspecific hybridization, as summarized in Tab. 1. Using conventional breeding techniques, countless numerous resistant genotypes have been developed (e.g., PBC80, LLS, Breck-1, Breck-2, and Jaun) that showed resistance against different Colletotrichum species (Mondal et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2014a; Banerjee et al., 2014). The Asian Vegetables Research and Development Center developed anthracnose resistant genotypes by the introduction of anthracnose resistance genes into C. annuum and these genotypes can be used as potentials resistant sources (AVRDC, 2003).

Different virulent *Colletotrichum* spp., the causal agent of chili anthracnose, has been reported in different parts of the world (Montri, 2009; Voorrips *et al.*, 2004; Mahmodi *et al.*, 2014). Among all the *Colletotrichum* species, *Colletotrichum acutatum* is the major and dangerous among the anthracnose pathogens, as reported by Hasyim *et al.* (2014). Ripe and unripe chili fruits were inoculated with different isolates of *Colletotrichum* and identified resistance lines

TABLE 1

S/N	Anthracnose resistant genotypes	Pathogens	Breeding method	Country/region	Reference
1	Ten anthracnose resistant homozygous lines, <i>Capsicum</i> <i>annuum</i> obtained from the cross between Kulai 907 × AVPP0805, Kulai 907 × AVPP9813, Chili Bangi 3 × AVPP0805 and Chili Bangi 3 × AVPP9813	Colletotrichum truncatum Colletotrichum fructicola Colletotrichum sojae	Pedigree selection	Malaysia	Ridzuan <i>et al.</i> , 2018
2	F ₂ and BC ₁ s population, PBC80 (<i>Capsicum baccatum</i>)	Colletotrichum truncatum Colletotrichum acutatum	F2 and backcross selection	Thailand	Mahasuk <i>et al.</i> , 2013
3	PBC932 (Capsicum chinense)	Colletotrichum acutatum	Backcross selection and Interspecific hybridization	Brazil	Pereira <i>et al.</i> , 2011
4	Acchar lanka, CA-4, Pant C-1, Punjab Lal, Bhut Jolokia and BS-35	Colletotrichum spp.	Host resistant breeding	India	Mishra <i>et al.</i> , 2019
5	Hybrid (CA-UGCE09-3XPP9852-115 and CA-UGKI09-6x PP9852-115) Hybrid (PP9852-115XPP0537-7504)	Cercospora Leaf spot and Virus	Mass selection	Uganda	Nsabiyera <i>et al</i> ., 2013
6	UENF 1718 and UENF 1797 (C. baccatum var. pendulum)	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	Host resistant breeding	Brazil	Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2014
7	Progressive lines (205, 210 and 215)	Colletotrichum spp.	Host resistant breeding	North and northeast Thailand	Suwor <i>et al.</i> , 2015
8	RPE41 and MPU29	Colletotrichum spp.	Germplasm screened for the identification of resistance	Brazil	Souza <i>et al.</i> , 2019
9	Hybrid (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> cv Bangchang and <i>Capsicum chinense</i> PBC932)	Colletotrichum capcisi	Hybridization, F2 populations	Brazil	Mahasuk <i>et al.</i> , 2009a
10	UENF 1381 (Capsicum annuum)	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	Host resistant screening	Brazil	Bento et al., 2017
11	Daepoong-cho' (local Korean variety) and AR (anthracnose-resistant breeding line derived from <i>Capsicum chinense</i> Jacq. 'PBC 932')	Colletotrichum capsici	Hybridization, allelism test	Korea	Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2008

Traditional breeding for the development of anthracnose resistant chili genotypes

(UENF 1718 and UENF 1797), and these lines showed resistance against the species of Colletotrichum baccatum var. pendulum (Pandi et al., 2018) and suggested that different genes are responsible for the nature of resistance (Kim et al., 2010; Rodrigues et al., 2016). Also, identified anthracnose resistant genotypes (C. annuum) against C. acutatum shown that this resistance can be used as potential genetic resources in the chili breeding program. Despite widespread research, chili cultivars that are resistant to the Colletotrichum spp. have not yet been developed and commercialized. However, the success of the breeding program is to develop durable resistant varieties and is hampered due to the association of multiple Colletotrichum species in anthracnose infection (Than et al., 2008; Saxena et al., 2016; Agrios, 2005) and along with the differential capabilities of the pathogenic virulence (Montri, 2009).

Molecular Breeding for Anthracnose Resistant Chili

In recent decades, different types of molecular markers have been widely used to measure the genetic variation among pathogen population such as simple sequence repeat (SSR), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR). Several studies have been conducted for the development of anthracnose resistant genotypes with the help of a molecular marker, as illustrated in Tab. 2. Similarly, QTL analysis of the identification of resistance to *Colletotrichum spp.* was summarized in Tab. 3.

Conventional Breeding for Heat Tolerance Chili

Assessment of heat stress tolerance is a major requirement, and also plays important criteria to recognize heat-tolerant

TABLE 2

Chili anthracnose-resistant traits linked with molecular markers

S/N	Resistant genotypes	Pathogen Strains	Molecular marker	Marker Name	Reference
1	Progressive lines (<i>Capsicum</i> <i>annuum</i>) derived from PBC80 (<i>Capsicum chinense</i> , resistant to anthracnose)	Colletotrichum acutatum and Colletotrichum capsici	Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR), Sequence Characterized Amplified Region (SCAR)	HpmsE032	Suwor et al., 2015
2	Hybrid, KULAI 907(Malaysian elite genotypes, Susceptible) x AVPP0805 (Developed by AVRDC, Resistant)	Colletotrichum truncatum	Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR)	Hpms 2-24	Ridzuan <i>et al.</i> , 2018
3	Capsicum annuum x Capsicum chinense	Colletotrichum acutatum	Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR), Sequence Characterized Amplified Region (SCAR) and Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence (CAPS)	HpmsE057, HpmsE116, HpmsE126, ES382, Gpms161, Gp20068, ES64, Epms745, Gp20068, ES118, ES181, InDel, C2_At4g03400	Sun <i>et al.</i> , 2015
4	Capsicum annuum x Capsicum chinense, Capsicum annuum x Capsicum baccatum, Capsicum annuum x Capsicum baccatum	Colletotrichum truncatum Colletotrichum truncatum Colletotrichum truncatum, Colletotrichum acutatum	Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR), Sequence Characterized Amplified Region (SCAR)	HpmsE032, HpmsE143, InDel, CaR12.2M1, CcR9M1	Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Wang, 2011; Suwor <i>et al.</i> , 2015
5	Capsicum annuum x Capsicum baccatum	Colletotrichum truncatum, Colletotrichum acutatum	Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR)	Hpms2-24, HpmsE143, HpmsE092, HpmsE032, HpmsE063	Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2010
6	Capsicum annuum x Capsicum chinense	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Colletotrichum truncatum	Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP), Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR)	CA-MS6, CA-MS25, B2, CA-MS22, B1, G1, H1, CA- MS23, CA-MS12, D1,	Voorrips <i>et al.</i> , 2004
7	F ₁ , F ₂ , and BC ₁ F ₁ populations (Punjab Lal x Arka Lohit, susceptible cultivar)	C. truncatum	Sequence-Tagged Site (STS)	CtR-431, CtR-594	Mishra <i>et al</i> ., 2019

TABLE 3

Genes conferring resistances to anthracnose in Capsicum, identified in previous studies

S/N	Chili genotypes	QTLs/Genes name	Pathogen pathotypes	Reference
1	<i>Capsicum annuum</i> 'SP26' (susceptible recurrent parent) and <i>Capsicum baccatum</i> 'PBC81' (resistant donor)	QTLs (CaR12.2 and CcR9)	Colletotrichum acutatum and Colletotrichum capsici	Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2010
2	<i>Capsicum chinense</i> accession (PBC932) was studied in a BC1 population derived from a hybrid with <i>Capsicum annuum</i> line 77013 (susceptible)	QLTs (AnRGO5, AnRGT5, AnRGD5, AnRRO5, AnRRT5, AnRRD5)	Colletotrichum acutatum	Sun <i>et al.</i> , 2015
3	Capsicum baccatum (PBC80), Capsicum chinense (PBC932)	Genes (co4 and Co5)	Colletotrichum capsici and Colletotrichum acutatum	Mahasuk <i>et al.</i> , 2009b
4	126 F ₂ population (<i>Capsicum baccatum</i> var. pendulum (resistant) and <i>Capsicum baccatum</i> 'Golden-aji' (susceptible)	Major QTLs-(An8.1, An9.1) Minor QTLs-(An7.3, An7.4, An4.1, An3.1, An3.2)	Colletotrichum acutatum	Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2010

genotypes with high yield. Under high temperatures, plants followed different mechanisms involved in long-term adaptation and short-term avoidance, among which the plant produced heat shock proteins for adaptation (Srivastava et al., 2012). Plant adaptation can be sustained by appropriate breeding methods involved in phenotypic and genotypic evaluation (Chapman et al., 2012). Hybrid chili production has increased on commercial bases like other Solanaceae vegetables such as tomatoes and eggplant. Chili plants are inspected under heat stress in their lifecycle from seedling to ripening; they react differently at different temperatures (Schramm et al., 2006; Pagamas and Nawata, 2008). Researches have been done to find out suitable heat-tolerant chili genotypes, which are summarized in Tab. 4. Researchers suggested that heat-tolerant genotypes can be used as a potential genetic material in chili breeding programs to develop new genotypes. Hot pepper traits are most suitable for the development of heat-tolerant chili genotypes to get the desired quality and quantity like yield, fruit traits, disease, pungency nature, and other antioxidant contents (Padilha et al., 2015). In the case of sweet peppers, leaf proline content helps to overcome plant heat stress because at hightemperature condition sensitive varieties produced lower amounts of proline in leaf than heat tolerant (Saha et al., 2010).

Molecular Breeding for the Development of Heat-Tolerant Chili Genotypes

Heat stress conditions are recognized by the induction of stress proteins or genes that protect the organism from cellular damage called heat shock proteins (HSPs) expression and exert a significant role in plant tolerance to heat stress (Usman *et al.*, 2017; Usman *et al.*, 2018). HSPs can be classified into five categories such as HSP100 (or ClpB), HSP90 (HtpG), HSP70 (or DnaK), HSP60 (or GroEL) and HSP 20 (or small HSP, sHSP) and they are involved in regulating different development stages of plants (Prasinos *et al.*, 2005; Swindell *et al.*, 2007). During infection, Hsp70s are involved in the regulation of the viral infection cycle as well as in the host stress response (Gorovits *et al.*, 2013 and Jungkunz *et al.*, 2011). Heat-inducible genes are responsible for the regulation of heat shock protein (HSP) metabolism (synthesis and

breakdown), which confers tolerance against high temperature and also helps to protect intracellular protein structure from denaturation and conserve their strength and role through protein folding thus acting as molecular chaperones (Chang et al., 2007). The selection of constitutive QTL is a vital requirement for fruitful MAS for developing heat tolerance. Plant breeders are interested in working on the manipulation of genes for the improvement of genotypes that can survive at extreme temperatures (Farnham and Bjorkman, 2011). Chili plant has diverse innate than other Solanaceae crops like tomatoes, that criteria give opportunities for altering or rearrangements of the gene at the molecular level. Heat stress creates artificial imbalance and massive membrane disruption (Usman et al., 2014b and Gajanayake et al., 2011) of plant physio-biochemical function, for that need to development of heat-tolerant genotypes, this is the prime requirement and established a linkage between phenotypic and genotypic study to identify QLT for crop improvement. Introgression of the LeUCP gene (responsible for stress tolerance) in tomato and confirmed by real-time PCR and Southern blot hybridization and the transgenic plants, showed improved bio-molecular state and suggested that this gene might help to control biotic and abiotic stress in many aspects (Chen et al., 2013). Perseverance and excellence finding is the optimal condition for abiotic stress in the breeding program, and some characters are controlled by polygene that can be easily misinterpreted phenotypically and need observation for the accurate response of plants in a given situation (Farnham and Bjorkman, 2011). Drought and extreme temperatures are especially considered as crucial stress factors with high potential impact on crop yield and in this situation, the defense can be attained by quick alterations in gene manifestation (Bita and Gerats, 2013). In chili producing country, due to global warming, heat-tolerant chili genotypes development is the primmest requirement for sustainable agricultural production

Chemical Components in Capsicum Related to Anthracnose Resistance and Heat Tolerance

Chili has multipurpose uses due to chemical, medicinal, and nutritional properties for its pigment, flavor, and hotness. There are two types of chili according to taste, one is

TA	BLE	4

S/N	Heat tolerant Genotypes	Minimum temp.	Maximum temp.	Heat tolerance	Reference
1	Backcross progenies, BC ₁ F ₃	30°C	42°C	Excellent sources for heat- tolerant chili development	Usman <i>et al</i> ., 2015
2	Tomato inbreed lines (HT019, C11, C41, C51, C71 and WP10)	20–25°C	55°C	Good to initiate a breeding program to develop heat-tolerant tomato variety	Islam <i>et al.</i> , 2014
3	Chilly Chili, Medusa, Thai Hot, Explosive Ember, and Treasures Red	10°C	45°C	Excellent for the development of reproductive temperature tolerance and vegetative temperature tolerance	Gajanayake <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2011
4	Pepsi-17-2, DCL524, VR-16, MS-12, and S-217621	34°C	40°C	Genotypes better for fruit set under high temperature	Kaur <i>et al</i> ., 2016

Capsicum species with pungent, called hot pepper, and that without pungency is called sweet pepper (Nadeem et al., 2011). Chili fruits contain oleoresin, capsanthin, capsorubin, zeaxanthin, cryptoxanthin, and lutein as a pigment, indicating the presence of carotenoids (Wesolowska et al., 2011). Capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin are collectively known as capsaicinoids, non-volatile, alkaloids substances, accumulated in the placenta of chili fruits where seeds are usually attached, responsible for pungency (Gahungu et al., 2011). Capsaicinoids have multipurpose uses like antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticarcinogen, and increase metabolism, inhibitors for fat accumulation, bio-pesticides, and anti-inflammatory effects (Materska and Perucka, 2005). For antimicrobial activity associated with phenol group-containing substances, capsaicinoids increase the resistance to anthracnose and degree of heat tolerances. Capsaicinoids and pungency are directly correlated with each other (Usman et al., 2014b), and genotypes might have a specific pungency level and also have commercial value. There is a positive correlation between the capsaicin content in Capsicum and prevalence of the anthracnose disease and heat stress (Tenaya et al., 2001 and Azad, 1991) and agreed that a higher capsaicin level in red chili (C. annuum) was associated with greater resistance to anthracnose. Capsaicin is considered a biopesticide that has antimicrobial properties and has no toxic effect, as described by Walter (1995) and Gudeva et al. (2013). Phenol and some enzymes enhance the anthracnose diseases resistant in chili peppers (Prasath and Ponnuswami, 2008). Different polyphenols play a vital role in defense mechanisms as phytoalexins, which are responsible for biotic (fungal, bacteria and virus) and abiotic (extreme heat, drought, and salt) stresses in healthy chili peppers fruits against C. gloeosporioides namely N-caffeoyl putrescine and caffeoyl O-hexoside.

On the other hand, Feruloyl O-glucoside, kaempferol O-pentosyldihexoside, and dihydroxy flavone O-hexoside are the polyphenol was found in infected *C. annuum* fruits as phytoanticipin (metabolites with the defensive role) (Park *et al.*, 2012). The capsaicin level in chili predicts the chili genotypes are susceptible or not, meaning that the determination of the level of anthracnose resistance not only provides information on a molecular level but also chemical content as well.

Bottlenecks of Conventional and Molecular Tools for the Development of Anthracnose and Heat Tolerance Chili Genotypes

The development of anthracnose resistant and heat tolerant chili hybrids are the primmest requirement in this present situation. But development procedure has faced a lot of problems. Different plant breeding methods are used to obtain resistant or tolerant chili genotypes against biotic and abiotic stress, namely introduction, hybridization, selection, mutation, and genetic engineering (Pujar *et al.*, 2017). Desirable genes that confer resistance or tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses under varying environmental conditions are not found in cultivated cultivars but present in the wild cultivars. Hybridization is the most popular breeding method for crop improvement through the use of valuable genes from wild species incorporated into elite cultivars

through distant hybridization (Manzur et al., 2015). Pyramiding the anthracnose resistant and heat tolerant genes in chili hybrids through hybridization or crossing techniques has faced some problems such as the occurrence of self and cross incompatibility, pre fertilization problems or inhibition of pollen germination by inhibitors, post-fertilization problems due to embryo collapse (Yoon et al., 2006). Through distant hybridization, many undesirable genes will be transferred while transferring desirable genes due to undesirable linkage that leads to a low success rate. These barriers slow the hybrids development procedure and can be significantly overcome by proper management and supervision during the crossing, provide supporter pollination, use of bridge species, embryo rescue, and protoplast fusion (Pujar et al., 2017). On the other hand, Colletotrichum spp. has pathogenic variability and also has several species or biotypes with a single host, conventionally and phenotypically identification of pathogen association is very tuff and sometimes difficult based on conidial morphology, pathogenicity, and biochemical test (Saxena et al., 2014). Plant heat tolerance involves plant physiology, plant biology, biochemical and molecular reaction because heat tolerance is not a single trait. The development of new varieties is expensive and time-consuming, sometimes it takes 10-30 years. At this point, molecular physiology of the plant biotic and abiotic stress response can speed up the identification of connecting genes subsequently QTL documentation (Driedonks et al., 2016).

Conclusion and Prospects

Plant breeders have applied different classical and modern breeding methods for the development of disease-resistant and heat-tolerant chili varieties by maintaining desirable genetic differences through controlled crosses between individual plants and conveyed to the next generation through the phenotypic selection process. Integration of conventional breeding with marker-assisted selection is the most efficient technique for the introgression of resistant genes into new plants and help to select multiple resistant genes in a single genotype. Understanding the mechanism of monogenic and polygenic disease resistance, as well as biotic and abiotic stress responses of plants, are important for the development of resistant varieties. Several researchers have investigated some characters like canopy temperature depression and membrane thermo-stability for heat tolerance criteria through conventional breeding and may be an effective indicator for heat tolerance test. PCRbased markers will be useful for the characterization of the locus, isolation of desirable genes for resistance to anthracnose as well as heat-tolerant in the chili breeding program. While numerous genetic factors have been effectively developed in chili breeding program to boost up biotic and abiotic stress-resistant and their function in diverse genomic circumstances is still to be examined. This review would help to understand the molecular basis of chili hybrid development with traditional field practices and pyramid multiple genes into a single one for sustainable production.

Acknowledgement: Mst. Farhana Nazneen Chowdhury is grateful to the Organization for Women in Science for the Developing World (OWSD) for a Ph.D. fellowship at Universiti Putra Malaysia. The authors wish to thank the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia, for adequate research Higher Institution Centres of Excellence (HICoE) funding to conduct research on improvement of crop varieties for adaption to biotic and abiotic stresses.

Availability of Data and Materials: Data supporting this article are details in this manuscript.

Funding Statement: The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- Agrios GN (2005). *Plant pathology. 5th edition*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Ajjapplavara PS, Patil SS, Hosamani RM, Patil AA, Gangaprasad S (2010). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in chili. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **18**: 748–751.
- Amusa NA, Kehinde IA, Adegbite AA (2004). Pepper fruit anthracnose in the humid forest region of South-Western Nigeria. Nutrition and Food Science 34: 130–134. DOI 10.1108/00346650410536755.
- AVRDC (2003). Host resistance to pepper anthracnose. *In: AVRDC Report, AVRDC World Vegetable Centre*, pp. 29–30. Shanhua, Taiwan.
- Azad P (1991). Fate and role of chemical constituents of chili fruits during infection with *Colletotrichum capsici*. *Indian Phytopathology* **44**: 129–131.
- Banerjee A, Dutta R, Roy S, Ngachan SV (2014). First report of Chilli veinal mottle virus in Naga Chilli (*Capsicum Chinense*) in Meghalaya, India. Virus Disease 25: 142–143.
- Bento CS, de Souza AG, Sudré CP, Pimenta S, Rodrigues R (2017). Multiple genetic resistances in *Capsicum spp. Genetics and Molecular Research* 16: 1–13. DOI 10.4238/gmr16039789.
- Berke T, Black LL, Talekar NS, Wang JF, Gniffke P, Green SK, Wang TC, Morris R (2005). Suggested cultural practices for chili pepper. AVRDC World Vegetable Center. AVRDC Publication 620:1-8.
- Bita CE, Gerats T (2013). Plant tolerance to high temperature in a changing environment: scientific fundamentals and production of heat stress-tolerant crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **4**: 273. DOI 10.3389/fpls.2013.00273.
- Byung SK (2007). Country report of Anthracnose research in Korea. First International Symposium on Chili Anthracnose, Hoam Faculty House, Seoul National University, Seoul, 24.
- Chang HC, Tang YC, Hayer-Hartl M, Hartl FU (2007). Snap shot: molecular chaperones. Part I. *Cell* **128**: 212.e1–212.e2. DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.001.
- Chapman SC, Chakraborty S, Dreccer MF, Howden SM (2012). Plant adaptation to climate change opportunities and priorities in the breeding. *Crop Pasture Science* **63**: 251–268. DOI 10.1071/CP11303.
- Chen S, Liu A, Zhang S, Li C, Chang R, Liu D, Ahammed GJ, Lin X (2013). Overexpression of mitochondrial uncoupling protein conferred resistance to heat stress and Botrytis cinerea

infection in tomato. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **73**: 245–253. DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.10.002.

- Collard CY, Mackill DJ (2008). Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century Bertrand. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **363**: 557–572. DOI 10.1098/ rstb.2007.2170.
- Dahal K, Sharma M, Dhakal D, Shakya S (2006). Evaluation of heat tolerant chilli genotypes in western terai of Nepal. *Journal of* the Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science 27: 59–64. DOI 10.3126/jiaas.v27i0.696.
- Don LD, Van TT, Phuong VTT, Kieu PTM (2007). Collectotrichum spp. attacking chili pepper growing in Vietnam country report. Abstracts of the First International Symposium on Chilli Anthracnose, Seoul: Seoul National University, 24.
- Driedonks N, Rieu I, Vriezen WH (2016). Breeding for plant heat tolerance at vegetative and reproductive stages. *Plant Reproduction* **29**: 67–79. DOI 10.1007/s00497-016-0275-9.
- Farnham MW, Bjorkman T (2011). Breeding vegetables adapted to high temperatures: a case study with broccoli. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science* **46**: 1093–1097.
- Gahungu A, Ruganintwali E, Karangwa E, Zhang X, Mukunzi D (2011). Volatile compounds and capsaicinoids content of fresh hot peppers (*Capsicum chinense*) Scotch Bonnet variety at red stage. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* 3: 211–218.
- Gajanayake B, Trader BW, Reddy KR, Harkess RL (2011). Screening ornamental pepper cultivars for temperature tolerance using pollen and physiological parameters. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science* **46**: 878–884.
- Garg R, Loganathan M, Saha S, Roy BK 2014. Chilli Anthracnose: a review of causal organism, resistance source and mapping of gene. In: Kharwar R, Upadhyay R, Dubey N, Raghuwanshi R, eds., *Microbial Diversity and Biotechnology in Food SecurityNew Delhi.* India: Springer, 589–610.
- Garruña-Hernández R, Orellana R, Larque-Saavedra A, Canto A (2014). Understanding the physiological responses of a tropical crop (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.) at high temperature. *PLoS One* **9**: e111402. DOI 10.1371/journal. pone.0111402.
- Geetha R, Selvarani K (2017). A study of chili production and export from India. *International Journal of Advanced Research and Innovative Ideas in Education* **3**: 205–210.
- Gorovits R, Moshe A, Ghanim M, Czosnek H (2013). Recruitment of the host plant heat shock protein 70 by tomato yellow leaf curl virus coat protein is required for virus infection. *PLoS One* **8**: 70280. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0070280.
- Gudeva LK, Mitrev S, Maksimova V, Spasov D (2013). Content of capsaicin extracted from hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and its use as an ecopesticide. *UDG Academic Repository* 67: 671–675.
- Hasyim A, Setiawati W, Sutarva R (2014). Screening for resistance to anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum* in chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in Kediri, East Java. *Advances in Agriculture & Botanics* 6: 104–118.
- Hyde KD, Cai L, McKenzie EHC, Yang YL, Zhang JZ, Prihastuti H (2009). Collectotrichum: a catalog of confusion. Fungal Diversity 39: 1–17.
- Islam MS, Ahmad S, Uddin MN, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Malek MA (2014). Identification of tomato inbred lines for heat tolerance through agronomic and physiological approaches. *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment* 12: 281–284.

- Jiang GL (2013). Plant marker-assisted breeding and conventional breeding: challenges and perspectives. Advances in Crop Science and Technology 1: e106. DOI 10.4172/2329-8863.1000e106.
- Jungkunz I, Link K, Vogel F, Voll LM, Sonnewald S, Sonnewald U (2011). At Hsp70-15 deficient Arabidopsis plants are characterized by reduced growth, a constitutive cytosolic protein response, and enhanced resistance to TuMV. *Plant Journal* 66: 983–995. DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04558.x.
- Kaur N, Dhaliwal MS, Jindal S, Singh P (2016). Evaluation of hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes for heat tolerance during reproductive phase. *International Journal of Bioresource and Stress Management* 7: 126–129. DOI 10.23910/IJBSM/2016.7.1.1386.
- Kim S, Kim KT, Kim DH, Yang EY, Cho MC, Jamal A, Chae Y, Pae DH, Oh DG, Hwang JK (2010). Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with anthracnose resistance in chili pepper (*Capsicum spp.*). Korean Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology 28: 1014–1024.
- Kim SH, Yoon JB, Do JW, Park HG (2008). A major recessive gene associated with anthracnose resistance to *Collectotrichum capsici* in chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Breeding Science 58: 137–141. DOI 10.1270/jsbbs.58.137.
- Kim KH, Yoon JB, Park HG, Park EW, Kim YH (2004). Structural modifications and programmed cell death of chili pepper fruit related to resistance responses to *Colletotrichum* gloeosporioides infection. *Phytopathology* 94: 1295–1304. DOI 10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.12.1295.
- Lakshmi S, Deepthi SR, Krishna MSR (2014). Anthracnose, a prevalent disease in capsicum. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences* 5: 1583–1604.
- Lee J, Do JW, Yoon JB (2011). Development of STS markers linked to the major QTLs for resistance to the pepper anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *C. capsici. Horticultural Environment Biotechnology* **52**: 596–601. DOI 10.1007/s13580-011-0178-5.
- Lee J, Hong J, Do JW (2010). Identification of QTLs for resistance to anthracnose to two *Colletotrichum* species in pepper. *Journal* of Crop Sciences Biotechnology **13**: 227–233. DOI 10.1007/ s12892-010-0081-0.
- Mahasuk P, Chinthaisong J, Mongkolporn O (2013). Differential resistances to anthracnose in *Capsicum baccatum* as responding to two *Colletotrichum* pathotypes and inoculation methods. *Breeding Science* **63**: 333–338. DOI 10.1270/jsbbs.63.333.
- Mahasuk P, Khumpeng N, Wasee S, Taylor PWJ, Mongkolporn O (2009a). Inheritance of resistance to anthracnose (*Colletotrichum capsici*) at seedling and fruiting stages in chili pepper (*Capsicum spp.*). *Plant Breeding* **128**: 701–706. DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01615.x.
- Mahasuk P, Taylor PWJ, Mongkolporn O (2009b). Identification of two new genes conferring resistance to *Colletotrichum* acutatum in *Capsicum baccatum*. *Phytopathology* **99**: 1100– 1104. DOI 10.1094/PHYTO-99-9-1100.
- Mahmodi F, Kadir J, Puteh A (2014). Genetic diversity and pathogenic variability of *Colletotrichum truncatum* causing anthracnose of pepper in Malaysia. *Journal of Phytopathology* **162**: 456–465. DOI 10.1111/jph.12213.
- Manzur JP, Fita A, Prohens J, Rodríguez-Burruezo A (2015). Successful wide hybridization and introgression breeding in a diverse set of common peppers (*Capsicum annuum*) using different cultivated Ají (C. baccatum) accessions as donor parents. *PLoS One* **10**: e0144142. DOI 10.1371/ journal.pone.0144142.

- Materska M, Perucka I (2005). Antioxidant activity of the main phenolic compounds isolated from hot pepper fruit (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **53**: 1750–1756. DOI 10.1021/jf035331k.
- Mishra R, Rout E, Joshi RK (2019). Identification of resistant sources against anthracnose disease caused by Colletotrichum truncatum and Colletotrichum gloeosporioides in Capsicum annuum L. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India, Section B: Biological Sciences 89: 517–524. DOI 10.1007/s40011-018-0965-1.
- Mondal CK, Acharyya O, Hazra P (2013). Biochemical basis of plant defense for leaf curl virus of chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Proceeding XV EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding of Capsicum and Eggplant*, Turin, Italy, 315–322.
- Montri P (2009). Pathotypes of *Colletotrichum capsici*, the causal agent of chili anthracnose in Thailand. *Plant Diseases* **93**: 17–20. DOI 10.1094/PDIS-93-1-0017.
- Moscone EA, Scaldaferro MA, Grabiele M, Cecchini NM, Sánchez García Y, Jarret R, Ehrendorfer F (2007). The evolution of chili peppers (*Capsicum solanaceae*): a cytogenetic perspective. In VI International Solanaceae Conference. *Genomics Meets Biodiversity* **745**: 137–170.
- Nadeem M, Anjum FM, Khan MR, Saeed M, Riaz A (2011). Antioxidant potential of bell pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). A review. *Pakistan Journal of Food Sciences* **21**: 45–51.
- Nsabiyera V, Ochwo-ssemakula M, Sseruwagi P, Ojewo C, Gibson P (2013). Combining ability for field resistance to disease, fruit yield and yield factors among hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Genotypes in Uganda. *International Journal of Plant Breeding* 7: 12–21.
- Oanh LTK, Korpraditskul V, Rattanakreetakul C, Wasee S (2006). Influence of biotic and chemical plant inducers on the resistance of chili to anthracnose. *Kasetsart Journal* **40**: 39–48.
- Padilha HKM, Pereira ES, Munhoz PC, Vizzotto M, Valgas RA, Barbieri RL (2015). Genetic variability for the synthesis of bioactive compounds in peppers (*Capsicum annuum*) from Brazil. *Food Science and Technology* **35**: 516–523. DOI 10.1590/1678-457X.6740.
- Pagamas P, Nawata E (2008). Sensitive stages of fruit and seed development of chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*) exposed to high-temperature stress. *Scientia Horticulturae* 117: 21–25. DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2008.03.017.
- Pandi VK, Kamalakannan A, Nakkeeran S, Venkatesan K, Uma D (2018). Cultural and morphological variability of *Colletotrichum spp* Butler and Bisby causing anthracnose of Chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Chemical Science Review and Letters* 7: 228–232.
- Park S, Jeong WY, Lee JH, Kim YH, Jeong SW, Kim GS, Bae DW, Lim CS, Jin JS, Lee SJ (2012). Determination of polyphenol levels variation in *Capsicum annuum* L. cv. Chelsea (yellow bell pepper) infected by anthracnose (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Chemistry* 130: 981–985. DOI 10.1016/j. foodchem.2011.08.026.
- Pereira MJZ, Massola JNS, Sussel AAB, Sala FC, Costa CP, Boiteux LS (2011). Reação de acessos de apsicum e de progênies de cruzamentos interespecíficos a isolados de *Colletotrichum* acutatum. Horticultura Brasileira 29: 569–576. DOI 10.1590/S0102-05362011000400021.
- Phoulivong S, Cai L, Chen H (2010). Colletotrichum gloeosporioides is not a common pathogen on tropical fruits. Fungal Diversity 44: 33–43. DOI 10.1007/s13225-010-0046-0.

- Prasath D, Ponnuswami V (2008). Heterosis and combining ability for morphological, yield, and quality characters in paprika type chili hybrids. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 65: 441–445.
- Prasinos C, Krampis K, Samakovli D, Hatzopoulos P (2005). Tight regulation of expression of two Arabidopsis cytosolic Hsp90 genes during embryo development. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **56**: 633–644. DOI 10.1093/jxb/eri035.
- Pugalendhi L, Veeraragavathatham D, Sathiyamurthy VA, Natarajan S (2010). High yielding and moderately resistant to fruit rot disease chili hybrid-CCH 1 (TNAU Chili Hybrid CO 1). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* 1: 1049–1059.
- Pujar DU, Pujar UU, Shruthi CR, Wadagave A, Hiremath SS (2017). Distant hybridization in fruit crops. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience* 5: 1312–1315. DOI 10.18782/ 2320-7051.5906.
- Rahman MJ, Inden H, Hossain MM (2012). Capsaicin content in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*) Under temperature stress. *Acta Horticulturae* **936**: 195–201. DOI 10.17660/ actahortic.2012.936.23.
- Reddy MK, Srivastava A, Kumar S, Kumar R, Chawda N, Ebert AW, Vishwakarma M (2014a). Chili (*Capsicum annuum*) breeding in India: an overview. *Journal of Breeding Genetics* 46: 160–173.
- Ridzuan R, Rafii MY, Ismail SI, Martini MY, Miah G, Magaji UG (2018). Breeding for anthracnose disease resistance in chili: progress and prospects. *International Journal of Molecular Science* 19: 31–22. DOI 10.3390/ijms19103122.
- Ridzuan R, Rafii MY, Yusoff MM, Ismail SI, Miah G, Usman MG (2019). Genetic diversity analysis of selected Capsicum annuum genotypes based on morpho-physiological, yield characteristics and their biochemical properties. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **99**: 269–280. DOI 10.1002/jsfa.9169.
- Rodrigues R, Sudré CP, Bento CDS, Geronimo IGC (2016). A breeding program for resistance to anthracnose in sweet chili pepper. Universidad Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Rib eiro, Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil.
- Saha S, Hossain M, Rahman M, Kuo C, Abdullah S (2010). Effect of high-temperature stress on the performance of twelve sweet pepper genotypes. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research* 35: 525–534. DOI 10.3329/bjar.v35i3.6459.
- Saxena A, Raghuwanshi R, Kumar GV, Singh HB (2016). Chili anthracnose: the epidemiology and management. *Frontier and Microbiology* 7: 1527.
- Saxena A, Raghuwanshi R, Singh HB (2014). Molecular, phenotypic and pathogenic variability in C olletotrichum isolates of subtropical region in north-eastern India, causing fruit rot of chillies. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 117: 1422–1434. DOI 10.1111/jam.12607
- Schramm F, Ganguli A, Kiehlmann E, Englich G, Walch D, VonKoskull-Döroing P (2006). The heat stress transcription factor HsfA2 serves as a regulatory amplifier of a subset of genes in the heat stress response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Molecular Biology* **60**: 759–772. DOI 10.1007/s11103-005-5750-x.
- Silva SAM, Rodrigues R, Gonçalves LSA, Sudré CP, Bento CS, Carmo MGF, Medeiros AM (2014). Resistance in *Capsicum spp*. to anthracnose affected by different stages of fruit development during pre- and post-harvest. *Tropical Plant Pathology* 39: 335–341. DOI 10.1590/S1982-56762014000400009.
- Sood SR, Sood Sagar V, Sharma K (2009). Genetic variation and association analysis for fruit yield, agronomic and quality

characters in bell pepper. *International Journal of Vegetable Science* **15**: 272–284. DOI 10.1080/19315260902875822.

- Souza LCS, Assis LAG, Catarino AM, Hanada RE (2019). Screening of chilli pepper genotypes against anthracnose (*Colletotrichum brevisporum*). *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture* **31**: 919–929. DOI 10.9755/ejfa.2019.v31.i12.2039.
- Srivastava S, Pathak AD, Gupta PS, Shrivastava AK, Srivastava AK (2012). Hydrogen peroxide-scavenging enzymes impart tolerance to high temperature-induced oxidative stress in sugarcane. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 33: 657–661.
- Sun C, Mao SL, Zhang ZH, Palloix A, Wang LH, Zhang BX (2015). Resistances to anthracnose (*Colletotrichum acutatum*) of Capsicum mature green and ripe fruit are controlled by a major dominant cluster of QTLs on chromosome P5. *Scientia Horticulturae* 181: 81–88. DOI 10.1016/j. scienta.2014.10.033.
- Suwor P, Thummabenjapone P, Sanitchon J, Kumar S, Techawongstien S (2015). Phenotypic and genotypic responses of chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.) progressive lines with different resistant genes against anthracnose pathogen (*Colletotrichum spp.*). *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 143: 725–736. DOI 10.1007/s10658-015-0723-7.
- Swindell WR, Huebner M, Weber AP (2007). Transcriptional profiling of Arabidopsis heat shock proteins and transcription factors reveals extensive overlap between heat and non-heat stress response pathways. *BMC Genomics* 8: 1–15. DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-8-1.
- Tenaya IMN, Setiamihardja R, Natasasmita S (2001). Correlation of capsaicin content, fructose, and peroxidase activity with anthracnose disease in chili pepper. *Abstract Zurita* 12: 73–83.
- Than PP, Prihastuti H, Phoulivong S, Taylor PWJ, Hyde KD (2008). Chili anthracnose disease caused by *Colletotrichum* species. *Zhejiang University Science* **9**: 764–778. DOI 10.1631/jzus.B0860007.
- Usman MG, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Malek MA, Latif MA (2014a). Capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin determination in chili pepper genotypes using ultra-fast liquid chromatography. *Molecules* 19: 6474–6488. DOI 10.3390/molecules19056474.
- Usman MG, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Malek MA, Latif MA (2014b). Heritability and genetic advance among chili pepper genotypes for heat tolerance and morph physiological characteristics. *Scientific World Journal* **2014**: 308042. DOI 10.1155/2014/308042.
- Usman MG, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Malek MA, Latif MA (2015). Expression of target gene Hsp70 and membrane stability determine heat tolerance in chili pepper. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science* **140**: 144–150. DOI 10.21273/JASHS.140.2.144.
- Usman MG, Rafii MY, Martini MY, Yusuff OA, Ismail MR, Miah G (2017). Molecular analysis of Hsp70 mechanisms in plants and their function in response to stress. *Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews* 33: 26–39. DOI 10.1080/ 02648725.2017.1340546.
- Usman MG, Rafii MY, Martini MY, Yusuff OA, Ismail MR, Miah G (2018). Introgression of heat shock protein (Hsp70 and sHSP) genes into the Malaysian elite chilli variety Kulai (*Capsicum annuum* L.) through the application of markerassisted backcrossing (MAB). *Cell Stress and Chaperones* 23: 223–234. DOI 10.1007/s12192-017-0836-3.
- Vinaya H, Rao MSL, Hegde Y, Mohankumar HD (2009). Status of seed-borne incidence of anthracnose of chili in northern Karnataka and evaluation of seed health testing methods for the detection of *Colletotrichum capsici*. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* **22**: 807–809.

- Voorrips RE, Finkers R, Sanjaya L, Groenwold R (2004). QTL mapping of anthracnose (*Colletotrichum spp.*) resistance in a cross between *Capsicum annuum* and *Capsicum Chinense. Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **109**: 1275–1282. DOI 10.1007/s00122-004-1738-1.
- Walter WR (1995). Wax and capsaicin-based pesticide. New Wilmington, PA, USA: Wilder Agricultural Product Co Inc.
- Wang YW (2011). Development of sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers associated with pepper anthracnose (Colletotrichum acutatum) resistance (Master's Thesis). Department of Agronomy, National Chiayi University, Chiayi, Taiwan.
- Wesolowska A, Jadczak D, Grzeszczuk M (2011). Chemical composition of the pepper fruit extracts of hot cultivars *Capsicum annuum* L. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus* 10: 171–184.
- Yáñez-López R, Torres-Pacheco I, Guevara-González RG, Hernández-Zul MI, Quijano-Carranza JA, Rico-García E (2012). The effect of climate change on plant diseases. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 11: 2417–2428.
- Yoon JB, Yang DC, Jw Do, Park HG (2006). Overcoming two postfertilization genetic barriers in interspecific hybridization between *Capsicum annuum* and *Capsicum baccatum* for introgression anthracnose resistance. *Breeding Science* 56: 31–38. DOI 10.1270/jsbbs.56.31.