

DOI: 10.32604/fdmp.2022.019486

ARTICLE



Application of New Water Flooding Characteristic Curve in the High Water-Cut Stage of an Oilfield

Xi Zhang¹, Changquan Wang^{1,*}, Hua Wu² and Xu Zhao²

¹School of Petroleum Engineering, Yangtze University, Wuhan, 430100, China
 ²Exploration and Development Research Institute of Jianghan Oilfield Company of Sinopec, Wuhan, 430223, China
 ^{*}Corresponding Author: Changquan Wang. Email: wonque@163.com
 Received: 27 September 2021 Accepted: 25 October 2021

ABSTRACT

The oil production predicted by means of the conventional water-drive characteristic curve is typically affected by large deviations with respect to the actual value when the so-called high water-cut stage is entered. In order to solve this problem, a new characteristic relationship between the relative permeability ratio and the average water saturation is proposed. By comparing the outcomes of different matching methods, it is verified that it can well reflect the variation characteristics of the relative permeability ratio curve. Combining the new formula with a reservoir engineering method, two new formulas are derived for the water flooding characteristic curve in the high water-cut stage. Their practicability is verified by using the production data of Mawangmiao and Xijiakou blocks. The results show that the error between the predicted cumulative oil production and production data of the two new water drive characteristic curves is less than the error between the B-type water drive characteristic curves can be used to estimate more accurately the recoverable reserves, the final recovery and to estimate the effects of water flooding.

KEYWORDS

Water flooding characteristic curve; high water cut period; production dynamic prediction; recoverable reserves; water flooding

Nomenclature

WOR	Water-oil ratio;
$Q_{\rm w}$	Water production, t/day;
Qo	Oil production, t/day;
K _{rw} , K _{ro}	Relative permeability of water phase and oil phase;
μ _w , μ _o	Water, oil viscosity, mPa·s;
B _w , B _o	Water and oil volume coefficient, m ³ /m ³ ;
a, b, c	Constants influenced by reservoir and fluid properties;
A, B, C, C_1	Constants;
S_w	Water saturation, %;
$\overline{S_w}$	Average water saturation, %;
Swe	Outlet end water saturation;



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

S _{wd}	Normalized water saturation, %;
S_{wc}	Irreducible brine saturation;
Sor	Interstitial-oil saturation;
γ _w , γ _o	The relative density of water and oil;
R	The degree of geological reserves recovery
Ν	Geological reserves, 10 ⁴ t;
N _p	Accumulated oil production, 10 ⁴ t;
N _{om}	Movable oil reserves, 10 ⁴ t;
Ed	Ultimate oil displacement efficiency;
<i>m</i> , <i>n</i>	Matching parameters.

1 Introduction

Calculating the formulas for the traditional water flooding characteristic curves A and B is straightforward, as is understanding the physical significance of the essential quantities [1]. It is universally applicable to oil field development including water flooding. It is the most often utilized technique for anticipating production in the development of water flooding. It is frequently used to determine the effect of flood development on recoverable reserves and final recovery efficiency. The standard water flooding characteristic curve accurately predicts the dynamic index of the development of the oil field during the middle-middle and high water cut periods, but not once the oil field enters the high water cut period [2,3]. Following the commencement of the high water cut period, it is observed in laboratory experiments and on-site manufacturing procedures. Conventional water flooding's typical curve will deflect, leading to phenomena such as downward bending and upward warping [4–6]. At the moment, the straight-line section of the traditional water flooding characteristic curve is still utilized to estimate that the target reservoir's development index and recoverable reserves will have bigger inaccuracies. As a result, a new form of water flooding characteristic curve that is consistent with the actual production condition during the high water cut time is required [7].

In theory, the characteristic curve of the water drive will curve towards the period of maximum water cut. Because the linear representation relationship between the oil-water relative permeability ratio and water saturation in the semi-logarithmic coordinate used to generate the standard water drive characteristic curve is no longer established after the high water cut period begins [8]. Numerous researchers have undertaken considerable research on this subject, examining the establishment of a high-permeability water phase channel during the manufacture of field water injection and the transformation of the oil-water continuous phase [9,10]. They designed a variety of water drive characteristic curves to address this issue. The water drive characteristic curves obtained in this work can be utilized to fit production data from oilfields during (extra) high water cut periods [11]. However, the bulk of matching expressions are worthless due to their complexity, and the prediction error is large [12,13]. Wang suggested a linear water drive characteristic curve in logarithmic coordinates that may more accurately estimate the oil production law during a period of severe water cut [14]. However, the forecast error continues to be substantial. It is important to suggest a new water drive characteristic curve to estimate development performance more precisely during times of extreme water scarcity [15,16].

Based on Liu's [17] proposed [17] expression for the relative permeability ratio and the matching of the curved part of the rear section of the normalized oil-water relative permeability ratio curve in multiple blocks of the JH oilfield. This study presents a new relative permeability ratio characterization relationship that is highly correlated with the curved region of the rear section of the normalized relative permeability ratio curve after bending and deducing the formula to create two new water drive characteristic curve formulas. By comparing the

prediction error for the new curve against the prediction error for other waterflood characteristic curves. The new water driving characteristic curve is proved to be capable of forecasting an oil field's development performance under periods of extreme water scarcity, as well as recoverable reserves and recovery factors. It may provide a more dependable basis for accurately forecasting the development performance of the JH oil field during a period of high water cut.

2 Characterization of New Phase Permeability Mathematical Model

The following formula is used to establish the traditional water flooding characteristic curves of A and B:

$$\frac{K_{\rm rw}}{K_{\rm ro}} = a \cdot e^{b \cdot S_{\rm w}} \tag{1}$$

The linear representation in semi-logarithmic coordinates is given by formula (1), which is as follows:

$$\ln \frac{K_{\rm rw}}{K_{\rm ro}} = c + b \cdot S_{\rm w} \tag{2}$$

Numerous researchers [18,19] discovered the formula (1) the approximate exponential relationship between $K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}$ and $S_{\rm w}$ exists only when $S_{\rm w}$ is near the center of the oil-water two-phase infiltration zone (single well or Oilfield block production to medium-high water cut period). Non-exponential bending, on the other hand, will occur during the early and late stages of production in waterflooding oil fields. As a result, this formula does not apply to periods of low, high, or ultra-high water cut. Now, formula (2) is used to compare this conclusion to the normalized phase permeability data for the actual block of the JH oilfield (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Traditional matching diagram of the JH Oilfield Block's normalized relative permeability ratio curve

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the normalized relative permeability ratio curve is inverted when S_w is near 0.55 and concave after inversions. At this point (2), the linear relative permeability ratio formula expressed cannot reflect the actual curve's change characteristics. As a result, a new relative permeability ratio formula must be proposed. It may reflect the change in the relative permeability ratio curve's characteristics when the water saturation level is high.

The experimental data for oil-water permeability in multiple blocks of the JH oilfield are analyzed to select core permeability data samples from multiple main production wells in the block. It encompasses the three most frequently encountered types of oil-water permeability curves in waterflood oil fields: linear water phase, concave water phase, and concave water phase. It generates a normalized oil-water relative permeability ratio curve that can be used to characterize the physical properties of oilfield blocks.

By conducting matching analyses and conducting research on the inverted portion of the relative permeability ratio curve in the later period, as well as conducting literature searches. This article proposes a new characterization relation that more accurately describes the characteristics of the relative permeability ratio curve's latter section: $\frac{K_{rw}}{K_{ro}} - \overline{S_w}$

$$\frac{K_{\rm rw}}{K_{\rm ro}} = a \cdot e^{b \cdot c^{\overline{S_{\rm w}}}} \tag{3}$$

Then:

$$\ln\frac{K_{\rm rw}}{K_{\rm ro}} = \ln a + b \cdot c^{\overline{S_{\rm w}}} \tag{4}$$

Note: According to the literature [18], when the oil field is in high water cut Stage.

Determination method of *a*, *b*, *c*: Let $y = \ln \frac{K_{\text{TW}}}{K_{\text{TO}}}$, $x = c^{\overline{S_{\text{W}}}}$, use least square method to determine the value of *c*, formula (4) can be rewritten as $y = \ln a + bx$ linear matching is carried out. The intercept is $\ln a$ and the slope is *b*.

Calculate the bending part of the back section of the normalized oil-water relative permeability ratio curve for the North District, North fault block, Zhouji, and the remaining three blocks of the JH oilfield using the formula (4). Its applicability is established through comparison to the matching results obtained using the other four methods.

Figs. 2–4 illustrate the matching analysis of five distinct methods. As can be seen, the new characterization relation is well suited to the upward portion of the relative permeability ratio curve's rear section. The matching expressions and correlation coefficients for the later upturned part of the curve are shown in Table 1. The table indicates that the correlation coefficients of the new methods' matching expressions are greater than 0.995 and greater than the correlation coefficients of other matching methods. It can more accurately reflect the relative permeability ratio curve's changing characteristics as it rises.



Figure 2: Matching analysis diagram of five different methods (North block of JH oilfield)



Figure 3: Matching analysis diagram of five different methods (North fault block of JH oilfield)



Figure 4: Matching analysis diagram of five different methods (Zhouji block of JH oilfield)

Table 1: Matching results of up warping part of normalized relative permeability ratio curve in JH oilfield block

Oilfield block	Matching method	Matching expression	Correlation coefficient
North District	The proposed New method matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = -0.0005 + 5 \times 10^{-7} \times (10^{11})^{\overline{S_{\rm w}}}$	0.9958
Block	Exponential function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 2 \times (10^{-7}) e^{26.633 \overline{S_{\rm w}}}$	0.987
	Quadratic polynomial matching	$\ln \left(K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro} \right) = 621.87 \overline{S_{\rm w}}^2 - 688.66 \overline{S_{\rm w}} + 191.34$	0.989
	Logarithmic function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 34.241 \ln(\overline{S_{\rm w}}) + 19.991$	0.9188
	Power function matching	$\ln \left(K_{\rm rw} / K_{\rm ro} \right) = 6947.1 \overline{S_{\rm w}}^{15.979}$	0.99

(Continued)

Table 1 (continued)			
Oilfield block	Matching method	Matching expression	Correlation coefficient
North fault block	The proposed New method matching	$\ln \left(K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro} \right) = -0.0645 + 7 \times 10^{-7} \times (2 \times 10^{10})^{\overline{S_{\rm w}}}$	0.9975
	Exponential function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 1 \times (10^{-7}) e^{26.137 \overline{S_{\rm w}}}$	0.9893
	Quadratic polynomial matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 350.88 \overline{S_{\rm w}}^2 - 392.99 \overline{S_{\rm w}} + 110.45$	0.9926
	Logarithmic function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 20.442 \ln(\overline{S_{\rm w}}) + 11.651$	0.9296
	Power function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 3234.8 \overline{S_{\rm w}}^{-15.898}$	0.992
Zhouji Block	The proposed New method matching	$\ln \left(K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro} \right) = -0.1565 + 3 \times 10^{-7} \times (10^{11})^{\overline{S_{\rm w}}}$	0.9985
	Exponential function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 9 \times (10^{-8}) e^{27.183 \overline{S_{\rm w}}}$	0.9979
	Quadratic polynomial matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 798.07 \overline{S_{\rm w}}^2 - 936.59 \overline{S_{\rm w}} + 275.69$	0.9945
	Logarithmic function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 37.339 \ln(\overline{S_{\rm w}}) + 19.952$	0.9385
	Power function matching	$\ln (K_{\rm rw}/K_{\rm ro}) = 6060.8 \overline{S_{\rm w}}^{16.964}$	0.9982

3 Derivation of New Water Flooding Characteristic Curve

3.1 Formula of New Water Flooding Characteristic Curve in High Water Cut Period

To precisely meet the demand for dynamic and recoverable production prediction during the later stages of oilfield water injection development. In this paper, the new $K_{rw}/K_{ro}-S_w$ expression expressed by formula (3) is used. Referring to the process of derivation of the formulas for the water flooding characteristic curves A and B. The water flooding characteristic curve formula for a high water cut period is deduced using relevant reservoir engineering methods. The following is the derivation procedure:

Without regard for gravity or capillary force, the water-oil ratio WOR during steady seepage can be expressed as follows:

$$WOR = \frac{Q_{\rm w}}{Q_{\rm o}} = \frac{\mu_{\rm o}B_{\rm o}\gamma_{\rm w}}{\mu_{\rm w}B_{\rm w}\gamma_{\rm o}} \cdot \frac{K_{\rm rw}}{K_{\rm ro}}$$
(5)

Replace formula (3) with formula (5) and obtain:

$$WOR = \frac{a\mu_0 B_0 \gamma_{\rm w}}{\mu_{\rm w} B_{\rm w} \gamma_0} \cdot e^{b \cdot c^{\overline{s_{\rm w}}}}$$
(6)

Take the logarithm on both sides of the formula, and obtain:

$$\ln WOR = \ln \frac{a\mu_{o}B_{o}\gamma_{w}}{\mu_{w}B_{w}\gamma_{o}} + b \cdot c^{\overline{S_{w}}}$$
⁽⁷⁾

$$\lg WOR = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_0 B_0 \gamma_w}{\mu_w B_w \gamma_0} + 0.4343b \cdot c^{\overline{S_w}}$$
(8)

When the formation pressure of the water injection reservoir remains stable, the geological recovery R in one-dimensional displacement can be expressed as:

$$R = \frac{N_{\rm p}}{N} = \frac{\overline{S_{\rm w}} - S_{\rm wc}}{1 - S_{\rm wc}} \tag{9}$$

The preceding formula can be rewritten:

$$\overline{S_{w}} = (1 - S_{wc}) \cdot R + S_{wc} \tag{10}$$

Replace formula (10) with formula (8) and obtain:

$$\lg WOR = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_0 B_0 \gamma_w}{\mu_w B_w \gamma_0} + 0.4343b \cdot c^{(1-S_{wc}) \cdot R + S_{wc}}$$
(11)

Namely:

$$\lg WOR = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_0 B_0 \gamma_w}{\mu_w B_w \gamma_0} + 0.4343b \cdot c^{S_{wc}} \cdot [c^{(1-S_{wc})}]^R$$
(12)

Formula (12) can be abbreviated:

$$\lg WOR = A + B \cdot C^R \tag{13}$$

In formula (13), A, B, and C are constants, respectively:

$$A = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_0 B_0 \gamma_{\rm w}}{\mu_{\rm w} B_{\rm w} \gamma_{\rm o}} \tag{14}$$

$$B = 0.4343b \cdot c^{S_{\rm wc}} \tag{15}$$

$$C = c^{(1-S_{\rm wc})} \tag{16}$$

Formula (13) is a novel type of water flooding characteristic curve formula for use in the presence of a prolonged period of high water cut. When it is used, it is necessary to match data that is close to the curve bending of the actual lg*WOR-R* during the high water cut period. Its physical significance is that the relationship between water-oil ratio and geological reserve recovery degree is exponential in a semilogarithmic coordinate system during the high water cut stage.

Determination method of A, B, C: Make $y = \lg WOR$, $x = C^R$, formula (13) can be rewritten as y = A + Bx. This formula is used for linear matching of production data. The least-square method is used to determine the value of C. At this time, the intercept is A and the slope is B.

3.2 New Water Flooding Characteristic Curve Formula in Ultra-High Water Cut Period

The literature [1] demonstrates that development enters the ultra-high water cut period following water injection. The average water saturation $\overline{S_w}$ in the formation and the water saturation S_{we} at the outlet can be substituted for one another. Thus, (8) and (9) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\lg WOR = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_0 B_0 \gamma_w}{\mu_w B_w \gamma_0} + 0.4343b \cdot c^{S_{we}}$$
(17)

$$R = \frac{N_{\rm p}}{N} = \frac{S_{\rm we} - S_{\rm wc}}{1 - S_{\rm wc}}$$
(18)

The characterization relationship of normalized water saturation S_{wd} is as follows:

$$S_{\rm wd} = \frac{S_{\rm we} - S_{\rm wc}}{1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm or}}$$
(19)

According to formula (19), the water saturation S_{we} at the outlet can be expressed:

$$S_{\rm we} = S_{\rm wd} \cdot (1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm or}) + S_{\rm wc}$$
⁽²⁰⁾

The ultimate displacement efficiency E_d can be expressed:

$$E_{\rm d} = \frac{1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm or}}{1 - S_{\rm wc}}$$
(21)

Formula (18) and formula (21) can be rewritten:

$$S_{\rm wd} = \left(\frac{S_{\rm we} - S_{\rm wc}}{1 - S_{\rm wc}}\right) / \left(\frac{1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm or}}{1 - S_{\rm wc}}\right) = \frac{R}{E_{\rm d}} = \frac{N_{\rm p}}{NE_{\rm d}}$$
(22)

The $N_{\rm om}$ of movable oil reserves is defined:

$$N_{\rm om} = N E_{\rm d} \tag{23}$$

Replace formula (23) with formula (22):

$$S_{\rm wd} = \frac{N_{\rm p}}{N_{\rm om}} \tag{24}$$

From formula (24), formula (20) can be rewritten:

$$S_{\rm we} = \frac{N_{\rm p}}{N_{\rm om}} \cdot \left(1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm or}\right) + S_{\rm wc} \tag{25}$$

Replace formula (25) with formula (17) and obtain:

$$\lg WOR = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_{\rm o}B_{\rm o}\gamma_{\rm w}}{\mu_{\rm w}B_{\rm w}\gamma_{\rm o}} + 0.4343b \cdot c^{\frac{N_{\rm p}}{N_{\rm om}}(1-S_{\rm wc}-S_{\rm or})+S_{\rm wc}}$$
(26)

$$\lg WOR = 0.4343 \ln \frac{a\mu_{o}B_{o}\gamma_{w}}{\mu_{w}B_{w}\gamma_{o}} + 0.4343b \cdot c^{S_{wc}} \cdot [c^{(1-S_{wc}-S_{or})}]^{\frac{N_{p}}{N_{om}}}$$
(27)

$$\lg WOR = A + B \cdot C_1^{\frac{N_p}{N_{om}}}$$
(28)

In formula (28), *A*, *B*, and C_1 are all constants, *A* and *B* are shown in formulas (14) and (15), and C_1 is as follows:

$$C_1 = c^{(1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm or})} \tag{29}$$

Formula (28) is a novel type of water flooding characteristic curve formula that is applicable in the presence of an extremely long water cut period. When this technique is used, it is necessary to match

668

data near the bending of the actual $\lg WOR-N_p/N_{om}$ curve during the ultra-high water cut period. In a semilogarithmic coordinate system, the relationship between the water-oil ratio and the degree of recoverable reserve recovery in the ultra-high water cut stage is exponential.

3.3 Determination of Development Effect by New Water Flooding Characteristic Curve Parameters

The characteristic curve of water flooding often takes one of three shapes in semi-logarithmic coordinates: linear, up-ward, or down-ward. After entering the era of high water cut, a huge number of water flooding oil field $\lg WOR$ -R curves will get twisted. In the latter stages, a few oil fields with considerable water management techniques and a noticeable influence on the $\lg WOR$ -R curve will have a downward bending shape. The linear component of the $\lg WOR$ -R curve, on the other hand, is visible during the middle-middle and high water cut periods. $\lg WOR$ -R The growth rate of $\lg WOR$ is the mathematical basis for the curve upturned or down bending phenomena. If $\lg WOR$ rises monotonically with R and the growth rate remains constant, the curve seems inverted, exacerbating the water flooding effect. If $\lg WOR$ rises linearly as R increases. When the growth rate slows, the curve bends downward, improving the impact of water inundation. Thus, the influence of water flooding on development may be quantified in terms of a new water flooding characteristic curve.

Let $y = \lg WOR$, x = R, the new water flooding characteristic curve formula (13) can be expressed as $y = A + BC^{x}$, the monotonicity of a function and curve form are only related to the values of B and C. The influence of the values of B and C on the development effect of water flooding is analyzed, and the analysis results are shown in Table 2.

В	С	$y = A + B C^{x}$ Monotonicity	$y = A + B C^{x}$ Variety	Curve form of new water drive characteristic curve	Water flooding development effect
B > 0	C > 1	+	Increase	Increase	Bad
	0 < C < 1	_	Decrease	Contrary to the actual produ	uction law in the high
B < 0	C > 1	_	Increase	(extra-high) water cut perio	d
	0 < C < 1	+	Decrease	Decrease	Good

Table 2: Influence of matching parameters of new water drive characteristic curve on water drive development

 effect

If $y = \lg WOR$ and x = R, the new water flooding characteristic curve formula (13) may be represented as $y = A + BC^x$, where the monotonicity of a function and its shape are solely dependent on the values of *B* and *C*. The impact of *B* and *C* values on the development effect of water flooding is investigated, and the resulting data are shown in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, when B > 0 and C > 1, the characteristic curve of the newly flooded area exhibits an upward distorted trend. Water flooding has a negligible influence on development. The larger the values of *B* and *C*, the greater the growth rate of lg*WOR* and the worse the development effect; when B < 0, 0 < C < 1, the new water flooding characteristic curve exhibits a downward bend, indicating that the water flooding development effect is favorable; additionally, the larger the values of *B* and *C*, the smaller the growth rate of lg*WOR* and the better the development effect; additionally, the larger the values of *B* and *C*, the smaller the growth rate of lg*WOR* and the better As a result, only *B* and *C* values may be used to determine the development impact of water cut-off floods.

The representation form of the formula (28) is similar to that of formula (13), which can be expressed as $y = A + B \cdot C_1^x$. The form of C_1^x , so the above conclusion is also applicable to formula (28), only *C* needs to be changed into C_1 .

4 Oilfield Instance Verification

The application study and research of novel water floodings characteristic curve formulae such as formula (13) and formula (28) are conducted using on-site production data from the JH oilfield. By comparing the forecast error of the new curve to the actual data points, as well as the characteristic curve of B-water flooding and the characteristic curve of other water type floods. It is shown that it may be used to forecast the dynamic evolution of high and ultra-high water cut stages.

4.1 Verification of Production Data in Mawangmiao Block of JH Oilfield

The actual development data of the Mawangmiao block in the JH oilfield is shown in Table 3.

Year	Oil production/ $(10^4 t)$	Water production/ $(10^4 t)$	Water cut/(%)
1997	64.0106	28.0915	60.99
1998	84.9558	49.2754	64.49
1999	95.2643	71.2725	72.97
2000	103.2098	96.6344	82.86
2001	109.6502	120.7104	80.97
2002	114.5946	141.4029	81.57
2003	119.0356	161.6573	82.33
2004	123.0937	182.3774	83.39
2005	127.0176	206.6122	85.62
2006	131.1561	235.1154	87.54
2007	135.2873	262.7347	87.86
2008	139.0466	289.0695	88.13
2009	142.5075	312.2262	87.46
2010	145.9045	335.7224	88.12
2011	149.2040	360.6798	88.28
2012	152.4399	382.5383	87.08
2013	155.8199	400.7271	84.59
2014	158.9086	417.6396	84.61
2015	161.8207	434.1212	84.27

Table 3: Actual development data of Mawangmiao block in JH oilfield

This block has geological reserves of 891.6531 T * 10^4 t. The association between lg*WOR* and *R* has been determined using the data in Table 3. This block implemented a variety of water management measures in 2006, with surprising results. The block's oil well water production was regulated. The total water reduction remained constant at about 85 percent. When the water cut reached around 87.86 percent in 2007, the lg*WOR*-*R* curve curved downward.

At the moment, the characteristic curve of B-type water flooding is being utilized to match linear data points with a moisture content of 72.97 percent to 87.54 percent (1999–2006) before the MaWangMiao block measures (Fig. 5). The data points are matched using the new water flooding characteristic curve in the high water cut period [formula (13)] and the Fan-proposed water flooding characteristic curve in the high water cut period to determine the water cut after 87.54 percent and 84.27 percent (2006–2012) (Figs. 6 and 7).



Figure 5: Type-B water drive characteristic curve of Mawangmiao block



Figure 6: New water drive characteristic curve in Mawangmiao block



Figure 7: Fan's water drive characteristic curve in high water cut stage

Fan [19] proposed the characteristic curve formula of water flooding in high water cut period is: $\lg \frac{WOR}{R} = m - n \lg(E_d - R)$ (30) Indoor displacement experiments were conducted utilizing natural cores from a number of the MawangMiao block's primary producing wells. The phase permeability curve obtained was normalized. The MawangMiao oil-water relative permeability curve has been normalized. This block has a 34.25 percent interstitial oil saturation and a 30.07 percent irreducible brine saturation. When the aforementioned data is entered into formula (21), the final displacement efficiency E_d is determined to be 0.5102.

As seen in Fig. 6, the suggested revised water flooding characteristic curve is very consistent with the actual curve after water management measures. It can more accurately represent the real curve's fluctuation features after water management techniques. Three typical curves of water flooding are utilized to forecast the cumulative oil production from 2013 to 2015. Table 4 summarizes the predicted findings.

Curve characteristic water flooding type	Matching expression	Matching segment R ²	Year	Actual tired oil production/ (10 ⁴ t)	Predict oil production/ (10 ⁴ t)	Prediction Error/(%)
B- type water	$\lg WOR = -1.1047 + 9.2589R$	0.9977	2013	155.8199	145.8908	6.37
flooding	5		2014	158.9086	146.7988	7.62
curve			2015	161.8207	147.6580	8.75
Fan's water	$\lg \frac{WOR}{R} = -0.1056 - 2.7209 \lg (0.51 - R)$	0.9822	2013	155.8199	151.4218	2.82
flooding			2014	158.9086	151.6539	4.57
characteristic			2015	161.8207	151.9196	6.12
New water	$\lg WOR = 0.6287 - 7.8998 \times (10^{-9})^R$	0.9988	2013	155.8199	154.3721	0.93
flooding characteristic curve			2014	158.9086	156.2702	1.66
			2015	161.8207	158.1470	2.27

Table 4: Production performance prediction results of Mawangmiao block

The prediction findings for the oilfield's actual production data indicate that the relative error between the expected and actual values of the new water flooding characteristic curve is modest. Both of these values are less than the forecast error for the other two water flooding characteristic curves in the same year, indicating that the latter is better appropriate for production. As a result, the new water flooding characteristic curve described by formula (13) is more applicable at the high water cut stage. It is capable of making more accurate predictions of the waterflood development oilfield's production dynamics after the implementation of appropriate water control measures during the high water cut phase.

4.2 Verification of Production Data in Xijiakou Block of JH Oilfield

The actual production data of the Xijiakou block in the JH oilfield is shown in Table 5.

1970 0.0141 0.0373 0.00	Year	Oil production/ $(10^4 t)$	Water production/ $(10^4 t)$	Water cut/(%)
	1970	0.0141	0.0373	0.00
1971 0.6185 0.1822 16.24	1971	0.6185	0.1822	16.24
1972 2.2099 0.5568 26.07	1972	2.2099	0.5568	26.07
1973 8.5031 4.5346 45.71	1973	8.5031	4.5346	45.71

(Continued)

Table 5 (continued)				
Year	Oil production/ $(10^4 t)$	Water production/ $(10^4 t)$	Water cut/(%)	
1974	13.0247	9.1875	54.42	
1975	17.7416	14.6492	59.25	
1976	22.6109	22.1738	61.22	
1977	27.5463	30.0291	59.47	
1978	33.5526	39.5405	64.69	
1979	38.8682	49.3127	66.62	
1980	43.2180	58.0710	69.38	
1981	47.6060	68.1303	73.81	
1982	51.3535	79.8211	80.56	
1983	54.9434	97.9786	85.49	
1984	58.4884	121.9963	88.62	
1985	62.2282	147.0592	88.01	
1986	65.4894	172.9952	90.94	
1987	68.4424	202.0792	91.64	
1988	71.0067	232.9041	93.15	
1989	72.7523	260.1738	94.68	
1990	73.9845	282.5666	95.19	
1991	74.9111	303.7571	96.94	
1992	75.8036	324.7709	95.74	
1993	76.6336	347.1723	96.52	
1994	77.4706	372.0487	96.68	
1995	78.3822	398.5919	96.74	
1996	79.4587	430.2855	96.82	
1997	80.4705	462.6117	97.07	
1998	81.4470	498.4272	97.51	

This block has a geological resource of $373.4947 * 10^4 t$, and the indoor displacement experiment is being conducted utilizing natural cores from many major production wells in the Xijiakou block. The phase permeability curve produced is normalized. According to the normalized relative permeability curve of Xijiakou oil-water, this block has a 24.5 percent interstitial oil saturation and a 30.5 percent irreducible brine saturation. When the following data is replaced into formulae (21) and (23) the moveable oil reserves are estimated to be 241.8311 $*10^4 t$.

From the data in Table 5, a relationship curve between $\lg WOR$ and N_p/N_{om} is drawn. When cumulative oil production reaches 72.7523 * 10⁴ t and the comprehensive water cut reaches 94.68 percent, the real $\lg WOR$ - N_p/N_{om} curve goes upward. At the moment, the characteristic curve of B-type water flooding is utilized to match the linear data points to the 73.81 percent–94.68 percent (1981–1989) moisture content of the Xijiakou block (Fig. 8). The water cut ranges from 90.94 percent to 96.52 percent (1986–1993) when the new water flooding characteristic curve is used in the time of extreme water cut [formula (28)].

Wang [14] suggested the water flooding characteristic curve for the ultra-high water cut period. The data points are cross-referenced (Figs. 9 and 10).



Figure 8: Type-B water drive characteristic curve of Xijiakou block



Figure 9: New water drive characteristic curve in Xijiakou block



Figure 10: Wang's [14] water drive characteristic curve in extra water cut stage

Wang provided the following formula for the typical curve of water flooding during an ultra-high water cut period:

$$\lg WOR = a - n \lg (1 - \frac{N_p}{N_{om}})$$
(31)

As seen in Fig. 9, the new water flooding characteristic curve presented in this research exhibits a high degree of congruence with the upper portion of the real curve at the ultra-high water cut stage. It may more accurately portray the real curve's variable features during the ultra-high water cut era. Three water flooding characteristic curves are used to forecast cumulative oil production from 1994 to 1998, with the resulting predictions given in Table 6.

Curve characteristic water flooding type	Matching expression	Matching segment R ²	Year	Actual tired oil production/ (10 ⁴ t)	Predict oil production/ (10 ⁴ t)	Prediction Error/(%)
B-type water	$\lg WOR = -0.6198 + 3.8666R$	0.9968	1994	77.4706	81.3857	5.10
flooding			1995	78.3822	82.9398	6.05
characteristic			1996	79.4587	84.6475	7.13
• • • •			1997	80.4705	86.2714	8.19
			1998	81.4470	87.9692	9.33
Wang's water	$\lg \frac{WOR}{R} = -0.7187 - 8.2402 \lg (1 - \frac{N_p}{N_{om}})$	0.9902	1994	77.4706	78.3025	1.07
drive		_	1995	78.3822	79.4341	1.34
curve			1996	79.4587	80.6684	1.52
0.0110			1997	80.4705	81.8334	1.69
			1998	81.4470	83.0426	1.96
New water	$\log WOR = 0.2107 + 0.0027 \times (10^7)^{\frac{N_{\rm p}}{N_{\rm om}}}$	0.9998	1994	77.4706	77.43565	0.05
drive		-	1995	78.3822	78.20739	0.22
characteristic			1996	79.4587	79.01201	0.56
04110			1997	80.4705	79.73909	0.91
			1998	81.4470	80.46338	1.21

Table 6: Production dynamic prediction results of Xijiakou block

The prediction results for the oilfield's actual production data indicate that the relative error between the expected and actual values of the new water flooding characteristic curve is modest. Both of these values are less than the forecast error for the other two water flooding characteristic curves in the same year, indicating that the latter is better appropriate for production. As a result, the revised water flooding characteristic curve given by formula (28) is more applicable at the ultra-high water cut stage. It enables more precise forecasting of the production dynamics associated with water flooding oil fields during the ultra-high water cut stage.

The recoverable reserves are projected using the matching formulas for three water flooding characteristic curves in Table 6 when $f_w = 98$ percent and WOR = 49. The recoverable reserves anticipated by the characteristic curves of B water floods are 126.5562×10^4 t. The percentage of recovery is 33.88 percent. Wang's water flooding characteristic curve predicts recoverable reserves of 118.4704 $\times 10^4$ t. The percentage of recovery is 31.72 percent. The updated water flooding characteristic curve predicts that the recoverable reserves during the ultra-high water cut phase will be 94.6165 $\times 10^4$ t. The percentage of

recovery is 25.33 percent. The prediction error variation law for the three curves in Table 6 is as follows. In the ultra-high water cut phase, the recoverable reserves and recovery ratio predicted by the new water flooding characteristic curve are the most accurate. It is possible to forecast recoverable reserves and recovery ratios using this method.

5 Conclusion

- (1) When the water saturation is high, the new oil-water relative permeability ratio's characterization formula may more accurately depict the relative permeability ratio's change rule as a function of the water saturation. The new formula for the relative permeability ratio is determined. Two novel water flooding characteristic curve formulae are developed and used for the high water cut period and the high water cut period, respectively.
- (2) The form of the new characteristic curve for water flooding is dependent on the values of the matching parameters *B*, *C*, and *C*₁. *B*, *C*, and *C*₁ values may be used to determine the influence of water flooding development. When B > 0 and $C(C_1) > 1$, the characteristic curve of the new water flooding exhibits an upward tendency, indicating that the water flooding has a negligible influence on development. When B > 0, $C(C_1) > 1$, the new water flooding characteristic curve exhibits a downward bend, indicating a favorable influence on waterflooding development.
- (3) Two novel water flooding characteristic curves show a strong correlation with data from oilfield production during the high water cut and ultra-high water cut periods. Correlation coefficients for the corresponding curved section are 0.9988 and 0.9998. The association is quite high, which may represent the changing rule for the distinctive curve of conventional water flooding after upward or downward bending throughout the latter stages of water injection development.
- (4) Using two novel water flooding characteristic curves, we can forecast the cumulative oil production in the real JH oilfield block during high and ultra-high water cut phases. The percentage error between expected and actual oil production is smaller than the forecast error for *B* and the other two high water cut phases for the same year. The new water flooding characteristic curve is very applicable during situations of high water cut and extremely high water cut. It is capable of forecasting the dynamic production, recoverable reserves, and ultimate recovery efficiency of oilfields that have been flooded with water.

Funding Statement: It is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51404037); supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Project of Sinopec Jianghan Oilfield Branch Company (No. ZKK0220006).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Dicks, E. M. (1993). Higher order godunov black-oil simulations for compressible flow in porous media. Citeseer. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.224.6132&rep=rep1&type=pdf.
- 2. Alwated, B., El-Amin, M. F. (2021). Enhanced oil recovery by nanoparticles flooding: from numerical modeling improvement to machine learning prediction. *Advances in Geo-Energy Research*, 5(3), 297–317. DOI 10.46690/ager.
- Behmanesh, H., Clarkson, C. R., Tabatabaie, S. H., Heidari Sureshjani, M. (2015). Impact of distance-of-investigation calculations on rate-transient analysis of unconventional gas and light-oil reservoirs: New formulations for linear flow. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 54(6), 509–519. DOI 10.2118/178928-PA.
- Lai, N., Tang, L., Jia, N., Qiao, D., Chen, J. et al. (2019). Feasibility study of applying modified nano-SiO₂ hyperbranched copolymers for enhanced oil recovery in low-mid permeability reservoirs. *Polymers*, 11(9), 1483. DOI 10.3390/polym11091483.

- Mohammadi, S., Ehsani, M. R., Nikookar, M., Sahranavard, L., Soleimani Garakani, A. (2012). Study of steam injection in a fractured carbonate heavy oil reservoir in Iran. SPE Heavy Oil Conference Canada, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. DOI 10.2118/144943-MS.
- Zhu, L., Zhang, C., Zhang, Z., Zhou, X. (2020). High-precision calculation of gas saturation in organic shale pores using an intelligent fusion algorithm and a multi-mineral model. *Advances in Geo-Energy Research*, 4(2), 135– 151. DOI 10.26804/ager.
- Shivanian, E. (2017). Local radial basis function interpolation method to simulate 2D fractional-time convectiondiffusion-reaction equations with error analysis. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, 33(3), 974–994. DOI 10.1002/num.22135.
- Suri, Y., Islam, S. Z., Stephen, K., Donald, C., Thompson, M. et al. (2020). Numerical fluid flow modelling in multiple fractured porous reservoirs. *Fluid Dynamics & Materials Processing*, 16(2), 245–266. DOI 10.32604/ fdmp.2020.06505.
- Zhao, H., Kang, Z., Zhang, Y., Sun, H., Li, Y. (2014). An interwell connectivity numerical method for geological parameter characterization and oil-water two-phase dynamic prediction. *Acta Petrolei Sinica*, 35(5), 922–927. DOI 10.7623/syxb201405012.
- 10. Sayarpour, M. (2008). Development and application of capacitance-resistive models to water/carbon dioxide floods. The University of Texas at Austin.
- Liu, P., Mu, Z., Wang, W., Liu, P., Hao, M. (2017). A new combined solution model to predict water cut in water flooding hydrocarbon reservoirs. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 42(29), 18685–18690. DOI 10.1016/ j.ijhydene.2017.04.166.
- Sun, J., Liu, D., Zhu, X., Huang, W., Cheng, L. (2020). Experimental investigation on the pressure propagation mechanism of tight reservoirs. *Fluid Dynamics & Materials Processing*, 16(3), 425–440. DOI 10.32604/ fdmp.2020.08531.
- Rao, X., Zhan, W., Zhao, H., Xu, Y., Liu, D. et al. (2021). Application of the least-square meshless method to gaswater flow simulation of complex-shape shale gas reservoirs. *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 129, 39–54. DOI 10.1016/j.enganabound.2021.04.018.
- 14. Wang, J., Shi, C., Ji, S., Li, G., Chen Y. (2017). New water drive characteristic curves at ultra-high water cut stage. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 44(6), 1010–1015. DOI 10.1016/S1876-3804(17)30113-1.
- 15. van Doren, J. F., Markovinović, R., Jansen, J. D. (2006). Reduced-order optimal control of water flooding using proper orthogonal decomposition. *Computational Geosciences*, 10(1), 137–158. DOI 10.1007/s10596-005-9014-2.
- Freeze, R. A. (1980). A stochastic-conceptual analysis of rainfall-runoff processes on a hillslope. *Water Resources Research*, 16(2), 391–408. DOI 10.1029/WR016i002p00391.
- 17. Liu, S., Gu, J., Yang, R., (2011). New water-flooding characteristic curve at high water-cut stage. *Journal of Liaoning Technical University*, 30(S1), 158–163.
- Feng, Q., Zhang, J., Wang, S., Wang, X., Cui, R. et al. (2017). Unified relative permeability model and waterflooding type curves under different levels of water cut. *Journal of Petroleum Science Engineering*, 154, 204–216. DOI 10.1016/j.petrol.2017.04.031.
- 19. Fan, H. J., Zhu, X. Q. (2016). Derivation and application of new water flooding characteristic curve in high watercut oilfield. *Fault-Block Oil & Gas Field*, 23(1), 105–108. DOI 10.6056/dkyqt201601023.